

# A genome-informed higher rank classification of the biotechnologically important fungal subphylum *Saccharomycotina*

M. Groenewald<sup>1\*</sup>, C.T. Hittinger<sup>2</sup>, K. Bensch<sup>1</sup>, D.A. Opulente<sup>2,3</sup>, X.-X. Shen<sup>4</sup>, Y. Li<sup>5</sup>, C. Liu<sup>4</sup>, A.L. LaBella<sup>6</sup>, X. Zhou<sup>7</sup>, S. Limtong<sup>8</sup>, S. Jindamorakot<sup>9</sup>, P. Gonçalves<sup>10,11</sup>, V. Robert<sup>1</sup>, K.H. Wolfe<sup>12</sup>, C.A. Rosa<sup>13</sup>, T. Boekhout<sup>14</sup>, N. Čadež<sup>15</sup>, G. Péter<sup>16</sup>, J.P. Sampaio<sup>17</sup>, M.-A. Lachance<sup>18</sup>, A.M. Yurkov<sup>19</sup>, H.-M. Daniel<sup>20</sup>, M. Takashima<sup>21</sup>, K. Boundy-Mills<sup>22</sup>, D. Libkind<sup>23</sup>, K. Aoki<sup>21</sup>, T. Sugita<sup>24</sup>, A. Rokas<sup>25</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute, 3584 Utrecht, The Netherlands; <sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Genetics, Wisconsin Energy Institute, Center for Genomic Science Innovation, DOE Great Lakes Bioenergy Research Center, J. F. Crow Institute for the Study of Evolution, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53726, USA; <sup>3</sup>Department of Biology, Villanova University, Villanova, PA 19085; <sup>4</sup>College of Agriculture and Biotechnology, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, China; <sup>5</sup>Institute of Marine Science and Technology, Shandong University, Qingdao 266237, China; <sup>6</sup>Department of Bioinformatics and Genomics, The University of North Carolina at Charlotte, Charlotte NC 28223, USA; <sup>7</sup>Guangdong Province Key Laboratory of Microbial Signals and Disease Control, Integrative Microbiology Research Center, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510642, China; <sup>8</sup>Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Science, Kasetsart University, Bangkok 10900, Thailand; <sup>9</sup>Microbial Diversity and Utilization Research Team, National Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, National Science and Technology Development Agency, 113 Thailand Science Park, Khlong Nueng, Khlong Luang, Pathum Thani 12120, Thailand; <sup>10</sup>Associate Laboratory i4HB—Institute for Health and Bioeconomy, NOVA School of Science and Technology, Universidade NOVA de Lisboa, Caparica, Portugal; <sup>11</sup>UCIBIO—Applied Molecular Biosciences Unit, Department of Life Sciences, NOVA School of Science and Technology, Universidade NOVA de Lisboa, Caparica, Portugal; <sup>12</sup>Conway Institute and School of Medicine, University College Dublin, Dublin 4, Ireland; <sup>13</sup>Departamento de Microbiologia, ICB, C.P. 486, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, MG, 31270-901, Brazil; <sup>14</sup>College of Sciences, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia; <sup>15</sup>Food Science and Technology Department, Biotechnical Faculty, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia; <sup>16</sup>National Collection of Agricultural and Industrial Microorganisms, Institute of Food Science and Technology, Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences, H-1118, Budapest, Somlói út 14-16., Hungary; <sup>17</sup>UCIBIO, Departamento de Ciências da Vida, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal; <sup>18</sup>Department of Biology, University of Western Ontario, London, ON N6A 5B7, Canada; <sup>19</sup>Leibniz Institute DSMZ-German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures, 38124 Braunschweig, Germany; <sup>20</sup>BCCM/MUCL, Earth and Life Institute, Mycology Laboratory, Université catholique de Louvain, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium; <sup>21</sup>Laboratory of Yeast Systematics, Tokyo NODAI Research Institute (TNR), Tokyo University of Agriculture, Sakuragaoka, Setagaya, Tokyo 156-8502, Japan; <sup>22</sup>Food Science and Technology, University of California Davis, Davis, CA, 95616, USA; <sup>23</sup>Centro de Referencia en Levaduras y Tecnología Cervecera, Instituto Andino Patagónico de Tecnologías Biológicas y Geoambientales (IPATEC), Universidad Nacional del Comahue, CONICET, CRUB, Quintral 1250, San Carlos de Bariloche, 8400, Rio Negro, Argentina; <sup>24</sup>Laboratory of Microbiology, Meiji Pharmaceutical University, Noshio, Kiyose, Tokyo 204-8588, Japan; <sup>25</sup>Department of Biological Sciences and Evolutionary Studies Initiative, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37235, USA

\*Corresponding author. M. Groenewald, [m.groenewald@wi.knaw.nl](mailto:m.groenewald@wi.knaw.nl)

**Abstract:** The subphylum *Saccharomycotina* is a lineage in the fungal phylum *Ascomycota* that exhibits levels of genomic diversity similar to those of plants and animals. The *Saccharomycotina* consist of more than 1 200 known species currently divided into 16 families, one order, and one class. Species in this subphylum are ecologically and metabolically diverse and include important opportunistic human pathogens, as well as species important in biotechnological applications. Many traits of biotechnological interest are found in closely related species and often restricted to single phylogenetic clades. However, the biotechnological potential of most yeast species remains unexplored. Although the subphylum *Saccharomycotina* has much higher rates of genome sequence evolution than its sister subphylum, *Pezizomycotina*, it contains only one class compared to the 16 classes in *Pezizomycotina*. The third subphylum of *Ascomycota*, the *Taphrinomycotina*, consists of six classes and has approximately 10 times fewer species than the *Saccharomycotina*. These data indicate that the current classification of all these yeasts into a single class and a single order is an underappreciation of their diversity. Our previous genome-scale phylogenetic analyses showed that the *Saccharomycotina* contains 12 major and robustly supported phylogenetic clades; seven of these are current families (*Lipomycesaceae*, *Trigonopsidaceae*, *Alloascoideaceae*, *Pichiaceae*, *Phaffomycetaceae*, *Saccharomycodaceae*, and *Saccharomycetaceae*), one comprises two current families (*Dipodasceae* and *Trichomonasceae*), one represents the genus *Sporopachydermia*, and three represent lineages that differ in their translation of the CUG codon (CUG-Ala, CUG-Ser1, and CUG-Ser2). Using these analyses in combination with relative evolutionary divergence and genome content analyses, we propose an updated classification for the *Saccharomycotina*, including seven classes and 12 orders that can be diagnosed by genome content. This updated classification is consistent with the high levels of genomic diversity within this subphylum and is necessary to make the higher rank classification of the *Saccharomycotina* more comparable to that of other fungi, as well as to communicate efficiently on lineages that are not yet formally named.

**Key words:** Higher rank classification, novel taxa, orthologous groups (OGs), *Saccharomycotina*, taxonomy, yeasts.

**Taxonomic novelties: New classes:** *Alloascoideomycetes* M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente & A. Rokas, *Dipodascomycetes* M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente & A. Rokas, *Lipomyces* M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente, A. Rokas, *Pichiomyces* M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente & A. Rokas, *Sporopachydermiomycetes* M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente & A. Rokas, *Trigonopsidomycetes* M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente & A. Rokas.

**New orders: Alloascoideomycetes:** *Alloascoideales* M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente & A. Rokas; **Dipodascomycetes:** *Dipodascales* M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente & A. Rokas; **Lipomyces:** *Lipomycesales* M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente & A. Rokas; **Pichiomyces:** *Alaninales* M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente & A. Rokas, *Pichiales* M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente & A. Rokas, *Serinales* M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente & A. Rokas;

**Saccharomycetes:** *Phaffomycetales* M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente & A. Rokas, *Saccharomycodales* M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente & A. Rokas; **Sporopachydermiomycetes:** *Sporopachydermiales* M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente & A. Rokas; **Trigonopsidomycetes:** *Trigonopsidales* M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente & A. Rokas.

**New families: Alaninales:** *Pachysolenaceae* M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente & A. Rokas; **Pichiiales:** *Pichiaceae* M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente & A. Rokas; **Sporopachydermiales:** *Sporopachydermiaceae* M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente & A. Rokas.

**Citation:** Groenewald M, Hittinger CT, Bensch K, Opulente DA, Shen X-X, Li Y, Liu C, LaBella AL, Zhou X, Limtong S, Jindamorakot S, Gonçalves P, Robert V, Wolfe KH, Rosa CA, Boekhout T, Čadež N, Péter G, Sampaio JP, Lachance M-A, Yurkov AM, Daniel H-M, Takashima M, Boundy-Mills K, Libkind D, Aoki K, Sugita T, Rokas A (2023). A genome-informed higher rank classification of the biotechnologically important fungal subphylum *Saccharomycotina*. *Studies in Mycology* **105**: 1–22. doi: 10.3114/sim.2023.105.01

**Received:** 7 February 2023 ; **Accepted:** 12 May 2023; **Effectively published online:** 25 May 2023

**Corresponding editor:** Robert A. Samson

*This study is dedicated to the memory of Cletus P. Kurtzman (1938–2017), a pioneer of yeast taxonomy.*

## INTRODUCTION

*Saccharomycotina* yeasts are metabolically diverse, with great potential for use in biotechnological processes (Kurtzman *et al.* 2015). Furthermore, yeast species that are related often share biotechnology-relevant properties (Garay *et al.* 2016, 2017, Riley *et al.* 2016, Heisting *et al.* 2022). For example, Riley *et al.* (2016) showed that some biotechnologically important traits, such as methylotrophy, lipogenesis, and xylose fermentation, as well as cellulose and hemicellulose hydrolysates utilisation, are mostly restricted to single phylogenetic clades. Currently, several *Saccharomycotina* species beyond *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, so-called “non-conventional yeasts” (Spencer *et al.* 2002, Abbas 2003, Johnson 2013), serve as microbial cell factories for biotechnological applications, such as food and beverage fermentations (Bourdichon *et al.* 2012, Capece *et al.* 2018), biopharmaceutical molecules, biofuels and bioproducts from biomass, and compounds for the food, feed, pharmaceutical, and cosmetic industries (Groenewald *et al.* 2014, Hittinger *et al.* 2018, Spagnuolo *et al.* 2019, Gassler *et al.* 2020, Srinivasan & Smolke 2020, Solieri 2021, Abeln & Chuck 2021). Development of synthetic biology approaches, including CRISPR-Cas technologies, is expected to continue expanding the range of yeast species useful for biotechnology (Raschmanová *et al.* 2018, Cai *et al.* 2019, Patra *et al.* 2021). Historic classification and naming of yeast species were mostly restricted to analytical technologies and best practices and have resulted in classifications that do not always represent their evolutionary relationships. The revisions in this work are aimed to better align yeast species classification with evolutionary distance.

Traditionally, yeasts are separated from their filamentous counterparts based on a reproduction mode by budding or fission contrary to the production of hyphae (Flegel 1977). This delimitation has deep historical roots that date back to the early ages of mycology and to the separate study of yeasts and filamentous fungi (Lodder & Kreger-van Rij 1952). Although it is now well-established that many yeasts are dimorphic (*i.e.*, also able to produce a filamentous stage), this fundamental separation is still widely used given its practicality. The definition that is best suited for yeasts is that they are ascomycetous or basidiomycetous fungi whose asexual growth predominantly results from budding or fission, with or without pseudohyphae and hyphae, and that they form sexual morphs that are not enclosed in fruiting bodies (Kurtzman *et al.* 2011a). The contemporary view acknowledges that the yeast stage has evolved multiple times across the fungal kingdom and, thus, should be viewed mainly as an ontological development and not as the diagnostic feature of any fungal group (Nagy *et al.* 2014). The so called “true yeasts”, by opposition to “yeast-like” (yeasts that form conspicuous mycelium often melanized and that are phylogenetically closer to filamentous taxa), are fungal lineages in the *Ascomycota* and *Basidiomycota* that adopted the yeast lifestyle, an assimilative stage predominantly based on unicellularity (Lodder

& Kreger-van Rij 1952, Flegel 1977). A large portion of the known yeast taxa are found in the phylum *Ascomycota* and are divided into two subphyla, *Saccharomycotina* and *Taphrinomycotina*. The third subphylum in *Ascomycota*, *Pezizomycotina*, includes the ascomycetous filamentous fungi and some dimorphic yeast-like taxa (Spatafora *et al.* 2006, Kurtzman *et al.* 2011b, Nagy *et al.* 2014, James *et al.* 2020, Shen *et al.* 2020).

Most known ascomycetous yeast species belong to the subphylum *Saccharomycotina* (Shen *et al.* 2020), which is a lineage that exhibits levels of genetic diversity comparable to those of plants or animals (Dujon 2006, Kurtzman *et al.* 2011b, Shen *et al.* 2018, 2020, Li *et al.* 2021). *Saccharomycotina* yeasts inhabit a wide range of diverse habitats, such as plants, humans, animals, and terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems (Buzzini *et al.* 2017).

Many of the opportunistic human pathogens in *Saccharomycotina* are or were members of the genus *Candida* that grouped essentially all budding yeasts lacking sexual reproduction and other unique characteristics, most notably *Candida albicans*, *C. tropicalis*, *Nakaseomyces glabratus*, the *C. parapsilosis* species complex, and the emerging pathogen *C. auris* (de Hoog *et al.* 2020). Taxonomic revisions have placed *Candida* species in genera that better reflect their natural relationships, namely *Clavispora lusitaniae* (syn. *Candida lusitaniae*), *Pichia kudriavzevii* (syn. *Candida krusei*), *Meyerozyma guilliermondii* (syn. *Candida guilliermondii*), *Nakaseomyces glabratus* (syn. *Candida glabrata*), or will do so soon. To a lesser extent, species classified in the genera *Saccharomyces*, *Kodamaea*, *Galactomyces*, and *Magnusiomyces* have also been implicated as agents of fungemia, onychomycosis, and systemic disease, usually linked to immunocompromised patients, new-borns, and the elderly (Cooper 2011, de Hoog *et al.* 2020). Notably, human pathogenicity has evolved multiple times independently in the *Saccharomycotina*, including in *N. glabratus*, *C. albicans*, and *C. auris* (Gabaldon *et al.* 2016, Opulente *et al.* 2019, Rokas 2022).

An overview of the earlier classifications and trends can be found in the monographic series *The Yeasts: A Taxonomic Study* (Lodder & Kreger-van Rij 1952, Lodder 1970, Kreger-van Rij 1984, Kurtzman & Fell 1998, Kurtzman *et al.* 2011b). Before the advent of molecular sequencing the criteria for classifying yeasts were based on micro-morphological features of asexual and sexual reproduction and physiological / biochemical characteristics, with a strong emphasis placed on the ability to utilize different carbon and nitrogen compounds. These criteria divide the *Saccharomycotina* into two groups, yeasts which are known in its asexual (anamorphic) state and reproduce by forming asexual propagules (budding or fission), and yeasts which also show sexual (teleomorphic) morphs and can reproduce sexually by forming ascospores (Kurtzman *et al.* 2011b). Often, names of sexual morphs served as the basis for higher rank classifications. The *Saccharomycotina*, including most of the cultivatable genera of ascomycetous yeasts, currently contains a single class, *Saccharomycetes*, and a single order, *Saccharomycetales* (Kurtzman *et al.* 2011b). Thus, the

inclusion of all budding yeasts in the *Saccharomycetes* and/or the *Saccharomycetales* was due to the broad definition that has been used for more than 140 years.

From the 1990's onward, genetic sequence information became increasingly popular and immensely useful as a taxonomic criterion (Peterson & Kurtzman 1991, Kurtzman & Robnett 1997, 1998, 2013a, Kurtzman *et al.* 2003, Boekhout *et al.* 2021). Cletus Kurtzman gained prominence among yeast researchers by pioneering the use of molecular phylogenetic evidence to revise the taxonomy of the *Saccharomycotina*. He used sequences of the ribosomal RNA genes to group together genetically similar sexual and asexual species and genera of the *Saccharomycotina* yeasts, ensuring that clear affinities could be established (Kurtzman & Robnett 1997, 1998). During his career, he placed numerous *Candida* species and other asexual yeasts in existing sexual groups in the *Saccharomycetales*, making a great effort to address the problem of polyphyletic genera within this class.

Currently, molecular phylogenetic analyses based on multiple genes play a vital role in the taxonomy of yeasts included in the *Saccharomycotina*, not only in the delineation of novel species, but also in revising the classification of current asexual species (Daniel *et al.* 2014, Kurtzman 2016, Kurtzman *et al.* 2016, Yamazaki *et al.* 2020). In view of the requirement that a fungal species or higher rank taxon be assigned only a single valid name under the new International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (Turland *et al.* 2018), the competing generic names of asexual/sexual forms (Kurtzman 2011, Kurtzman *et al.* 2011b), such as *Blastobotrys/Trichomonascus*, *Botryozyma/Ascobotryozyma*, *Brettanomyces/Dekkera*, *Geotrichum/Dipodascus/Galactomyces*, *Kloeckera/Hanseniaspora*, *Myxozyma/Lipomyces*, and *Saprochaete/Magnusiomyces*, as well as *Candida* species have been or are currently being revised to make genus membership consistent with phylogenetic affinities.

Analyses of multi-gene datasets that include representatives of all known genera and unresolved polyphyletic groups will facilitate stable generic and higher rank assignments and the placement of novel species, leading to an increase in the number of names and units to appropriately classify the given biological diversity. Currently, (novel) species and their classifications can be found on *The Yeasts* website (<https://theyeasts.org/>), the successor to *The Yeasts: A Taxonomic Study* book series. This website ensures that taxonomic changes are freely available as soon as possible after a classification is published.

The *Saccharomycotina* consists of more than 1 200 known species and 99 genera. They are currently divided into 15 recognized families (*Alloascoideaceae*, *Ascoideaceae*, *Cephaloascaceae*, *Debaryomycetaceae*, *Dipodascaceae*, *Lipomycetaceae*, *Metschnikowiaceae*, *Phaffomycetaceae*, *Pichiaceae* (invalid), *Saccharomycetaceae*, *Saccharomycodaceae*, *Saccharomycopsidaceae*, *Trigonopsidaceae*, *Trichomonascaceae*, and *Wickerhamomycetaceae*); *Endomycetaceae* is listed in Kurtzman *et al.* (2011b) as a speculative family within the *Saccharomycotina*. All these families are comprised in one order (Kurtzman *et al.* 2011b). Recent phylogenetic studies utilising genome-scale data have divided the *Saccharomycotina* into 12 major clades (Shen *et al.* 2017, 2018). These 12 clades have been referred to as the *Lipomycetaceae*, *Trigonopsidaceae*, *Dipodascaceae/Trichomonascaceae*, *Alloascoideaceae*, *Sporopachydermia*, CUG-Ala, *Pichiaceae*, CUG-Ser1, CUG-Ser2, *Phaffomycetaceae*, *Saccharomycodaceae*, and *Saccharomycetaceae* clades. Shen *et al.* (2018) also proposed the presence of additional higher order lineages within these major clades.

Recent phylogenomic analyses of ascomycetous fungi and yeasts, including comparisons of the evolutionary age and genomic divergence of taxonomic categories between the *Saccharomycotina* and other subphyla, suggest that current taxonomic circumscriptions do not fully account for the high level of evolutionary divergence in the *Saccharomycotina* (Shen *et al.* 2020, Li *et al.* 2021). Comparisons of genomic properties between the *Saccharomycotina*, consisting of one class, and its sister subphylum, *Pezizomycotina*, consisting of 16 classes, revealed that the two subphyla exhibited substantial divergence in several genomic properties (Shen *et al.* 2020). The *Saccharomycotina* have smaller genomes, lower numbers of protein-coding genes, lower numbers of DNA repair genes, lower GC contents, higher numbers of tRNA genes, and higher levels of genomic diversity and evolutionary rates than the *Pezizomycotina* (Shen *et al.* 2020). Still, the subphylum *Saccharomycotina* consists of only one class compared to the 16 classes in *Pezizomycotina*. The taxonomic placement of Ascomycete budding yeasts into a single class, *Saccharomycetes*, means that the last common ancestor of this class is much more ancient than the last common ancestors of any of the nine classes studied by Shen *et al.* (2020) in the subphylum *Pezizomycotina*. When comparing the fission yeasts (*Taphrinomycotina*) with the *Saccharomycotina*, the genome sizes of species belonging to these two subphyla are similar, but the number of species belonging to the *Taphrinomycotina* (~140 species) is nearly 10-fold lower than that of the *Saccharomycotina*, even though the *Taphrinomycotina* consists of six classes and six orders (Čadež *et al.* 2021).

In the study of Li *et al.* (2021), relative evolutionary divergence (RED) analyses showed that one of the most serious instances of under-classification within the Fungal kingdom concerns the *Saccharomycotina*. Simply put, there is little correspondence between the current poor taxonomic resolution and the observed very high evolutionary divergence within the *Saccharomycotina*. The present higher rank classification system is imbalanced on two levels, the under-classified class *Saccharomycetes* and the under-classified order *Saccharomycetales*.

*Saccharomycotina* species continue to be described in the *Saccharomycetes* and the *Saccharomycetales*. Given the high levels of evolutionary divergence detected in this group and considering the conclusions of previous phylogenomic studies (Shen *et al.* 2018, 2020, Li *et al.* 2021), it is clear that the higher taxonomic groups within the *Saccharomycotina* are due to be revisited and revised. A reclassification would be useful to communicate the evolutionary divergence more efficiently across biological disciplines. As the biotechnological industry currently uses only a small fraction of the more than 1 200 potentially exploitable *Saccharomycotina* species, reclassification of the higher ranks of the subphylum is expected to enhance the prospecting and discovery of novel biotechnological interesting yeasts and traits, as the focus of the search for traits of interest and novel species can then be more efficiently directed to clearly demarcated orders and classes.

Here we propose a new higher rank classification system that includes ten new orders and six new classes of the subphylum *Saccharomycotina*. Novel type families are described in the two cases for which it is essential for the description of the new orders and classes. The intent of our study is to focus on establishing higher level taxonomic boundaries that are evolutionarily consistent with the boundaries in other fungal lineages, as well as to set the groundwork for future studies that will address family- and genus-level circumscriptions. Using data from comprehensive genome-scale phylogenetic analyses, in combination with RED analyses, we propose the use of an updated classification of the *Saccharomycotina*



that includes the following seven classes: *Saccharomycetes*, *Pichiomyces*, *Sporopachydermiomycetes*, *Alloascoideomycetes*, *Dipodascomycetes*, *Trigonopsidomycetes*, and *Lipomycetes*. We similarly propose the following 12 orders: *Saccharomycetales*, *Saccharomycodales*, *Phaffomycetales*, *Ascoideales*, *Serinales*, *Alaninales*, *Pichiales*, *Sporopachydermiales*, *Alloascoideales*, *Dipodascales*, *Trigonopsidales*, and *Lipomycetales*.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### RED analyses

To evaluate whether fungal taxonomy is consistent with evolutionary genomic divergence, we calculated relative evolutionary divergence (RED) values using PhyloRank v. 0.0.37 (<https://github.com/dparks1134/PhyloRank/>) from the annotated tree as described previously (Li *et al.* 2021). Briefly, the NCBI taxonomy associated with every fungal genome was obtained from the NCBI Taxonomy FTP site on January 17, 2020. PhyloRank linearly interpolates the RED values of every internal node according to lineage-specific rates of evolution under the constraints of the root being defined as zero and the RED of all present taxa being defined as one (Parks *et al.* 2018). The RED intervals for each rank were defined as the median RED value  $\pm$  0.1 to serve as a guide for the normalization of taxonomic ranks from genus to phylum.

To evaluate whether the newly proposed taxonomic categories of *Saccharomycotina* were more consistent with evolutionary genomic divergence, we ran the RED analysis again with the proposed class and order names of *Saccharomycotina*.

### Genome-scale phylogeny of the kingdom Fungi

The fungal phylogeny used in this study is derived from a recent phylogenomic study that used maximum likelihood analysis with a concatenation single-model (LG+G4) approach on a data matrix of 1 672 taxa (1 644 fungi and 28 outgroups) and 290 BUSCO genes (Li *et al.* 2021). See also Supplementary Table S1 for more information on the species used (Li *et al.* 2021).

### Identification of class- and order-specific genes

To identify class- and order-specific genes, we used all annotated protein sequences in a previously published dataset of the genomes of 332 representative yeast species (Shen *et al.* 2018) to build orthologous groups of genes (OGs) using OrthoFinder v. 2.5.2 (Emms & Kelly 2019) with default parameters. For a given taxon of interest, we screened all 34 247 OGs and only retained those OGs with species occupancy  $\geq$  95 %, that is those OGs that were present in at least 95 % of species that were included in that taxon. In summary, we identified a total sum of 260 OGs and 325 OGs that were specifically present in the 7 proposed classes and 12 proposed orders, respectively. To further examine the specificity of those class- and order-specific OGs, we carried out TBLASTN searches of protein sequences of OGs against a custom database, consisting of the Nucleotide (nt) database downloaded from NCBI on December 11, 2021 and 1 644 fungal genomes from Li *et al.* (2021), with an e-value cut-off of  $1e^{-6}$ . Lastly, we annotated the screened order-/class-specific OGs with Gene Ontology (GO) and Pfam domain families using the eggNOG-mapper v. 2.0 (Cantalapiedra *et al.* 2021).

## Data availability Statement

All data associated with this study are provided in the main article and supplementary materials. The class- and order-specific orthologous groups (OGs) and their DNA and protein sequences are publicly available on the figshare repository (<http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.19374350>).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### RED analyses

The availability of a genome-scale phylogeny for fungi provides a unique opportunity to evaluate if current taxonomy truly reflects the evolutionary relationships and rates of genome evolution of the *Saccharomycotina*. Previous taxon-rich phylogenomic analyses yielded a robustly supported phylogeny of the *Saccharomycotina* (Shen *et al.* 2018, 2020, Li *et al.* 2021). The new phylogeny divides the subphylum into 12 major clades, each of which is strongly supported by different approaches of maximum likelihood phylogenetic inference (e.g., concatenation and coalescence).


The RED (relative evolutionary divergence) approach was developed to revise taxonomic ranks in Bacteria and Archaea so that they reflect evolutionary divergence (Parks *et al.* 2018, Rinke *et al.* 2020). We recently applied this approach to fungi and found that fungal taxonomy is broadly aligned with both genome sequence divergence and divergence time (Li *et al.* 2021, Fig. 1A). However, we also determined that nearly 40 % (22 of 49 categories, including 1 order, 5 families, and 16 genera) of the under-classified categories in the taxonomy of fungi were within the *Saccharomycotina* (Fig. 1B), suggesting that the lack of taxonomic and evolutionary correspondence of the subphylum *Saccharomycotina* hinders the utility of taxonomy as a yardstick for comparative biology.

To improve the taxonomic classification of the *Saccharomycotina*, we propose new *Saccharomycotina* higher categories based on the current understanding of evolutionary relationships and rates of genome evolution of *Saccharomycotina*. In contrast to the single class and single order present in the previous *Saccharomycotina* higher rank classification, our proposed taxonomic revision divides the subphylum into seven classes and 12 orders.

Of the proposed seven classes and 12 orders examined, we found that the majority (five of seven classes, nine of 12 orders) of proposed categories fell within  $\pm 0.1$  of the median RED value of taxa at that rank (a threshold previously used by Li *et al.* (2021)) as a guide to evaluate the degree to which the evolutionary divergences of taxonomic ranks across fungi were similar, suggesting the new categories better reflect the evolutionary divergence within the subphylum (Fig. 1b).

### Phylogeny

Data from comprehensive phylogenomic analyses suggest that we can propose an updated classification of the *Saccharomycotina*. Specifically, we propose the following 7 classes: *Alloascoideomycetes*, *Dipodascomycetes*, *Lipomycetes*, *Pichiomyces*, *Saccharomycetes*, *Sporopachydermiomycetes*, and *Trigonopsidomycetes*. Similarly, we propose the following 12 orders: *Alaninales*, *Alloascoideales*, *Ascoideales*, *Dipodascales*, *Lipomycetales*, *Phaffomycetales*, *Pichiales*, *Saccharomycetales*, *Saccharomycodales*, *Serinales*, *Sporopachydermiales*, and




**Fig. 1.** Relationship between relative evolutionary divergence (RED) and taxonomic rank between budding yeasts and other major fungal lineages. The RED intervals for each rank were defined as the median RED value  $\pm$  0.1 to serve as a guide for the normalization of taxonomic ranks from family to phylum. **A.** Data from Li *et al.* (2021) for the current order *Saccharomycetales* and class *Saccharomycetes* and other fungal lineages. **B.** Data for the newly proposed orders and classes. Note that when only a single lower rank is assigned to a higher rank (*e.g.* a single family is assigned to a single order), only the lower rank may be analyzed (*e.g.* *Trigonopsidaceae*, *Dipodascales*). *Dipodascomycetes/Dipodascales* (RED = 0.482) and *Trigonopsidomycetes/Trigonopsidales/Trigonopsidaceae* (RED = 0.439) still appear to be under-classified (*i.e.*, had a much lower RED value than other ranks); whereas *Serinales* had a slightly lower RED value (RED = 0.633) compared to other newly proposed orders.

*Trigonopsidales* (Fig. 2, Supplementary Fig. S1, Supplementary Table S1).

### Class- and order-specific gene families

As described in the Materials and Methods, we identified a total of 260 OGs and 325 OGs that were specifically ( $\geq$  98 % specificity)

present in the seven proposed classes and 12 proposed orders respectively (Table 1). From the TBLASTN searches of protein sequences, we found that all 260 class-specific OGs and all 325 order-specific OGs had no significant blast hits elsewhere in the dataset (Supplementary Table S2). Results are presented in Table 1, Supplementary Tables S2, S3.



**Fig. 2.** A genome-scale phylogeny of *Taphrinomycotina*, *Pezizomycotina*, and *Saccharomycotina*. Maximum likelihood analysis with a concatenation single-model (LG+G4) approach on a data matrix containing 1 672 taxa (1 644 fungi and 28 outgroups) and 290 genes (Li *et al.* 2021). The orders and classes are indicated on the tree.

## Updated higher rank taxonomy of the *Saccharomycotina*

Table 2 gives an overview of all the existing and newly proposed classes, orders, families, and genera of yeasts of the subphylum *Saccharomycotina*. Table 1, Supplementary Tables S2, S3 list all the class and order-specific protein families (OGs). See these tables for detailed information on these proteins.

### Taxonomy

***Alloascoideomycetes*** M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente & A. Rokas, **class. nov.** MycoBank MB 847278.

**Diagnosis:** Class-specific protein families OG0009556 and OG0024318. Multilateral budding and formation of pseudohyphae and septate hyphae. Blastoconidia form on hyphae and may be sessile or arise from denticles. Sugars are not fermented. Phylogenetic analyses using DNA sequences encoding LSU rDNA, SSU rDNA, EF-1 $\alpha$ , Rpb1, and Rpb2 (Kurtzman & Robnett 2013b).

**Description:** With the characteristics of *Alloascoideaceae* (Kurtzman & Robnett 2013b).

**Type order:** *Alloascoideales* M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente & A. Rokas **ord. nov.**, this study.

**Included order:** *Alloascoideales*.

**Notes:** Although the number of species available for the orders *Alloascoideales* and *Sporopachydermiales* are limited, we propose the inclusion of these two orders in separate classes due to their long branches. See more information in the description of the class *Sporopachydermiomycetes*.

***Alloascoideales*** M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente & A. Rokas, **ord. nov.** MycoBank MB 847279.

**Diagnosis:** Order-specific protein family OG0009556 and OG0024318. Phylogenetic analyses using DNA sequences encoding LSU rDNA, SSU rDNA, EF-1 $\alpha$ , Rpb1, and Rpb2 (Kurtzman & Robnett 2013b). Multilateral budding and formation of pseudohyphae and septate hyphae. Blastoconidia form on hyphae and may be sessile or arise from denticles.

**Description:** With the characteristics of *Alloascoideaceae* (Kurtzman & Robnett 2013b).

**Type family:** *Alloascoideaceae* Kurtzman & Robnett, FEMS Yeast Res. 13: 429. 2013. MycoBank MB 802503.

**Type genus:** *Alloascoidea* Kurtzman & Robnett, FEMS Yeast Res. 13: 426. 2013. MycoBank MB 802504.

**Type:** *Alloascoidea hylecoeti* (L.R. Batra & Francke-Grosch.) Kurtzman & Robnett, FEMS Yeast Res. 13: 427. 2013. MycoBank MB 802506.

**Table 1.** An overview of the most unique (98–100 % unique) class- and order-specific groups of orthologous genes (OGs) to use as diagnostic characters for the newly proposed orders and classes.

Rank	Order and Class names	nr of specific OGs	*OGs to use in description as diagnostic characters
Order	<i>Saccharomycodales</i>	18	OG0011566; OG0011567; OG0011580; OG0011587; OG0011592
Order	<i>Saccharomycetales</i>	2	OG0005235; OG0005246
Order	<i>Phaffomycetales</i>	2	OG0006529; OG0006543
Order	<i>Ascoideales</i>	20	OG0018641; OG0018642; OG0018656
Order	<i>Serinales</i>	2	OG0004743; OG0004801
Order	<i>Alaninales</i>	23	OG0016373
Order	<i>Pichiales</i>	2	OG0005494; OG0005374
Order	<i>Sporopachydermiales</i>	163	OG0028621; OG0028581; OG0028722; OG0028736
Order	<i>Alloascoideales</i>	33	OG0009556; OG0024318
Order	<i>Dipodascales</i>	3	OG0005588; OG0005810; OG0006132
Order	<i>Trigonopsidales</i>	2	OG0008190; OG0008482
Order	<i>Lipomycetales</i>	55	OG0010973; OG0011052; OG0008472; OG0009553
	<b>Total number of OGs</b>	<b>325</b>	
Class	<i>Saccharomycetes</i>	2	OG0004556; OG000423
Class	<i>Pichiomycetes</i>	1	OG0000547
Class	<i>Sporopachydermiomycetes</i>	163	OG0028621; OG0028581; OG0028722; OG0028736
Class	<i>Alloascoideomycetes</i>	33	OG0009556; OG0024318
Class	<i>Dipodascomycetes</i>	4	OG0005588; OG0005810; OG0006132
Class	<i>Trigonopsidomycetes</i>	2	OG0008190; OG0008482
Class	<i>Lipomycetes</i>	55	OG0010973; OG0011052; OG0008472; OG0009553
	<b>Total number of OGs</b>	<b>260</b>	

\* See Tables S2 and S3 for more information on these proteins.

**Table 2.** Classes, orders, families, and genera of yeasts of the subphylum *Saccharomycotina*. Blue fonts denote newly proposed ranks. Red fonts denote taxa of uncertain assignment (*incertae sedis = i.s.*).

Class	Order	Family	Genus
<i>Alloascoideomycetes</i>	<i>Alloascoideales</i>	<i>Alloascoideaceae</i>	<i>Alloascoidea</i>
<i>Dipodascomycetes</i>	<i>Dipodascales</i>	<i>Dipodascaceae</i>	<i>Dipodascus/Geotrichum</i> <i>Galactomyces/Geotrichum</i> <i>Magnusiomyces/Saprochaete</i> <i>Middelhovenomyces</i>
		<i>Trichomonascaceae</i>	<i>Crinitomyces</i> <i>Deakozyma</i> <i>Diddensiella</i> <i>Groenewaldozyma</i> <i>Limtongella</i> <i>Saprochaete</i> <i>Spencermartinsiella</i> <i>Starmerella</i> <i>Sugiyamaella</i> <i>Trichomonascus/Blastobotys</i> <i>Wickerhamiella</i> <i>Zygoascus</i>
	<i>Dipodascales i.s.</i>		<i>Nadsonia/Schizoblastosporion</i> <i>Yarrowia</i>
<i>Lipomycetes</i>	<i>Lipomycetales</i>	<i>Lipomycetaceae</i>	<i>Babjevia</i> <i>Dipodascopsis</i> <i>Kockiozyma</i> <i>Lipomyces/Myxozyma</i>
<i>Pichiomycetes</i>	<i>Alaninales</i>	<i>Pachysolenaceae</i>	<i>Pachysolen</i> <i>Peterozyma</i> <i>Nakazawaea</i>
	<i>Pichiales</i>	<i>Pichiaceae</i>	<i>Allodekkera</i> <i>Ambrosiozyma</i> <i>Brettanomyces/Dekkera</i> <i>Citeromyces</i> <i>Komagataella</i> <i>Kregervanrija</i> <i>Kuraishia</i> <i>Martiniozyma</i> <i>Ogataea</i> <i>Pichia</i> <i>Saturnispora</i>
	<i>Serinales</i>	<i>Cephaloascaceae</i> <i>Debaryomycetaceae</i>	<i>Cephaloascus</i> <i>Aciculoconidium</i> <i>Candida</i> <i>Danielozyma</i> <i>Debaryomyces</i> <i>Diutina</i> <i>Hemisphericaspora</i> <i>Hyphopichia</i> <i>Kodamaea</i> <i>Kurtzmaniella</i> <i>Limtongozyma</i> <i>Lodderomyces</i> <i>Metahyphopichia</i>



Table 2. (Continued).

Class	Order	Family	Genus		
<i>Pichiomyces</i>	<i>Serinales</i>	<i>Debaryomycetaceae</i>	<i>Meyerozyma</i>		
			<i>Millerozyma</i>		
			<i>Nematodospora</i>		
			<i>Priceomyces</i>		
			<i>Scheffersomyces</i>		
			<i>Spathaspora</i>		
			<i>Suhomyces</i>		
			<i>Schwanniomyces</i>		
			<i>Teuomyces</i>		
			<i>Wickerhamia</i>		
			<i>Yamadazyma</i>		
			<i>Metschnikowiaceae</i>	<i>Clavispora</i>	
				<i>Metschnikowia</i>	
			<i>Saccharomyces</i>	<i>Serinales i.s.</i>	<i>Ascoideaceae</i>
<i>Ascoidea</i>					
<i>Ascoideales</i>	<i>Saccharomycopsidaceae</i>	<i>Saccharomycopsis</i>			
	<i>Phaffomycetales</i>	<i>Phaffomycetaceae</i>		<i>Cyberlindnera</i>	
<i>Phaffomyces</i>					
<i>Barnettozyma</i>					
<i>Starmera</i>					
<i>Wickerhamomycetaceae</i>				<i>Wickerhamomyces</i>	
				<i>Saccharomycetales</i>	<i>Saccharomycetaceae</i>
<i>Eremothecium/Ashbya</i>					
<i>Grigorovia</i>					
<i>Hagleromyces</i>					
<i>Kazachstania</i>					
<i>Kluyveromyces</i>					
<i>Lachancea</i>					
<i>Nakaseomyces</i>					
<i>Naumovozya</i>					
<i>Saccharomyces</i>					
<i>Savitreea</i>					
<i>Tetrapisispora</i>					
<i>Torulaspora</i>					
<i>Vanderwaltozyma</i>					
<i>Yueomyces</i>					
<i>Zygosaccharomyces</i>					
<i>Zygotorulaspora</i>					
<i>Saccharomycetales i.s.</i>			<i>Coccidiascus</i>		
			<i>Endomyces</i>		
			<i>Helicogonium</i>		
			<i>Macrorhabdus</i>		
			<i>Phialoascus</i>		
<i>Saccharomycodales</i>		<i>Saccharomycodaceae</i>	<i>Hanseniapora/Kloeckera</i>		
			<i>Saccharomyces</i>		
<i>Sporopachydermiomycetes</i>	<i>Sporopachydermiales</i>	<i>Sporopachydermiaceae</i>	<i>Sporopachydermia</i>		
<i>Trigonopsidomycetes</i>	<i>Trigonopsidales</i>	<i>Trigonopsidaceae</i>	<i>Botryozyma/Ascobotryozyma</i>		
			<i>Tortispora</i>		
			<i>Trigonopsis</i>		

Family included in the *Alloascoideales*: *Alloascoideaceae*.

Genus included in the *Alloascoideales* based on the current study: *Alloascoidea*.

*Notes*: Although only one species is included in these analyses, considering the long branches observed by Kurtzman & Robnett (2013b) and Shen *et al.* (2018), we have decided to split off *Alloascoidea* from *Sporopachydermia* for inclusion in the new order *Alloascoideales*.

***Dipodascomyces*** M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente & A. Rokas, **class. nov.** MycoBank MB 847281.

*Diagnosis*: Class-specific protein families OG0005588, OG0005810, and OG0006132. This class contains dimorphic yeasts that can also produce arthroconidia. Phylogenetic analyses using DNA sequences encoding LSU rDNA, SSU rDNA, mtSSU rDNA, and EF-1 $\alpha$  (Kurtzman *et al.* 2007).

*Description*: With the characteristics of *Dipodascaceae* (Engler & Gilg 1924).

*Type order*: *Dipodascales* M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente & A. Rokas *ord. nov.*, this study.

*Included order*: *Dipodascales*.

***Dipodascales*** M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente & A. Rokas, **ord. nov.** MycoBank MB 847282.

*Diagnosis*: Order-specific protein families OG0005588, OG0005810, and OG0006132. Phylogenetic analyses using DNA sequences encoding LSU rDNA, mtSSU rDNA, and Cox2 sequences (Kurtzman & Robnett 2007). Contains dimorphic yeasts that can also produce arthroconidia.

*Description*: With the characteristics of *Dipodascaceae* (Engler & Gilg 1924).

*Type family*: *Dipodascaceae* Engl. & E. Gilg, Syllabus der Pflanzenfamilien: 59. 1924. MycoBank MB 80709.

*Type genus*: *Dipodascus* Lagerh., Jahrb. Wiss. Bot. 24: 549. 1892. MycoBank MB 1632.

*Type*: *Dipodascus albidus* Lagerh., Jahrb. Wiss. Bot. 24: 549. 1892. MycoBank MB 246592.

Families included in the *Dipodascales*: *Dipodascaceae*, *Trichomonascaceae*.

Genera included in the *Dipodascales* based on the current and previous studies: *Crinitomyces*, *Deakozyma*, *Diddensiella*, *Dipodascus* (asexual morph *Geotrichum*), *Galactomyces* (asexual morph *Geotrichum*), *Groenewaldozyma*, *Limtongella*, *Magnusiomyces* (asexual morph *Saprochaete*), *Middelhovenomyces*, *Nadsonia* (asexual morph *Schizoblastosporion*), *Spencermartinsiella*, *Starmarella*, *Sugiyamaella*, *Trichomonascus* (asexual morph *Blastobotrys*), *Wickerhamiella*, *Yarrowia*, *Zygoascus*.

*Notes*: Genera that were not included in this study are *Limtongella* (Sakpuntoon *et al.* 2020b) and *Crinitomyces* (Sakpuntoon *et al.* 2022), but based on their phylogenetic placement, they are sister

genera to *Deakozyma* and *Dipodascus*, respectively, which justifies the inclusion of both as genera of the *Dipodascales*. Based on our analyses, several genera that were previously included in the *Saccharomycetales incertae sedis* (Kurtzman *et al.* 2011b) are also included in this order, together with the genera of the families *Dipodascaceae* and *Trichomonascaceae*. Although the genus *Trichomonascus* was not included in the analyses, the asexual morph *Blastobotrys* was. Based on previous studies (Kurtzman & Robnett 2007, Kurtzman *et al.* 2011b) and due to the fact that *Trichomonascus* is the type genus of *Trichomonascaceae*, it is also included in the *Dipodascales*.

Our RED analyses showed low relative evolutionary divergence values, indicating possible continued under-classification for this group. However, when *Yarrowia* and *Nadsonia* were excluded from *Dipodascales*, this order's RED value only shifted from 0.482 to 0.479, and it was still not located within the order boundaries. This led to the decision to include *Yarrowia* and *Nadsonia* in *Dipodascales* for now. However, description of more species in this group may eventually indicate that a split is necessary.

*Biotechnological relevance*: This order includes the biotechnologically important oleaginous yeast *Yarrowia lipolytica*, which is being considered for multiple industrial applications, such as a protein source for livestock feeding, a production host for organic acids or hydrophobic substances, for heterologous production of pharmaceutical and industrial proteins and enzymes, and for the production of bioproducts and biofuels (reviewed by Groenewald *et al.* 2014). Certain *Blastobotrys* species are used in biotechnology, including *Blastobotrys mokoensis*, a species with a high secretion of xylanase, which was used industrially in bio-bleaching and bioprocessing of wood pulps (Techapun *et al.* 2003). *Blastobotrys adenivorans* has major stress tolerant properties and an unusual metabolic flexibility that allows this species to use a wide range of carbon and nitrogen sources, which makes it a potentially important species for industrial purposes (Kunze *et al.* 2014). *Starmarella* species, especially those related to *St. magnoliae*, can produce erythritol (Yang *et al.* 1999) and D-mannitol (Song *et al.* 2002), which can be used as sweeteners. *Starmarella bombicola* is an excellent producer of sophorolipids (glycolipids), which are biodegradable and non-toxic biosurfactants, with a wide range of biotechnological applications in the food, health, and cosmetic industries (Takahashi *et al.* 2011, Qazi *et al.* 2022). Several species of *Sugiyamaella* are xylanase producers, and other species are reported to ferment D-xylose to ethanol, traits with biotechnological interest for the production of lignocellulosic ethanol (Sena *et al.* 2017).

*Geotrichum klebahnii* has several reported biotechnological characteristics and abilities, such as the rapid degradation of lignin from corn stover, good growth on hemicellulose from sugar-cane bagasse, and the secretion of propectinases with polygalacturonase activities. *Geotrichum candidum* is used to produce different varieties of cheeses and meat products (Ropars & Giraud 2022).

*Clinical relevance*: There have been reports of *Galactomyces candidus*, *Magnusiomyces capitatus*, and *Yarrowia lipolytica* causing human infections, and the first two species are increasingly found in the blood of immunocompromised patients (see overview in Kurtzman *et al.* 2011b, Groenewald *et al.* 2014, de Hoog *et al.* 2020).

***Lipomyces*** M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente & A. Rokas, **class. nov.** MycoBank MB 847285.

**Diagnosis:** Class-specific protein families OG0010973, OG0011052, OG0008472, and OG0009553. Phylogenetic analyses using either LSU rDNA or DNA sequences encoding SSU rDNA, LSU rDNA, mtSSU rDNA, and EF-1 $\alpha$  (Kurtzman *et al.* 2007). Strains produce intracellular lipids and extracellular starch-like compounds, fermentation is absent, nitrate is not assimilated.

**Description:** With the characteristics of *Lipomycetaceae* (Novák & Zsolt 1961).

**Type order:** *Lipomycetales* M. Groenew., Hittinger, Ofulente & A. Rokas *ord. nov.*, this study.

**Included order:** *Lipomycetales*.

**Notes:** It is known that the higher levels of genomic diversity in *Saccharomycotina* stem from an acceleration of the evolutionary rate that occurred within the subphylum, after the divergence of *Lipomycetaceae* from the rest of the *Saccharomycotina*. This acceleration can also be seen in the genetic divergence that exists between the *Lipomycetes* and the rest of the *Saccharomycotina* classes presented here. See clustering presented in the phylogenomic tree in Shen *et al.* (2018), as well as Supplementary Fig. S1, which includes all groups of *Saccharomycotina*.

***Lipomycetales*** M. Groenew., Hittinger, Ofulente & A. Rokas, ***ord. nov.*** MycoBank MB 847286.

**Diagnosis:** Order-specific protein families OG0010973 OG0011052, OG0008472, OG0097159, and OG0009553. Phylogenetic analyses using LSU rDNA or DNA sequences encoding SSU rDNA, LSU rDNA, mtSSU rDNA, and EF-1 $\alpha$  (Kurtzman *et al.* 2007). Ability to produce intracellular lipids and extracellular starch-like compounds. Fermentation is absent, nitrate is not assimilated.

**Description:** With the characteristics of *Lipomycetaceae* (Novák & Zsolt 1961).

**Type family:** *Lipomycetaceae* E.K. Novák & Zsolt, Acta Bot. Acad. Sci. Hung. 7: 97. 1961. MycoBank MB 80960.

**Type genus:** *Lipomyces* Lodder & Kreger, The Yeasts: A Taxonomic Study: 669. 1952. MycoBank MB 2888.

**Type:** *Lipomyces starkeyi* Lodder & Kreger, The Yeasts: A Taxonomic Study: 669. 1952. MycoBank MB 299844.

Family included in the *Lipomycetales*: *Lipomycetaceae*.

Genera included in the *Lipomycetales* based on this study and previous studies: *Babjevia*, *Dipodascopsis*, *Kockiozyma*, *Lipomyces* (asexual morph *Myxozyma*).

**Notes:** Although the genera *Dipodascopsis*, *Babjevia*, and *Kockiozyma* were not included in these analyses, based on previous analyses (Kurtzman *et al.* 2007, Yamazaki *et al.* 2020, Jindamorakot *et al.* 2012, Kurtzman *et al.* 2011a), it is clear that these genera belong to the family *Lipomycetaceae* and are therefore also included in the *Lipomycetales*.

**Biotechnological relevance:** There are many *Lipomyces* species with important biotechnological capabilities that enable them to play a role in the bioindustry. *Lipomyces kononenkoae*, *L. lipofer*,

and *L. starkeyi* can hydrolyze dextran; produce d-12-desaturase, which is an enzyme that converts oleic acid into linoleic acid; produce dextranases; and show extracellular  $\alpha$ -amylase activity, a key characteristic for the hydrolysis of industrial starch (Kurtzman *et al.* 2011b). Various *Lipomyces* species such as *L. doorenjongii*, *L. kalimantanensis*, *L. lipofer*, *L. mesembrius*, *L. starkeyi*, *L. tetrasporus*, *L. tropicalis* and *L. yarrowii* have been reported to be the oleaginous yeasts with capable of accumulate high lipid, therefore, they have potential for microbial lipid production (Sapsirisuk *et al.* 2022, Poontawee *et al.* 2023).

***Pichiomycetes*** M. Groenew., Hittinger, Ofulente & A. Rokas, ***class. nov.*** MycoBank MB 847268.

**Diagnosis:** Class-specific protein family OG0000547.

**Type order:** *Pichiales* M. Groenew., Hittinger, Ofulente & A. Rokas, *ord. nov.*, this study.

Orders included in *Pichiomycetes*: *Alaninales*, *Pichiales*, *Serinales*.

***Alaninales*** M. Groenew., Hittinger, Ofulente & A. Rokas, ***ord. nov.*** MycoBank MB 847270.

**Diagnosis:** Order-specific protein family OG0016373. The CUG codon is translated into an alanine, instead of the nearly universal leucine. Phylogenetic analyses using DNA sequences encoding LSU rDNA, SSU rDNA, EF-1 $\alpha$ , and mtSSU rDNA. Budding is multilateral and on a narrow base, ascospores are hemispherical or hat-shaped.

**Type family:** *Pachysolenaceae* M. Groenew., Hittinger, Ofulente & A. Rokas, *fam. nov.*, this study.

***Pachysolenaceae*** M. Groenew., Hittinger, Ofulente & A. Rokas, ***fam. nov.*** MycoBank MB 847271.

**Diagnosis:** Family-specific protein family OG0016373. The CUG codon is translated into an alanine, instead of the nearly universal leucine. Phylogenetic analyses using DNA sequences encoding LSU rDNA, SSU rDNA, EF-1 $\alpha$ , and mtSSU rDNA (Kurtzman & Robnett 2010). Budding is multilateral and on a narrow base, ascospores are hemispherical or hat-shaped.

**Type genus:** *Pachysolen* Boidin & Adzet, Bull. Trimestriell Soc. Mycol. France 73: 340. 1958 [1957]. MycoBank MB 3676.

**Type:** *Pachysolen tannophilus* Boidin & Adzet, Bull. Trimestriell Soc. Mycol. France 73: 340. 1958 [1957]. MycoBank MB 302177.

Family included in the *Alaninales*: *Pachysolenaceae*.

Genera included in the *Alaninales* based on this study: *Nakazawaea*, *Pachysolen*, *Peterozyma*.

**Notes:** All genera assigned now in this order were previously included in the *Saccharomycetales incertae sedis* (Kurtzman *et al.* 2011b). For many years, the taxonomic placement of taxa in *Nakazawaea*, *Pachysolen*, and *Peterozyma* was unclear. Recent studies have shown that these genera form a group in which the CUG codon of species in these genera is translated into an alanine, instead of the nearly universal leucine. The CUG-Ala clade is monophyletic (Mühlhausen *et al.* 2016, Riley *et al.* 2016, Shen *et al.* 2016, 2017, 2018, Krassowski *et al.* 2018).

**Biotechnological relevance:** *Nakazawaea peltata* produces a  $\beta$ -glucosidase that can help, in combination with purified cellulase, in the degradation of cellulose; it is also an efficient producer of xylitol, a substance used increasingly as an artificial sweetener (Saha & Bothast 1996, 1999). *Pachysolen tannophilus* can convert crude glycerol feedstocks into ethanol (Liu *et al.* 2012), and it was also shown that strains from this species can switch from glucose to efficient xylose fermentation (Slininger *et al.* 2011).

**Pichiales** M. Groenew., Hittinger, Oplente & A. Rokas, **ord. nov.** MycoBank MB 847273.

**Diagnosis:** Order-specific protein families OG0005494 and OG0005374. Phylogenetic analyses using DNA sequences encoding LSU rDNA, SSU rDNA, EF-1 $\alpha$ , and mtSSU rDNA (Daniel *et al.* 2014).

**Type family:** *Pichiaceae* M. Groenew., Hittinger, Oplente & A. Rokas, **fam. nov.**, this study.

**Pichiaceae** M. Groenew., Hittinger, Oplente & A. Rokas, **fam. nov.** MycoBank MB 847274.

**Synonym:** 'Pichiaceae' Zender [as 'Pichiaceae'], Bull. Soc. Bot. Genève sér. 2, 17: 290. 1925. MycoBank MB 81172, **nom. inval.**, Art. 32.1(c), see Art. 18.4 (Shenzhen).

**Diagnosis:** Family-specific protein families OG0005494 and OG0005374. Phylogenetic analyses using DNA sequences encoding LSU rDNA, SSU rDNA, EF-1 $\alpha$ , and mtSSU rDNA (Daniel *et al.* 2014).

**Type genus:** *Pichia* E.C. Hansen, Centralbl. Bakteriol. Parasitenk., 2. Abth. 12: 538. 1904. MycoBank MB 4095.

**Type:** *Pichia membranifaciens* (E.C. Hansen) E.C. Hansen, Centralbl. Bakteriol. Parasitenk., 2. Abth. 12: 538. 1904. MycoBank MB 227217.

Family included in the *Pichiales*: *Pichiaceae*

Genera included in the *Pichiales* based on the current and previous studies: *Allodekкера*, *Ambrosiozyma*, *Brettanomyces* (teleomorph *Dekkera*), *Citeromyces*, *Komagataella*, *Kregervanrija*, *Kuraishia*, *Martiniozyma*, *Ogataea*, *Pichia*, *Saturnispora*.

**Notes:** Several genera that were previously included in the *Saccharomycetales incertae sedis* by Kurtzman *et al.* (2011b), together with the genera of the family *Pichiaceae* and the recently introduced genus *Allodekкера* (Jutakanoke *et al.* 2017), are now included in the *Pichiales*. *Komagataella* was previously included in the family *Phaffomycetaceae* (Kurtzman *et al.* 2011b), but genome-scale analyses support its reassignment to *Pichiales* (see also the description of *Phaffomycetales*).

**Biotechnological relevance:** Several species in the *Ogataea* clade were found to have characteristics that make them good candidates for biotechnological applications, with *O. parapolyomorpha* as a model organism. *Komagataella pastoris*, *Komagataella phaffii*, *Ogataea polymorpha*, and *Ogataea methanolica* are the most frequently used yeasts for heterologous protein production (Ravin *et al.* 2013, Love *et al.* 2016, Riley *et al.* 2016, Liu *et al.* 2022). Strong regulatory promoters from *Ogataea polymorpha*, *O. methanolica*, and *Candida boidinii* were shown to be superior to endogenous promoters for recombinant protein expression in

*Komagataella pastoris* (Mombeni *et al.* 2020, Vogl *et al.* 2020). Genome-scale metabolic models have been constructed and metabolic engineering is being implemented in this clade, including protein production platform species in *Komagataella* and *Ogataea* (Patra *et al.* 2021, Vogl *et al.* 2020). *Pichia kluyveri* is common in natural fermentations of agricultural products, such as coffee beans and in beverages (Wang *et al.* 2020) and its potential importance in aroma production was also illustrated (Karaalioglu & Yuceer 2021, Vicente *et al.* 2021). *Candida arabinofementans* (Kurtzman & Dien 1998) and *C. succiphila* (Dien *et al.* 1996) can ferment L-arabinose into moderate concentrations of ethanol, and *C. maris* can perform the enantioselective reduction of 5-acetylfuro[2,3-c]pyridine to (S)-5-(1-hydroxyethyl)furo[2,3-c]-pyridine, which can be useful in the pharmaceutical industry (Kawano *et al.* 2003). Tiukova *et al.* (2019) suggested that *Brettanomyces naardenensis* has great biotechnological potential by providing evidence through functional genome annotations, for stress tolerance, and its ability to ferment D-xylose well. *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* is associated with fermentation processes in which it can also be considered a beneficial microorganism, specifically due to its unique nutrient metabolism and peculiar flavour production including in the production of specific beers (Steensels *et al.* 2015, Tiukova *et al.* 2019) and as a bioethanol producer (Steensels *et al.* 2015, da Silva *et al.* 2020).

**Clinical relevance:** *Pichia kudriavzevii* (asexual morph *Candida krusei*) is often isolated with humans and animals and was indicated to be the fifth most common cause of candidemia (see overview in Kurtzman *et al.* 2011b, de Hoog *et al.* 2020). Several *Pichia* species can grow at temperatures of 37 °C or higher, such as *P. manshurica*, *P. inconspicua*, and *P. norvegensis*, and these have been suggested to have the potential to infect humans and cause disease (Majoros *et al.* 2003, Kurtzman *et al.* 2011b).

**Serinales** M. Groenew., Hittinger, Oplente & A. Rokas, **ord. nov.** MycoBank MB 847269.

**Diagnosis:** Order-specific protein family OG0004743. The CUG codon is translated into a serine, instead of the nearly universal leucine.

**Type family:** *Metschnikowiaceae* Kamiński ex Doweld, Index Fungorum 33: 1. 2013. MycoBank MB 550284.

**Type genus:** *Metschnikowia* Kamiński, Trudy Imp. S.-Peterburgsk. Obshch. Estestvoisp., Vyp. 3, Otd. Bot. 30(1): 364. 1900. MycoBank MB 3147.

**Type:** *Metschnikowia bicuspidata* (Metschn.) Kamiński. Trudy Imp. S.-Peterburgsk. Obshch. Estestvoisp., Vyp. 3, Otd. Bot. 30(1): 363. 1900. MycoBank MB 100690.

Families included in the *Serinales*: *Cephalosascaceae*, *Debaryomycetaceae*, *Metschnikowiaceae*.

Genera included in the *Serinales* based on the current and previous studies: *Aciculoconidium*, *Babjeviella*, *Candida*, *Cephalosascus*, *Clavispora*, *Danielozyma*, *Debaryomyces*, *Diutina*, *Hemisphaericaspora*, *Hyphopichia*, *Kodamaea*, *Kurtzmaniella*, *Limtongozyma*, *Lodderomyces*, *Metahyphopichia*, *Metschnikowia*, *Meyerozyma*, *Millerozyma*, *Nematodospora*, *Priceomyces*, *Scheffersomyces*, *Schwanniomyces*, *Spathaspora*, *Suhomyces*, *Teunomyces*, *Wickerhamia*, *Yamadazyma*.



**Notes:** This group is represented by species that translate their CUG codon into a serine, instead of the nearly universal leucine, and were included in the CUG-Ser1 clade (Shen *et al.* 2016, 2017, 2018, Riley *et al.* 2016, Krassowski *et al.* 2018). Based on these analyses, the medically important *Candida* species *C. albicans*, *C. tropicalis*, *C. parapsilosis*, *C. orthopsilosis*, and *C. auris* are included in the *Serinales*. *Diutina*, *Hemisphaericaspora*, *Limtongozyma*, *Metahyphopichia*, *Nematodospora*, and *Schwanniomyces* were not included in this study, but previous studies (Kurtzman & Suzuki 2010, Hui *et al.* 2014, Khunnamwong *et al.* 2015, Gouliamova *et al.* 2016, Boontham *et al.* 2020, Khunnamwong *et al.* 2022) placed these genera in *Debaryomycetaceae* or *Metschnikowiaceae*, two of the current families included in the *Serinales*.

**Biotechnological relevance:** This order includes the agro-industrially important genus *Debaryomyces*, particularly the species *D. hansenii* and its close relatives, which are known as extremophilic yeasts with great biotechnological potential (Fröhlich-Wyder 2003, Breuer & Harms 2006, see overview in Kurtzman *et al.* 2011b). Several species in the genera *Debaryomyces* and *Metschnikowia* are associated with fermented foods and are thus candidates for food applications (Bourdichon *et al.* 2012). *Limtongozyma cylindracea* has been of considerable interest for the ability to produce lipases that have numerous applications in the biotransformation of various molecules in the food, pharmaceutical, pesticide, and other industries (Matten *et al.* 2022). Several *Scheffersomyces* species, such as *Sch. shehatae*, *Sch. insectosus*, *Sch. lignosus* and *Sch. stipitidis* are known for their ability to ferment *D*-xylose (reviewed by Ruchala & Sibirny 2021). *Spathaspora passalidarum* and *Sp. arborariae* are efficient *D*-xylose fermenters (Cadete & Rosa 2018). Additional species in this order have biotechnological potential. For example, *Candida maltosa* can assimilate *n*-alkane and therefore could decontaminate soil polluted by petroleum products (Schmitz *et al.* 2000). *Candida mogii* and *C. tropicalis* have been used in the conversion of *D*-xylose into xylitol (de Mancilha & Karim 2003, Kumar *et al.* 2022), and the opportunistic human pathogen *C. tropicalis* is also known for its abilities to degrade hydrocarbons, perform lipid biotransformations, and degrade polyphenols in wastewater (Ettayebi *et al.* 2003).

**Clinical relevance:** *Candida albicans*, *C. tropicalis*, *C. auris*, and *C. parapsilosis* with its close relatives *C. metapsilosis* and *C. orthopsilosis* are broadly known as opportunistic human pathogens that have been isolated from various clinical material (Lockhart *et al.* 2008, Miranda *et al.* 2009, Silva *et al.* 2009, de Hoog *et al.* 2020). Additional species that are seen as opportunists with strains isolated from immunocompromised patients are *Candida dubliniensis* (included in the *Lodderomyces-Spathaspora* clade), *Clavispora lusitanae*, *Meyerozyma guilliermondii*, *M. farinosa*, and *Kodamaea ohmeri* (Gargeya *et al.* 1990, Page *et al.* 2006, Pfaller *et al.* 2006, Taj-Aldeen *et al.* 2006, Kurtzman *et al.* 2011b, Hong *et al.* 2018, de Hoog *et al.* 2020, Zhou *et al.* 2021).

**Saccharomycetes** G. Winter, Rabenh. Krypt.-Fl. Ed. 2, 1(1): 32, 68. 1881. emended by M. Groenew., Hittinger, Ofulente & A. Rokas. MycoBank MB 90791.

**Emended diagnosis:** Class-specific protein families OG0004556 and OG0004235. See original taxonomic description in Winter (1881).

**Type order:** *Saccharomycetales*.

**Included orders:** *Ascoideales*, *Phaffomycetales*, *Saccharomycetales*, *Saccharomycodales*.

**Ascoideales** J.H. Schaffner, Ohio Naturalist 13 (4): 76 (1913), emended by M. Groenew., Hittinger, Ofulente & A. Rokas. MycoBank MB 90693.

**Emended diagnosis:** Order-specific protein families OG0018641, OG0018642, and OG0018656. CUG codons are preferentially translated into serine, instead of the nearly universal leucine. Cells are dimorphic, abundant development of true mycelium, often with blastoconidia. Asci are usually attached to hyphae. See original description in Schaffner (1913), which until now, has not been generally recognized by modern taxonomists.

**Description:** With the characteristics of *Ascoideaceae* (Engler 1892) and *Saccharomycopsidaceae* (von Arx & van der Walt 1987).

**Type family:** *Ascoideaceae* Engl., Syllabus: 26. 1892. MycoBank MB 816385.

**Type genus:** *Ascoidea* Bref., Untersuch. Gesamtgeb. Mykol. 9: 94. 1891. MycoBank MB 361.

**Type:** *Ascoidea rubescens* Bref., Untersuch. Gesamtgeb. Mykol. 9: 94. 1891. MycoBank MB 212818.

Families included in the *Ascoideales*: *Ascoideaceae*, *Saccharomycopsidaceae*.

Genera included in the *Ascoideales* based on this study: *Ascoidea*, *Saccharomycopsis*.

**Notes:** *Ascoideales* is represented by species that mostly translate their CUG codons into a serine, instead of the nearly universal leucine and were included in the CUG-Ser2 clade (Riley *et al.* 2016, Shen *et al.* 2016, 2017, 2018, Krassowski *et al.* 2018). One species, *Ascoidea asiatica*, translates CUG codons stochastically as either serine or leucine. All other studied species in the order, including *A. rubescens* and several *Saccharomycopsis* species, translate CUG only as serine (Riley *et al.* 2016, Krassowski *et al.* 2018, Mühlhausen *et al.* 2018, Junker *et al.* 2019). When the genome of only a single representative species was used in phylogenomic inference, the stability of the placement of the CUG-Ser2 clade in the phylogeny was influenced by the inclusion of an ortholog group consisting of orthologs of the *S. cerevisiae* *DPM1* gene (Shen *et al.* 2017). The inclusion of the genomes of three additional representative species from this clade eliminated the gene's disproportionate influence and stabilized this clade's placement within the *Saccharomycotina* phylogeny (Shen *et al.* 2018).

**Biotechnological relevance:** Several characteristics of *Saccharomycopsis fibuligera* can be further exploited, such as the ability to hydrolyse starch due to the presence of  $\alpha$ -amylase and glucoamylase activities (Wickerham *et al.* 1944). The species can also actively accumulate trehalose when grown on starch (Chi *et al.* 2009). Many *Saccharomycopsis* species are capable of predation on fungi (Pimenta *et al.* 2008, Junker *et al.* 2018) and could be considered as biocontrol agents.

**Phaffomycetales** M. Groenew., Hittinger, Ofulente & A. Rokas, **ord. nov.** MycoBank MB 847267.

**Diagnosis:** Order-specific protein families OG0006529 and OG0006543. Phylogenetic analyses using DNA sequences encoding SSU rDNA, LSU rDNA, EF-1 $\alpha$ , Rpb1 and Rpb2 (Kurtzman & Robnett 2013a).

**Description:** With the characteristics of *Phaffomycetaceae* (Yamada et al. 1999) and *Wickerhamomycetaceae* (Kurtzman et al. 2008).

**Type family:** *Phaffomycetaceae* Y. Yamada et al., Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 63: 831. 1999. MycoBank MB 82097.

**Type genus:** *Phaffomyces* Y. Yamada, Bull. Fac. Agric. Shizuoka Univ. 47: 30. 1997. MycoBank MB 27889.

**Type:** *Phaffomyces opuntiae* (Starmer et al.) Y. Yamada, Bull. Fac. Agric. Shizuoka Univ. 47: 30. 1997. MycoBank MB 445220.

Families included in the *Phaffomycetales*: *Phaffomycetaceae*, *Wickerhamomycetaceae*.

Genera included in the *Phaffomycetales* based on this study: *Barnettozyma*, *Cyberindnera*, *Phaffomyces*, *Starmera*, *Wickerhamomyces*.

**Notes:** *Komagataella* was previously included in the family *Phaffomycetaceae* (Kurtzman et al. 2011b), but genome-scale analyses do not support its inclusion in the *Phaffomycetales* (this study, Shen et al. 2018), so *Komagataella* is included in the *Pichiales* (see the description of *Pichiales*).

**Biotechnological relevance:** Species of the genus *Wickerhamomyces* have great biotechnological potential as many of them can grow under stressful environmental conditions, such as extremes of pH, low water activity, and anaerobic conditions (see overview in Kurtzman et al. 2011b, Chen et al. 2020, Sehnem et al. 2020). In particular, *Wickerhamomyces anomalus* is appreciated for its production of metabolites useful for biopreservation of fruit and cereals and for other purposes (Walker 2010). This species has also shown promising potential to be used as a biocontrol agent against various fungal plant pathogens (Hashem et al. 2014, Oro et al. 2018, Limtong et al. 2020). Several species in the genera *Cyberindnera* and *Wickerhamomyces* are associated with food fermentations and are thus candidates for applications involving human consumption (Bourdichon et al. 2012, Karaalioglu & Yuceer 2021). *Cyberindnera jadinii* (asexual morph *Candida utilis*) is a well-known fodder yeast and industrial producer of single-cell protein (SCP) (Salazar-López et al. 2022).

**Saccharomycetales** C. Luerssen, Grundz. Bot.: 156. 1877, emended by M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente & A. Rokas. MycoBank MB 816369.

**Emended diagnosis:** Order-specific protein families OG0005235 and OG0005246. See original description in Winter (1881).

**Type family:** *Saccharomycetaceae* C. Luerssen, Grundz. Bot.: 160. 1877. MycoBank MB 816370.

**Type genus:** *Saccharomyces* Meyen, Arch. Naturgesch. 4 (2): 100. 1838. MycoBank MB 542401.

**Type:** *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Desm.) Meyen, Arch. Naturgesch. 4 (2): 100. 1838. MycoBank MB 492348.

Family included in the *Saccharomycetales*: *Saccharomycetaceae*. Genera included in the *Saccharomycetales* based on this and previous studies: *Cyniclomyces*, *Eremothecium* (asexual morph *Ashbya*), *Grigorovia*, *Hagleromyces*, *Kazachstania*, *Kluyveromyces*, *Lachancea*, *Nakaseomyces*, *Naumovozyma*, *Saccharomyces*, *Savitreea*, *Tetrapisispora*, *Torulaspora*, *Vanderwaltozyma*, *Yueomyces*, *Zygosaccharomyces*, *Zygotorulaspora*.

**Notes:** *Cyniclomyces*, *Grigorovia*, *Hagleromyces*, and *Savitreea* were not included in this study, but Kurtzman et al. (2011b) placed *Cyniclomyces* in the family *Saccharomycetaceae*, Sousa et al. (2014) showed that *Hagleromyces* is the sister genus to *Cyniclomyces*, *Grigorovia* is nested within *Kazachstania* (Gouliamova & Dimitrov 2020), and *Savitreea* is the sister genus to *Lachancea* (Sakpuntoon et al. 2020a); therefore, we have assigned these genera to *Saccharomycetales*.

**Biotechnological relevance:** This order includes the most commonly known biotechnologically important yeast genus *Saccharomyces*, with the species *S. cerevisiae* (Kurtzman et al. 2011b). The agro-industrially important yeast genera *Kazachstania*, *Kluyveromyces*, *Torulaspora*, and *Zygosaccharomyces* are also part of this order (Kurtzman et al. 2011b, Urien et al. 2019, Karim et al. 2020, Fernandes et al. 2021, Karaalioglu & Yuceer 2021, Solieri 2021). Several species in *Lachancea*, *Saccharomyces*, *Kazachstania*, *Kluyveromyces*, *Zygotorulaspora*, and *Zygosaccharomyces* are associated with fermented foods, and are thus candidates for food applications (Bourdichon et al. 2012, Karaalioglu & Yuceer 2021). *Kluyveromyces marxianus*, a thermotolerant species, shows high ethanol fermentation at high temperatures from sucrose, glucose, and xylose; therefore, it has high potential for industrial ethanol production in tropical areas (Limtong et al. 2007, Nonklang et al. 2008, Nitiyon et al. 2016). *Torulaspora indica* reveals high antagonistic activity against fungal pathogens that cause plant diseases; thus, it has potential to be effective biocontrol agent (Konsue et al. 2020, Limtong et al. 2020). The metabolic functionalities of genera, including *Kluyveromyces*, *Lachancea* and *Ogataea*, have been mapped (Lertwattanasaku et al. 2015, Nanda et al. 2020, Kosaka et al. 2022, Lu et al. 2022).

**Clinical relevance:** *Nakaseomyces glabratus*, until recently known as *Candida glabrata*, is of great importance in clinical microbiology. This species is a major pathogen that accounts for an increasingly large proportion of nosocomial fungal infections, especially in immunocompromised patients (Fidel et al. 1999, de Hoog et al. 2020). In addition, *N. braccarensis* and *N. nivariensis*, are emerging human pathogens that are found in many patients in many countries. Although *S. cerevisiae* is classified as a safe organism, it has been found to colonize in the human body, in particular the human gut and genitourinary tracts (Belvonicikova et al. 2022), and several cases of *S. cerevisiae* fungemia have been reported, principally in immunocompromised people (see overview in Kurtzman et al. 2011b).

#### ***Saccharomycetales incertae sedis (i.s.)***

Five genera, *Coccidiascus*, *Endomyces*, *Helicogonium*, *Macrorhabdus*, and *Phialoascus* were indicated as *Saccharomycetales i.s.* by Kurtzman et al. (2011b) as no living type cultures of these genera are available. For *Endomyces*, partial rDNA sequences available from two non-type strains of *E. scopularum* indicate that this genus is part of the *Saccharomycetales*; together

with *Helicogonium* and *Phialoascus*, *Endomyces* has been assigned to the family *Endomycetaceae* (Kurtzman *et al.* 2011b). However, additional work is necessary to clarify the status of that family.

**Saccharomycodales** M. Groenew., Hittinger, Ofulente & A. Rokas, **ord. nov.** MycoBank MB 847266.

**Diagnosis:** Order-specific protein families present OG0011566, OG0011567, OG0011580, OG0011587, and OG0011592. Phylogenetic analyses using DNA sequences encoding mtSSU rDNA, ITS, LSU rDNA, EF-1 $\alpha$ , and Cox2 (Kurtzman *et al.* 2011b). Budding is bipolar, nitrate is not assimilated, the diazotium blue B reaction is negative, and coenzyme CoQ-6 is present.

**Description:** With the characteristics of *Saccharomycodaceae* (Kudryavtsev 1960).

**Type family:** *Saccharomycodaceae* Kudryavtsev, Die Systematik der Hefen: 270. 1960. MycoBank MB 81249.

**Type genus:** *Saccharomycodes* E.C. Hansen, Centralbl. Bakteriol. Parasitenk., 2. Abth. 12: 537. 1904. MycoBank MB 4814.

**Type:** *Saccharomycodes ludwigii* (E.C. Hansen) E.C. Hansen, Centralbl. Bakteriol. Parasitenk., 2. Abth. 12: 537. 1904. MycoBank MB 246456.

Family included in the *Saccharomycodales*: *Saccharomycodaceae*. Genera included in the *Saccharomycodales* based on this and previous studies: *Hanseniaspora*/*Kloeckera*, *Saccharomycodes*.

**Notes:** *Saccharomycodes* was not included in this study, but Kurtzman *et al.* (2011b) placed *Saccharomycodes* in the family *Saccharomycodaceae*.

**Biotechnological relevance:** Several applications in biotechnological processes have been proposed for *Saccharomycodes ludwigii*, which can be investigated further. This includes production of (non-) alcoholic beverages (Romano *et al.* 1998, Methner *et al.* 2022, Vařtik *et al.* 2022), sulfidation of wine musts before fermentation (Gadhiev *et al.* 1976), and production of vinegar due to its acetic acid resistance (Saeki 1990). *Hanseniaspora* species are common in many natural fermentations (Lleixà *et al.* 2016, Valera *et al.* 2021).

**Sporopachydermiomycetes** M. Groenew., Hittinger, Ofulente & A. Rokas, **class. nov.** MycoBank MB 847275.

**Diagnosis:** Class-specific protein families OG0028621, OG0028581, OG0028722, and OG0028736. Phylogenetic analyses using DNA sequences encoding LSU rDNA, mtSSU rDNA, and Cox2 sequences (Kurtzman & Robnett 2007). Asexual reproduction is by multilateral budding on a narrow base. Glucose fermentation is absent or weak. Nitrate is not assimilated. *myo*-Inositol as sole carbon source is assimilated. Coenzyme Q-9 is formed.

**Description:** With the characteristics of *Sporopachydermiales*.

**Type order:** *Sporopachydermiales* M. Groenew., Hittinger, Ofulente & A. Rokas, **ord. nov.**, this study.

**Included order:** *Sporopachydermiales*.

**Notes:** Several phylogenetic studies found that the clades including *Alloascoidea* and *Sporopachydermia* are situated on long branches indicative of substantial evolutionary divergence, and their divergence times are similar or greater than that of the orders *Pichiiales* and *Alaninales* (Lachance 2011, Kurtzman & Robnett 2013b, Shen *et al.* 2018). Although only two *Sporopachydermia* and one *Alloascoidea* species were included in the current study, we propose to describe two separate sister classes: *Sporopachydermiomycetes* to accommodate the genus *Sporopachydermia*, and *Alloascoideomycetes* to accommodate the genus *Alloascoidea*. See also the description of *Alloascoideomycetes* above. Although the number of validly described species available for these two classes is limited, Lachance (2011) listed a fair number of species of the genus *Sporopachydermia* that are awaiting formal descriptions.

**Sporopachydermiales** M. Groenew., Hittinger, Ofulente & A. Rokas, **ord. nov.** MycoBank MB 847276.

**Diagnosis:** Order-specific protein families OG0028621, OG0028581, OG0028722, and OG0028736. Phylogenetic analyses using DNA sequences encoding LSU rDNA, mtSSU rDNA, and Cox2 sequences (Kurtzman & Robnett 2007). Asexual reproduction is by multilateral budding on a narrow base. Pseudohyphae and true hyphae are not formed. Glucose fermentation is absent or weak, nitrate is not assimilated, and *myo*-inositol is assimilated. Coenzyme Q-9 is formed.

**Description:** With the characteristics of *Sporopachydermia* (Rodrigues de Miranda 1978).

**Type family:** *Sporopachydermiaceae* M. Groenew., Hittinger, Ofulente & A. Rokas, **fam. nov.**, this study.

**Sporopachydermiaceae** M. Groenew., Hittinger, Ofulente & A. Rokas, **fam. nov.** MycoBank MB 847277.

**Diagnosis:** Family-specific protein families OG0028621, OG0028581, OG0028722, and OG0028736. Phylogenetic analyses using DNA sequences encoding LSU rDNA, mtSSU rDNA, and Cox2 sequences (Kurtzman & Robnett 2007). Asexual reproduction is by multilateral budding on a narrow base, and pseudohyphae and true hyphae are not formed. Glucose fermentation is absent or weak, nitrate is not assimilated, and *myo*-inositol as sole carbon source is assimilated. Coenzyme Q-9 is formed.

**Description:** With the characteristics of *Sporopachydermia* (Rodrigues de Miranda 1978).

**Type genus:** *Sporopachydermia* Rodr. Mir., Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 44: 440. 1978. MycoBank MB 5165.

**Type:** *Sporopachydermia lactativora* Rodr. Mir., Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 44: 440. 1978. MycoBank MB 323856.

Family included in the *Sporopachydermiales*: *Sporopachydermiaceae*. Genus included in the *Sporopachydermiales* based on the current study: *Sporopachydermia*.



*Notes:* Although only two species are included in these analyses considering the long branches observed by Kurtzman & Robnett (2013b) and Shen *et al.* (2018), we have decided to split off *Sporopachydermia* from *Alloascoidea* for inclusion in the new order *Sporopachydermiales*.

***Trigonopsidomycetes*** M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente & A. Rokas, *class. nov.* MycoBank MB 847283.

*Diagnosis:* Class-specific protein families OG0008190 and OG0008482. Phylogenetic analyses using DNA sequences encoding LSU rDNA, mtSSU-rDNA, and Cox2 sequences (Kurtzman & Robnett 2007).

*Description:* With the characteristics of *Trigonopsidaceae* (Lachance & Kurtzman 2013).

*Type order:* *Trigonopsidales* M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente & A. Rokas, *ord. nov.*, this study.

*Included order:* *Trigonopsidales*.

***Trigonopsidales*** M. Groenew., Hittinger, Opulente & A. Rokas, *ord. nov.* MycoBank MB 847284.

*Diagnosis:* Order-specific protein families OG0008190 and OG0008482. Phylogenetic analyses using DNA sequences encoding LSU rDNA, mtSSU rDNA, and Cox2 sequences (Kurtzman & Robnett 2007, Lachance & Kurtzman 2013).

*Description:* With the characteristics of *Trigonopsidaceae* (Lachance & Kurtzman 2013).

*Type family:* *Trigonopsidaceae* Lachance & Kurtzman, *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 63: 3113. 2013. MycoBank MB 803502.

*Type genus:* *Trigonopsis* Schachner, *Z. Ges. Brauwesen* 52: 137. 1929. MycoBank MB 10310.

*Type:* *Trigonopsis variabilis* Schachner, *Z. Ges. Brauwesen* 52: 137. 1929. MycoBank MB 281376.

Family included in the *Trigonopsidales: Trigonopsidaceae*.

Genera included in the *Trigonopsidales* based on this study and previous studies: *Botryozyma* (teleomorph *Ascobotryozyma*), *Tortispora*, *Trigonopsis*.

*Notes:* *Trigonopsis*, *Tortispora*, and *Botryozyma* were included in the family *Trigonopsidaceae* (Lachance & Kurtzman 2013). Unfortunately, since *Trigonopsidales* contains only a single family, it could not be included in the RED analyses. Considering the exceptional early divergence of the basal branching point marking this order, it is possible that additional data may eventually support the division of this new order. However, due to the lack of species, we have decided to combine the genera *Trigonopsis*, *Tortispora*, and *Botryozyma* into one order, while acknowledging that future descriptions of more species in this group may lead to the split of *Tortispora* and *Botryozyma* from *Trigonopsis*.

*Biotechnological relevance:* The ability of *Trigonopsis variabilis* to produce D-amino acid oxidases that catalyse the oxidative deamination of  $\alpha$ -amino acids to  $\alpha$ -keto acids and ammonia (see

overview in Kurtzman *et al.* 2011b) is of great importance to the pharmaceutical industry. Other uses for this enzyme include synthesis of chiral intermediates to produce antihypertensive drugs (Patel 2001) and the conversion of cephalosporin C to 7-amino cephalosporanic acid, which is a key intermediate for cephem antibiotics (Dib & Nidetzky 2008).

## CONCLUSIONS

Since the currently used classification of the higher taxonomic categories within the *Saccharomycotina* is inconsistent with evolutionary relationships and distances, we have proposed an updated class and order classification. Increasing the numbers of classes and orders in the *Saccharomycotina* from one to seven and twelve, respectively, makes the higher rank lineages more consistent with the high level of genomic diversity that exists within this subphylum and more comparable to the higher-level taxonomic ranks in use for other fungi, which is especially important for environmental sequencing and comparative studies. Without this proposal, the current class and order classifications would continue to be nearly worthless for macro-evolutionary comparisons because all budding yeasts of the subphylum *Saccharomycotina* are currently included in the *Saccharomycetales* and the *Saccharomycetes*. Taxonomy should ideally reflect evolutionary relationships among organisms, and genome-based phylogenies are suitable to guide taxonomy because they allow to consider both evolutionary relationships and differing rates of evolution. The newly proposed classification accounts for monophyly and divergence time, making the main higher taxonomic ranks more informative. The newly proposed higher-level taxonomic categories of *Saccharomycotina* provide a more natural classification and improve the taxonomic and phylogenetic precision of higher taxonomic levels. Another important aspect of the improved taxonomy is that it makes it clearer that the cause of being a pathogen might be different in different clades. Currently, (novel) species and their classifications can be found on *The Yeasts* website (<https://theyeasts.org/>), the successor to *The Yeasts: A Taxonomic Study* book series. This website ensures that taxonomic changes are freely available as soon as possible after the new classification is published.

Current taxonomies scale consistently with many other features of biological interest, such as magnitudes of divergence in morphology, physiology, behaviour, or ecology. Most of the newly proposed orders are tied to unique genetic characters (unique OGs, (multi)gene sequences, codon translation), although in some cases, distinct morphological and physiological characters can be used as supplemental features. For example, the formal description of the newly proposed orders references diagnostic characters for each as follows: *Saccharomycetales* (unique OGs), *Saccharomycodales* (OGs, barcoding, morphology, physiology), *Phaffomycetales* (OGs, barcoding), *Alaninales* (OGs, codon translation CUG-Ala, barcoding, morphology, physiology), *Seriniales* (OGs, codon translation CUG-Ser), *Ascoideales* (OGs, codon translation CUG-Ser, morphology), *Pichiiales* (OGs, barcoding), *Lipomycetales* (OGs, barcoding, physiology), *Sporopachydermiales* (OGs, barcoding, morphology, physiology), *Alloascoideales* (OGs, barcoding, morphology), *Dipodascales* (OGs, barcoding, morphology), and *Trigonopsidales* (OGs, barcoding, physiology). Although this current study only focused on the higher classifications of classes and orders, it is clear from the genome-scale phylogeny presented and earlier studies that the family *Wickerhamomycetaceae* is polyphyletic, and future genus and family-level reclassification within the



*Phaffomycetales* is needed. Currently, two formally described families are included in the *Dipodascales*, *Trichomonascaceae* and *Dipodascaceae*, but the *Yarrowia* and *Nadsonia* species have not yet been placed in either of the two families; thus, the proposal of a third family within the *Dipodascales* is a realistic possibility. The three families of the *Serinales* do not accommodate the genus *Babjeviella*, which remains of uncertain family assignment. Its highly divergent phylogenetic position prevents us from proposing a fourth family at this time as new related taxa may influence the taxonomic view on this.

Since the current classification does not fully meet the expectations of ecologists and biodiversity researchers for the subphylum *Saccharomycotina*, we expect that this new classification proposed here will be helpful and understandable for taxonomists, ecologists, industrial, and biomedical users. This new *Saccharomycotina* classification offers great promise for classifying potentially uncultivable or novel species in different microbiomes, and it will be the key for reliable assignment of ecological and functional traits to taxa for further ecophysiological and biodiversity analyses.

To our knowledge, species that contain biotechnologically important traits are present in all the newly proposed orders, except *Sporopachydermiales* and *Alloascoideales*. However, only a handful of species are currently used as biotechnological production platforms, mostly those from the order *Saccharomycetales*. Since industry uses only a small fraction of the hundreds of species known in the *Saccharomycotina* lineage, we expect that the introduction of this reclassification of the higher categories for this subphylum will make discovery and development of additional yeasts of biotechnological interest easier. For example, some biotechnologically important traits have been suggested to be restricted to single orders, such as the exceptional protein production systems of the *Pichiales*, and the new taxonomy can facilitate a more focused screening process. Alternatively, screens for traits of interest might choose a subset of taxonomically diverse yeasts.

Several opportunistic yeast species are primary agents of disease after a drop in patient immunity, while others cause secondary infections usually linked to patients suffering from immune deficiencies or are emerging human pathogens. Most of the major opportunistic pathogenic species belong to the order *Serinales*, but many emerging opportunistic pathogens also belong to the *Saccharomycetales*, the *Pichiales*, and the *Dipodascales*. Therefore, a broad range of orders within the *Saccharomycotina* include yeast species that have the potential to emerge in the future as opportunistic human pathogens, especially in light of the increasing numbers of immunocompromised patients (Stavrou *et al.* 2019, de Hoog *et al.* 2020). The new classification will help to limit further reclassification and better reflects substantial evolutionary divergence of species. For example, in the current classification, the distantly related *N. glabratus* and *C. albicans* were in the same class, but the new scheme assigns them to different classes. This highlights the fact that the two species evolved the ability to cause human infections independently and have different clinically relevant properties, such as resistance to azoles.

The phylogeny-informed taxonomic classification that we are advocating separates phylogenetically distant taxa to highlight their diverse characteristics and potentials, which are sometimes hidden in their genotypes. The improved possibilities to communicate these characteristics and potentials by appropriate group names (class, order, family) may unlock otherwise obscure research opportunities in the fields that include evolution, biogeography, and conservation

biology. It will also benefit researchers involved in bioprospecting by identifying genes that are specific to higher rank taxa and suggesting which taxa should be studied as fungal models of particular physiologies or mined as a source of biological parts (*i.e.*, genes, promoters, chemicals) for applications in biotechnology.

Among fungal lineages, prior to this study, the *Saccharomycotina* were clearly under-classified in the higher taxonomic lineages. Many families and genera, previously included in the *Saccharomycetales incertae sedis* (Kurtzman *et al.* 2011b), are now placed within the newly proposed orders as it became clear that they did not fit into the sole order *Saccharomycetales*. This study provides a model for application of genome-scale datasets to higher rank taxonomy. This revised, genome-enabled classification within *Saccharomycotina* provides a foundation and a roadmap upon which future taxonomic studies can be built. In the near future, more than 1 000 genomes cataloguing nearly every known species of *Saccharomycotina* (Y1000+ Project: <http://y1000plus.org>; Hittinger *et al.* 2015) will be publicly available. The availability of these genomes will further allow the depth and breadth of family and genus circumscriptions to be evaluated to improve the classification of these lineages by integrating genomic approaches into taxonomy, an integrative process being called taxogenomics (Libkind *et al.* 2020). We predict the approach being taken in budding yeasts will pave the way for other fungi and, ultimately, taxonomy across the entire tree of life will enter the genomic era.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We want to thank Barbara Roberts from the NCBI Taxonomy Team for providing the update of the current fungal names in NCBI Taxonomic database. Masako Takashima is supported by the Institution for Fermentation, Osaka (IFO). Heide-Marie Daniel is supported by the Belgian Science Policy Office grant C5/00/BCCM. Chris Todd Hittinger is supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant Nos. DEB-1442148 and DEB-2110403, the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture (Hatch Project 1020204), in part by the DOE Great Lakes Bioenergy Research Center (DOE BER Office of Science DE-SC0018409, and an H.I. Romnes Faculty Fellowship, supported by the Office of the Vice Chancellor for Research and Graduate Education with funding from the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation. Research in Antonis Rokas's lab is supported by grants from the National Science Foundation (DEB-1442113 and DEB-2110404), the National Institutes of Health/National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (R01 AI153356), and the Burroughs Wellcome Fund. Antonis Rokas acknowledges support from a Klaus Tschira Guest Professorship from the Heidelberg Institute for Theoretical Studies and from a Visiting Research Fellowship from Merton College of the University of Oxford. Marc-André Lachance acknowledges lifelong financial support from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. Carlos A. Rosa is supported by the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq – Brazil, process numbers 408733/2021-7 and 406564/2022-1); Fundação do Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado de Minas Gerais (FAPEMIG, process number APQ-01525-14). Teun Boekhout is supported by the Distinguished Scientist Fellow Program of King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

## DECLARATION ON CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Antonis Rokas is a scientific consultant for LifeMine Therapeutics, Inc.

## REFERENCES

- Abbas C (2003). Emerging biorefineries and biotechnological applications of nonconventional yeast: now and in the future. *The alcohol textbook*, 4th edn. Nottingham University Press, Nottingham, UK: 171–191.
- Abeln F, Chuck CJ (2021). The history, state of the art and future prospects for oleaginous yeast research. *Microbial Cell Factory* **20**: 221.
- Belvoncikova P, Splichalova P, Videnska P, et al. (2022). The human mycobiome: colonization, composition and the role in health and disease. *Journal of Fungi* **8**: 1046.
- Boekhout T, Aime MC, Begerow D, et al. (2021). The evolving species concepts used for yeasts: from phenotypes and genomes to speciation networks. *Fungal Diversity* **109**: 27–55.
- Boontham W, Angchuan J, Boonmak C, et al. (2020). *Limtongozyma siamensis* gen. nov., sp. nov., a yeast species in the *Saccharomycetales* and reassignment of *Candida cylindracea* to the genus *Limtongozyma*. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **70**: 199–203.
- Bourdichon F, Casaregola S, Farrok C, et al. (2012). Food fermentations: microorganisms with technological beneficial use. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* **154**: 87–97.
- Breuer U, Harms H (2006). *Debaryomyces hansenii* – an extremophilic yeast with biotechnological potential. *Yeast* **23**: 415–437.
- Buzzini P, Lachance M-A, Yurkov A (2017). *Yeasts in natural ecosystems: Diversity*. Springer.
- Čadež N, Dlačny D, Tome M, et al. (2021). *Novakomyces olei* sp. nov., the first member of a novel *Taphrinomycotina* lineage. *Microorganisms* **9**: 1–19.
- Cadete RM, Rosa CA (2018). The yeasts of the genus *Spathaspora*: potential candidates for second-generation biofuel production. *Yeast* **35**: 191–199.
- Cai P, Gao J, Zhou Y (2019). CRISPR-mediated genome editing in non-conventional yeasts for biotechnological applications. *Microbial Cell Factories* **18**: 1–12.
- Cantalapiedra CP, Hernandez-Plaza A, Letunic I, et al. (2021). eggNOG-mapper v2: Functional annotation, orthology assignments, and domain prediction at the metagenomic. *Scale Molecular Biology and Evolution* **38**: 5825–5829.
- Capece A, Romaniello R, Siesto G, et al. (2018). Conventional and non-conventional yeasts in beer production. *Fermentation* **4**: 38.
- Chen L, Li D, Reen L, et al. (2020). Effects of simultaneous and sequential cofermentation of *Wickerhamomyces anomalus* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* on physicochemical and flavor properties of rice wine. *Food Science and Nutrition* **9**: 71–86.
- Chi Z, Chi Z, Liu G, et al. (2009). *Saccharomycopsis fibuligera* and its applications in biotechnology. *Biotechnology Advances* **27**: 423–431.
- Cooper CR (2011). Yeasts pathogenic to humans. In: *The Yeasts - A Taxonomic Study*, 2, 5th ed. (Kurtzman C, Fell JW, Boekhout T eds). Elsevier, Amsterdam: 9–19.
- Daniel H-M, Lachance M-A, Kurtzman CP (2014). On the reclassification of species assigned to *Candida* and other anamorphic ascomycetous yeast genera based on phylogenetic circumscription. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* **106**: 67–84.
- de Hoog GS, Guarro J, Gené J, et al. (2020). *Atlas of Clinical Fungi*, 4th edn. Hilversum.
- Dib I, Nidetzky B (2008). The stabilizing effects of immobilization in D-amino acid oxidase from *Trigonopsis variabilis*. *BMC Biotechnology* **8**: 72–83.
- Dien BS, Kurtzman CP, Saha BC, et al. (1996). Screening of L-arabinose fermenting yeasts. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology* **57**: 233–242.
- Dujon B (2006). Yeasts illustrate the molecular mechanisms of eukaryotic genome evolution. *Trends in Genetics* **22**: 375–387.
- Emms DM, Kelly S (2019). OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative genomics. *Genome Biology* **20**: 238.
- Engler A (1892). Syllabus der Vorlesungen über spezielle und medizinisch-pharmazeutische Botanik. Eine Übersicht über das gesamte Pflanzensystem mit besonderer Berücksichtigung der Medizinal- und Nutzpflanzen: 1–184.
- Engler A, Gilg E (1924). Syllabus der Pflanzenfamilien: 1–420.
- Ettayebi K, Errachidi F, Jamai L, et al. (2003). Biodegradation of polyphenols with immobilized *Candida tropicalis* under metabolic induction. *FEMS Microbiology Letters* **223**: 215–219.
- Fernandes T, Silva-Sousa F, Pereira F, et al. (2021). Biotechnological importance of *Torulaspora delbrueckii*: From the obscurity to the spotlight. *Journal of Fungi* **7**: 712.
- Fidel PL Jr, Vasquez JA, Sobel JD (1999). *Candida glabrata*: review of epidemiology, pathogenesis, and clinical disease with comparison to *C. albicans*. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* **12**: 80–96.
- Flegel TW (1977). Let's call a yeast a yeast. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* **23**: 945–946.
- Fröhlich-Wyder MT (2003). Yeasts in dairy products. In: *Yeasts in food. Beneficial and detrimental aspects* (Boekhout T, Robert V eds). Behr's Verlag, Germany: 209–237.
- Gabalton T, Naranjo-Ortiz MA, Marcet Houben M (2016). Evolutionary genomics of yeast pathogens in the *Saccharomycotina*. *FEMS Yeast Research* **16**: fow064.
- Gadzhev DM, Makuev AM, Rasulov RR (1976). Method for production of fruit wines. *USSR Patent* 501061.
- Garay LA, Sitepu IR, Cajka T, et al. (2016). Eighteen new oleaginous yeast species. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology* **43**: 887–900.
- Garay LA, Sitepu IR, Cajka T, et al. (2017). Discovery of synthesis and secretion of polyol esters of fatty acids by four basidiomycetous yeast species in the order *Sporidiobolales*. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology* **44**: 923–936.
- Gargeya IB, Pruitt WR, Simmons RB, et al. (1990). Occurrence of *Clavispora lusitanae*, the teleomorph of *Candida lusitanae*, among clinical isolates. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **28**: 2224–2227.
- Gassler T, Sauer M, Gasser B, et al. (2020). The industrial yeast *Pichia pastoris* is converted from a heterotroph into an autotroph capable of growth on CO<sub>2</sub>. *Nature Biotechnology* **38**: 210–216.
- Gouliamova D, Dimitrov R (2020). *Kazachstania chrysolinae* and *Kazachstania bozae* two new yeast species of the genus *Kazachstania*. transfer of four *Kazachstania* species to *Grigoriavia* gen. nov. as new combinations. *Comptes rendus de l'Académie bulgare des Sciences* **73**: 48–57.
- Gouliamova D, Dimitrov R, Smith MTh, et al. (2016). DNA barcoding revealed *Nematodospora valgi* gen. nov., sp. nov. and *Candida cetoniae* sp. nov. in the *Lodderomyces* clade. *Fungal Biology* **120**: 179–190.
- Groenewald M, Boekhout T, Neuvéglise C, et al. (2014). *Yarrowia lipolytica*: safety assessment of an oleaginous yeast with a great industrial potential. *Critical Reviews in Microbiology* **40**: 187–206.
- Hashem M, Alamri S, Hesham A, et al. (2014). Biocontrol of apple blue mould by new yeast strains: *Cryptococcus albidus* KKUY0017 and *Wickerhamomyces anomalus* KKUY0051 and their mode of action. *Biocontrol Science and Technology* **24**: 1137–1152.
- Heistinger L, Dohm JC, Paes BG, et al. (2022). Genotypic and phenotypic diversity among *Komagataella* species reveals a hidden pathway for xylose utilization. *Microbial Cell Factories* **21**: 70.
- Hittinger CT, Rokas A, Bai F-Y, Boekhout T, Gonçalves P, Jeffries TW, Kominek J, Lachance M-A, Libkind D, Rosa CA, Sampaio JP, Kurtzman CP (2015). Genomics and the making of yeast biodiversity. *Current Opinion in Genetics and Development* **35**: 100–109.
- Hittinger CT, Steele JL, Ryder DS (2018). Diverse yeasts for diverse fermented beverages and foods. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* **49**: 199–206.
- Hong S-I, Suh Y-S, Kim H-O, et al. (2018). Successful treatment of catheter related blood stream infection by *Millerozyma farinosa* with Micafungin: A case report. *Infection and Chemotherapy* **50**: 362–366.
- Hui F, Ren Y, Chen L, et al. (2014). Molecular phylogenetic analysis reveals the new genus *Hemisphaericaspora* of the family *Debaryomycetaceae*. *PLoS ONE* **9**: e103737.
- James TY, Stajich JE, Hittinger CT, et al. (2020). Toward a fully resolved fungal tree of life. *Annual Review of Microbiology* **74**: 291–313.
- Jindamorakot S, Yukphan P, Yamada Y (2012). *Kockiozyma* gen. nov., for *Zygozyma suomiensis*: the phylogeny of the *Lipomycetaceae* yeasts. *Annals of Microbiology* **62**: 1831–1840.



- Johnson EA (2013). Biotechnology of non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts-the ascomycetes. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* **97**: 503–517.
- Junker K, Ruiz GB, Lorenz A, et al. (2018). The mycoparasitic yeast *Saccharomycopsis schoenii* predated and kills multi-drug resistant *Candida auris*. *Scientific Report* **8**: 14959.
- Junker K, Chailyan A, Hesselbart A, et al. (2019). Multi-omics characterization of the necrotrophic mycoparasite *Saccharomycopsis schoenii*. *PLoS Pathogens* **15**: e1007692.
- Jutakanoke R, Endoh R, Takashima M, et al. (2017). *Allodekкера sacchari* gen. nov., sp. nov., a yeast species in the *Saccharomycetales* isolated from a sugar factory. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **67**: 250–255.
- Karaalioglu O, Yuceer YK (2021). Nonconventional yeasts to produce aroma compounds by using agri-food waste materials. *FEMS Yeast Research* **21**: foab063.
- Karim A, Gerliani N, Aider M (2020). *Kluyveromyces marxianus*: An emerging yeast cell factory for applications in food and biotechnology. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* **333**: 108818.
- Kawano S, Horikawa M, Yasohara Y, et al. (2003). Microbial enantioselective reduction of acetylpyridine derivatives. *Journal of Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry*. **67**: 809–814.
- Khunnamwong P, Lertwattanasakul N, Jindamorakot S, et al. (2015). The genus *Diutina*, description of *Diutina siamensis*, f.a. sp. nov., and reassignment of *Candida catenulata*, *C. mesorugosa*, *C. neorugosa*, *C. pseudorugosa*, *C. ranongensis*, *C. rugosa* and *C. scorzettiae* to the genus *Diutina* *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **65**: 4701–4709.
- Khunnamwong P, Savarajara A, Jindamorakot S, et al. (2022). *Metahyphopichia suwanaadthiae* sp. nov., an anamorphic yeast species in the order *Saccharomycetales* and reassignment of *Candida silvanorum* to the genus *Metahyphopichia*. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **72**: doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.005183.
- Konsue W, Dethoup T, Limtong S (2020). Biological control of fruit rot and anthracnose of postharvest mango by antagonistic yeasts from economic crops leaves. *Microorganisms*. **8**: 317.
- Kosaka T, Tsuzuno T, Nishida S, et al. (2022). Distinct metabolic flow in response to temperature in thermotolerant *Kluyveromyces marxianus*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **88**: e0200621.
- Krassowski T, Coughlan AY, Shen X-X, et al. (2018). Evolutionary instability of CUG-Leu in the genetic code of budding yeasts. *Nature Communications* **9**: 1887.
- Kreger-van Rij NJW (1984). *The Yeasts - A Taxonomic Study*, 3rd ed. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Kudryavtsev VI (1960). *Die Systematik der Hefen*. Akademie Verlag, Berlin.
- Kumar K, Singh E, Shrivastava S (2022). Microbial xylitol production. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* **106**: 971–979.
- Kunze G, Gaillardin C, Czernicka M et al. (2014). The complete genome of *Blastobotrys (Arxula) adenivorans* LS3 - a yeast of biotechnological interest. *Biotechnology for Biofuels* **7**: 66.
- Kurtzman CP (2011). Discussion of teleomorphic and anamorphic ascomycetous yeasts and yeast-like taxa. In: *The Yeasts - A Taxonomic Study*, 5th ed. (Kurtzman C, Fell JW, Boekhout T eds). Elsevier, Amsterdam: 1339–1372.
- Kurtzman CP (2016). Description of *Groenewaldozyma* gen. nov. for placement of *Candida auringiensis*, *Candida salmanticensis* and *Candida tartarivorans*. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* **109**: 1041–1045.
- Kurtzman CP, Dien BS (1998). *Candida arabinofermentans*, a new L-rabinose fermenting yeast. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* **74**: 237–243.
- Kurtzman C, Fell JW (1998). *The Yeasts - A Taxonomic Study*, 4th ed. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Kurtzman CP, Robnett CJ (1997). Identification of clinical important ascomycetous yeasts based on nucleotide divergence in the 5' end of the large-subunit (26S) ribosomal DNA gene. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **35**: 331–371.
- Kurtzman CP, Robnett CJ (1998). Identification and phylogeny of ascomycetous yeasts from analyses of nuclear large subunit (26S) ribosomal DNA partial sequences. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* **73**: 1041–1045.
- Kurtzman CP, Robnett CJ (2007). Multigene phylogenetic analysis of the *Trichomonascus*, *Wickerhamiella* and *Zygoascus* yeast clades, and the proposal of *Sugiyamaella* gen. nov. and 14 new species combinations. *FEMS Yeast Research* **7**: 141–151.
- Kurtzman CP, Robnett CJ (2010). Systematics of methanol assimilating yeasts and neighboring taxa from multigene sequence analysis and the proposal of *Peterozyma* gen. nov., a new member of the *Saccharomycetales*. *FEMS Yeast Research* **10**: 353–361.
- Kurtzman CP, Robnett CJ (2013a). Relationships among genera of the *Saccharomycotina* (Ascomycota) from multigene phylogenetic analysis of type species. *FEMS Yeast Research* **13**: 23–33.
- Kurtzman CP, Robnett CJ (2013b). *Alloascoidea hylecoeti* gen. nov., comb. nov., *Alloascoidea africana* comb. nov., *Ascoidea tarda* sp. nov., and *Nadsonia starkeyi-henricii* comb. nov., new members of the *Saccharomycotina* (Ascomycota) *FEMS Yeast Research* **13**: 423–432.
- Kurtzman CP, Suzuki M (2010). Phylogenetic analysis of ascomycete yeasts that form coenzyme Q-9 and the proposal of the new genera *Babjeviella*, *Meyerozyma*, *Millerozyma*, *Priceomyces*, and *Scheffersomyces*. *Mycoscience* **51**: 2–14.
- Kurtzman CP, Albertyn J, Basehoar-Powers E (2007). Multigene phylogenetic analysis of the *Lipomycetaceae* and the proposed transfer of *Zygozma* species to *Lipomyces* and *Babjevia anomala* to *Dipodascopsis* *FEMS Yeast Research* **7**: 1027–1034.
- Kurtzman CP, Boekhout T, Robert V, et al. (2003). Methods to identify yeasts. In: *Yeasts in Food - Beneficial and detrimental aspects* (Boekhout T, Robert V eds). Hamburg Behr's Verlag GmbH, Germany: 69–121.
- Kurtzman CP, Fell JW, Boekhout T (2011a). Definition, classification and nomenclature of the yeasts. In: *The Yeasts - A Taxonomic Study*, 5th ed. (Kurtzman C, Fell JW, Boekhout T eds). Elsevier, Amsterdam: 3–5.
- Kurtzman C, Fell JW, Boekhout T (2011b). *The Yeasts - A Taxonomic Study*, 2, 5th ed. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Kurtzman CP, Mateo RQ, Kolecka A, et al. (2015). Advances in yeast systematics and phylogeny and their use as predictors of biotechnologically important metabolic pathways. *FEMS Yeast Research* **15**: fow050.
- Kurtzman CP, Robnett, CJ, Basehoar-Powers E (2008). Phylogenetic relationships among species of *Pichia*, *Issatchenkia* and *Williopsis* determined from multigene sequence analysis, and the proposal of *Barnettozyma* gen. nov., *Lindnera* gen. nov. and *Wickerhamomyces* gen. nov. *FEMS Yeast Research*. **8**: 939–954.
- Kurtzman CP, Robnett CJ, Blackwell M (2016). Description of *Teunomyces* gen. nov. for the *Candida kruisii* clade, *Suhomyces* gen. nov. for the *Candida tanzawaensis* clade and *Suhomyces kilbournensis* sp. nov. *FEMS Yeast Research* **16**: fow041.
- Lachance MA (2011). *Sporopachydermia* Rodrigues de Miranda (1978). In: *The Yeasts - A Taxonomic Study*, 5th ed. (Kurtzman C, Fell JW, Boekhout T eds). Elsevier, Amsterdam: 799–803.
- Lachance MA, Kurtzman CP (2013). The yeast genus *Tortispora* gen. nov., description of *Tortispora ganteri* sp. nov., *Tortispora mauiana* f.a., sp. nov., *Tortispora agaves* f.a., sp. nov., *Tortispora sangerardii* f.a., sp. nov., *Tortispora cuajiniquilana* f.a., sp. nov., *Tortispora stameri* f.a., sp. nov., and *Tortispora phaffii* f.a., sp. nov., reassignment of *Candida caseinolytica* to *Tortispora caseinolytica* f.a., comb. nov., emendation of *Botryozyma*, and assignment of *Botryozyma*, *Tortispora* gen. nov., and *Trigonopsis* to the family *Trigonopsidaceae* fam. nov. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **63**: 3104–3114.
- Li Y, Steenwyk JL, Chang Y, et al. (2021). A genome-scale phylogeny of Fungi; insights into early evolution, radiations, and the relationship between taxonomy and phylogeny. *Current Biology* **31**: 1653–1665.
- Libkind D, Čadež N, Opulente DA, et al. (2020). Towards yeast taxogenomics: lessons from novel species descriptions based on complete genome sequences. *FEMS Yeast Research* **20**: foaa042.
- Limtong S, Into P, Attarat P (2020). Biocontrol of rice seedling rot disease caused by *Curvularia lunata* and *Helminthosporium oryzae* by epiphytic yeasts from plant leaves. *Microorganisms* **8**: 647.
- Limtong S, Sringiew C, Yongmanitchai W (2007). Production of fuel ethanol at high temperature from sugar cane juice by a newly isolated *Kluyveromyces marxianus*. *Bioresource Technology* **98**: 3367–3374.

- Liu C, Gong JS, Su C, *et al.* (2022). Pathway engineering facilitates efficient protein expression in *Pichia pastoris*. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* **106**: 5893–5912.
- Liu X, Jensen PR, Workman M (2012). Bioconversion of crude glycerol feedstocks into ethanol by *Pachysolen tannophilus*. *Bioresource Technology* **104**: 579–586.
- Lleixà J, Martín V, Portillo MC, *et al.* (2016). Comparison of fermentation and wines produced by inoculation of *Hanseniaspora vineae* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **7**: 338.
- Lockhart SR, Messer SA, Pfaller MA, *et al.* (2008). Geographic distribution and antifungal susceptibility of the newly described species *Candida orthopsilosis* and *Candida metapsilosis* in comparison to the closely related species *Candida parapsilosis*. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **46**: 2659–2664.
- Lodder J (1970). *The yeasts - A Taxonomic Study*, 2nd ed. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Lodder J, Kreger-van Rij NJW (1952). *The yeasts - A Taxonomic Study*, 1st ed. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Love KR, Shah KA, Whittaker CA, *et al.* (2016). Comparative genomics and transcriptomics of *Pichia pastoris*. *BMC Genomics* **17**: 550.
- Lu H, Kerkhoven EJ, Nielsen JA (2022). Pan-draft metabolic model reflects evolutionary diversity across 332 yeast species. *Biomolecules* **12**: 1632.
- Majoros L, Kardos G, Belak A, *et al.* (2003). Restriction enzyme analysis of ribosomal DNA shows that *Candida inconspicua* clinical isolates can be misidentified as *Candida norvegensis* with traditional diagnostic procedures. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **41**: 5250–5253.
- de Mancilha IM, Karim MN (2003). Evaluation of ion exchange resins for removal of inhibitory compounds from corn stover hydrolyzate for xylitol fermentation. *Biotechnology Progress* **19**: 1837–1841.
- Matten KJ, Hashikawa S, Harada K (2022). Preclinical safety evaluation of Lipase OF from *Candida cylindracea*. *Journal of Applied Toxicology* **43**: 517–533.
- Methner Y, Weber N, Kunz O, *et al.* (2022). Investigations in metabolic properties and selected nutritional metabolic byproducts of different non-*Saccharomyces* yeast strains when producing nonalcoholic beer. *FEMS Yeast Research* **22**: 1–13.
- Miranda LN, van der Heijden IM, Costa SF, *et al.* (2009). *Candida* colonisation as a source for candidaemia. *Journal of Hospital Infection* **72**: 9–16.
- Mombeni M, Arjmand S, Siadat SO, *et al.* (2020). pMOX: a new powerful promoter for recombinant protein production in yeast *Pichia pastoris*. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology* **139**: 109582.
- Mühlhausen S, Findeisen P, Plessmann U, *et al.* (2016). A novel nuclear genetic code alteration in yeasts and the evolution of codon reassignment in eukaryotes. *Genome Research* **26**: 945–955.
- Mühlhausen S, Schmitt HD, Pan KT, *et al.* (2018). Endogenous stochastic decoding of the CUG codon by competing Ser- and Leu-tRNAs in *Ascoidea asiatica*. *Current Biology* **28**: 2046–2057.
- Nanda P, Patra P, Das M, *et al.* (2020). Reconstruction and analysis of genome-scale metabolic model of weak Crabtree positive yeast *Lachancea kluyveri*. *Scientific Reports* **10**: 16314.
- Nagy LG, Ohm RA, Kovacs GM, *et al.* (2014). Latent homology and convergent regulatory evolution underlies the repeated emergence of yeasts. *Nature Communications* **5**: 4471.
- Nityon S, Keo-Oudone C, Murata M *et al.* (2016). Efficient conversion of xylose to ethanol by stress-tolerant *Kluyveromyces marxianus* BUNL-21. *Springerplus* **5**: 185.
- Nonklang S, Abdel-Banat BM, Cha-aim K, *et al.* (2008). High-temperature ethanol fermentation and transformation with linear DNA in the thermotolerant yeast *Kluyveromyces marxianus* DMKU3-1042. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **74**: 7514–7521.
- Novák EK, Zsolt J (1961). A new system proposed for yeasts. *Acta Botanica Academiae Scientiarum Hungarica* **7**: 93–145.
- Opulente DA, Langdon QK, Buh KV, *et al.* (2019). Pathogenic budding yeasts isolated outside of clinical settings. *FEMS Yeast Research* **19**: foz032.
- Oro L, Feliziani E, Ciania M, *et al.* (2018). Volatile organic compounds from *Wickerhamomyces anomalus*, *Metschnikowia pulcherima* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* inhibit growth of decay causing fungi and control postharvest diseases of strawberries. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* **265**: 18–22.
- Page BT, Shields CE, Merz WG, *et al.* (2006). Rapid identification of ascomycetous yeasts from clinical specimens by a molecular method based on flow cytometry and comparison with identifications from phenotypic assays. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **44**: 3167–3171.
- Parks DH, Chuvochina M, Waite DW, *et al.* (2018). A standardized bacterial taxonomy based on genome phylogeny substantially revises the tree of life *Nature Biotechnology* **36**: 996–1004.
- Patel RN (2001). Enzymatic synthesis of chiral intermediates for Omapatrilat, an antihypertensive drug. *Biomolecular Engineering* **17**: 167–182.
- Patra P, Das M, Kundu P, *et al.* (2021). Recent advances in systems and synthetic biology approaches for developing novel cell-factories in non-conventional yeasts. *Biotechnology Advances* **47**: 107695.
- Peterson SW, Kurtzman CP (1991). Ribosomal RNA sequence divergence among sibling species of yeasts. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology* **14**: 124–129.
- Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ, Mendez M, *et al.* (2006). *Candida guilliermondii*, an opportunistic fungal pathogen with decreased susceptibility to fluconazole: geographic and temporal trends from the ARTEMIS DISK antifungal surveillance program. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **44**: 3551–3556.
- Pimenta R, Silva FL, Silva JFM, *et al.* (2008). Biological control of *Penicillium italicum*, *P. digitatum* and *P. expansum* by the predacious yeast *Saccharomycopsis schoenii* on oranges. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology* **39**: 85–90.
- Poontawee R, Lorliam W, Polburee P, *et al.* (2023). Oleaginous yeasts: Biodiversity and cultivation. *Fungal Biology Reviews* **44**: 100295.
- Qazi MA, Wang Q, Dai Z (2022). Sophorolipids bioproduction in the yeast *Starmerella bombicola*: Current trends and perspectives. *Bioresource Technology* **346**: 126593.
- Raschmanová H, Weninger A, Glieder A, *et al.* (2018). Implementing CRISPR-Cas technologies in conventional and non-conventional yeasts: current state and future prospects. *Biotechnology Advances* **36**: 641–665.
- Ravin NV, Eldarov MA, Kadnikov VV, *et al.* (2013). Genome sequence and analysis of methylotrophic yeast *Hansenula polymorpha* DL1. *BMC Genomics* **14**: 837.
- Riley R, Haridas S, Wolfe KH *et al.* (2016). Comparative genomics of biotechnologically important yeasts. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **35**: 9882–9887.
- Rinke C, Chuvochina M, Mussig AJ, *et al.* (2020). A rank-normalized archaeal taxonomy based on genome phylogeny resolves widespread incomplete and uneven classifications. *bioRxiv* 2020.03.01.972265.
- Rodrigues de Miranda L (1978). A new genus: *Sporopachydermia*. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* **44**: 439–450.
- Rokas A (2022). Evolution of the human pathogenic lifestyle in fungi. *Nature Microbiology* **7**: 607–619.
- Romano P, Brandolini V, Ansaloni C, *et al.* (1998). The production of 2,3-butanediol as a differentiating character in wine yeasts. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology* **14**: 649–653.
- Ropars J, Giraud T (2022). Convergence in domesticated fungi used for cheese and dry-cured meat maturation: beneficial traits, genomic mechanisms, and degeneration. *Current Opinion in Microbiology* **70**: 10223.
- Ruchala J, Sibirny AA (2021). Pentose metabolism and conversion to biofuels and high-value chemicals in yeasts. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* **17**: 45 fuaa069.
- Saeki A (1990). Studies on acetic acid fermentation, 3: Continuous production of vinegar with immobilized *Saccharomyces ludwigii* cell and immobilized *Acetobacter aceti* cells entrapped in calcium alginate gel beads. *Japanese Society for Food Science and Technology* **37**: 722–725.
- Saha BC, Bothast RJ (1996). Production, purification, and characterization of a highly glucose-tolerant novel beta-glucosidase from *Candida peltata*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **62**: 3165–3170.
- Saha P, Bothast GS (1999). Production of xylitol by *Candida peltata*. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology* **22**: 633–636.



- Sakpuntoon V, Angchuan P, Boonmak C, *et al.* (2020a). *Savitreea pentosicarens* gen. nov., sp. nov., a yeast species in the family *Saccharomycetaceae* isolated from a grease trap. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **70**: 5665–5670.
- Sakpuntoon V, Angchuan J, Boontham W, *et al.* (2020b). Grease waste as a reservoir of lipase-producing yeast and description of *Limtongella siamensis* gen. nov., sp. nov. *Microorganisms* **8**: 27.
- Sakpuntoon V, Péter G, Groenewald M, *et al.* (2022). Description of *Crinitomyces reliqui* gen. nov., sp. nov. and reassignment of *Trichosporiella flavificans* and *Candida ghanaensis* to the genus *Crinitomyces*. *Journal of Fungi* **8**: 224.
- Salazar-López NJ, Barco-Mendoza GA, Zuñiga-Martínez BS, *et al.* (2022). Single-cell protein production as a strategy to reincorporate food waste and agro by-products back into the processing chain. *Bioengineering* **9**: 623.
- Sapsirisuk S, Polburee P, Lorliam W, *et al.* (2022). Discovery of oleaginous yeast from mountain forest soil in Thailand. *Journal of Fungi* **8**: 1100.
- Schaffner JH (1913). The classification of plants, VIII. *Ohio Naturalist* **13**: 70–78.
- Schmitz C, Goebel I, Wagner S, *et al.* (2000). Competition between n-alkane-assimilating yeasts and bacteria during colonization of sandy soil microcosms. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* **54**: 126–132.
- Sehnm NT, Machado AS, Matte CR, *et al.* (2020). Second-generation ethanol production by *Wickerhamomyces anomalus* strain adapted to furfural, 5hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF), and high osmotic pressure. *Anais Da Academia Brasileira De Ciencias* **92**: e20181030.
- Sena LM, Morais CG, Lopes MR, *et al.* (2017). D-Xylose fermentation, xylitol production and xylanase activities by seven new species of *Sugiyamaella*. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* **110**: 53–67.
- Shen X-X, Hittinger CT, Rokas A (2017). Contentious relationships in phylogenomic studies can be driven by a handful of genes. *Nature Ecology and Evolution* **1**: 0126.
- Shen X-X, Oplente DA, Kominek J, *et al.* (2018). Tempo and mode of genome evolution in the budding yeast subphylum. *Cell* **175**: 1533–1545.
- Shen X-X, Steenwyk JL, LaBella AL, *et al.* (2020). Genome-scale phylogeny and contrasting modes of genome evolution in the fungal phylum *Ascomycota*. *Science Advances* **45**: eabd0079.
- Shen X-X, Zhou X, Kominek J, *et al.* (2016). Reconstructing the backbone of the *Saccharomycotina* yeast phylogeny using genome-scale data. *G3 (Bethesda)* **6**: 3927–3939.
- Silva AP, Miranda IM, Lisboa C, *et al.* (2009). Prevalence, distribution and antifungal susceptibility profile of *Candida parapsilosis*, *C. orthopsilosis* and *C. metapsilosis* in a tertiary care hospital. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **47**: 2392–2399.
- da Silva JM, Ribeiro KC, Teles GH, *et al.* (2020). Fermentation profiles of the yeast *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* in d-xylose and 1-arabinose aiming its application as a second-generation ethanol producer. *Yeast* **37**: 597–608.
- Sitepu I, Shi S, Simmons BA, *et al.* (2014). Yeast tolerance to the ionic liquid 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium acetate. *FEMS Yeast Research* **14**: 1286–1294.
- Slininger PJ, Thompson SR, Weber S, *et al.* (2011). Repression of xylose-specific enzymes by ethanol in *Scheffersomyces (Pichia) stipitis* and utility of repitching xylose-grown populations to eliminate diauxic lag. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering* **108**: 1801–1815.
- Solieri L (2021). The revenge of *Zygosaccharomyces* yeasts in food biotechnology and applied microbiology. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology* **37**: 96.
- Song KH, Lee JK, Song JY, *et al.* (2002). Production of mannitol by a novel strain of *Candida magnoliae*. HH-01. *Biotechnology Letters* **24**: 9–12.
- Sousa FMP, Morais PB, Lachance M-A, *et al.* (2014). *Hagleromyces* gen. nov., a yeast genus in the *Saccharomycetaceae*, and description of *Hagleromyces aurensis* sp. nov. isolated from water tanks of bromeliads. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **64**: 2915–2918.
- Spagnuolo M, Yaguchi A, Blenner M. (2019). Oleaginous yeast for biofuel and oleochemical production. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* **57**: 73–81.
- Spatafora JW, Sung G-H, Johnson D, *et al.* (2006). A five-gene phylogeny of *Peizizomycotina*. *Mycologia* **98**: 1018–1028.
- Spencer J, Ragout de Spencer A, Laluece C (2002). Non-conventional yeasts. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* **58**: 147–156.
- Srinivasan P, Smolke CD (2020). Biosynthesis of medicinal tropane alkaloids in yeast. *Nature* **585**: 614–619.
- Stavrou AA, Lackner M, Lass-Flörl C, *et al.* (2019). The changing spectrum of *Saccharomycotina* yeasts causing candidemia phylogeny mirrors antifungal susceptibility patterns for azole drugs and amphotericin B. *FEMS Yeast Research* **19**: foz037.
- Steensels J, Daenen L, Malcorps P, *et al.* (2015). *Brettanomyces* yeasts – From spoilage organisms to valuable contributors to industrial fermentations. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* **206**: 24–28.
- Taj-Aldeen SJ, Doiphode SH, Han XY (2006). *Kodamaea (Pichia) ohmeri* fungaemia in a premature neonate. *Journal of Medical Microbiology* **55**: 237–239.
- Takahashi M, Morita T, Wada K, *et al.* (2011). Production of sophorolipid glycolipid biosurfactants from sugarcane molasses using *Starmerella bombicola* NBRC 10243. *Journal of Oleo Science* **60**: 267–273.
- Techapun C, Poosaran N, Watanabe M, *et al.* (2003). Thermostable and alkaline-tolerant microbial cellulase-free xylanases produced from agricultural wastes and the properties required for use in pulp bleaching bioprocesses: a review. *Process Biochemistry* **38**: 1327–1340.
- Tiukova IA, Jiang H, Dainat J, *et al.* (2019). Assembly and analysis of the genome sequence of the yeast *Brettanomyces naardenensis* CBS 7540. *Microorganisms* **7**: 489.
- Turland NJ, Wiersema JH, Barrie FR, *et al.* (eds) (2018). *International code of nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (Shenzhen Code) adopted by the nineteenth International Botanical Congress Shenzhen, China, July 2017*. *Regnum Vegetabile* 159. Glashütten: Koeltz Botanical Books.
- Urien C, Legrand J, Montalent P, *et al.* (2019). Fungal species diversity in French bread sourdoughs made of organic wheat flour. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **10**: 201.
- Valera MJ, Olivera V, Boido E, *et al.* (2021). Wine aroma characterization of the two main fermentation yeast species of the apiculate genus *Hanseniaspora*. *Fermentation* **7**: 162.
- Vaštik P, Rosenbergova Z, Furdikova K, *et al.* (2022). Potential of non-*Saccharomyces* yeast to produce non-alcoholic beer. *FEMS Yeast Research* **22**: foac039.
- Vicente J, Calderón F, Santos A, *et al.* (2021). High potential of *Pichia kluyveri* and other *Pichia* species in wine technology. *International Journal of Molecular Science* **22**: 1196.
- Vogl T, Fischer JE, Hyden P, *et al.* (2020). Orthologous promoters from related methylotrophic yeasts surpass expression of endogenous promoters of *Pichia pastoris*. *AMB Express* **10**: 38.
- von Arx JA, van der Walt JP (1987). *Ophiostomatales and Endomycetales*. *Studies in Mycology* **30**: 167–176.
- Walker GM (2011). *Pichia anomala*: cell physiology and biotechnology relative to other yeasts. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* **99**: 25–34.
- Wang C, Sun J, Lassabliere B, *et al.* (2020). Coffee flavor modification through controlled fermentations of green coffee beans by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Pichia kluyveri* Part I. Effects from individual yeasts. *Food Research International* **136**: 109452.
- Wickerham LJ, Lockwood LB, Pettijohn OG, *et al.* (1944). Starch hydrolysis and fermentation by the yeast *Endomycopsis fibuliger*. *Journal of Bacteriology* **48**: 413–427.
- Winter G (1881). Rabenhorst's Kryptogamen-Flora, Pilze - *Schizomyceten, Saccharomyceten und Basidiomyceten*, Ed. 2. 1: 81–352.
- Yamada Y, Kawasaki H, Nagatsuka Y, *et al.* (1999). The phylogeny of the cactophilic yeasts based on the 18S ribosomal RNA gene sequences: the proposals of *Phaffomyces antillensis* and *Starmera caribaea*, new combinations. *Bioscience Biotechnology and Biochemistry* **63**: 827–832.
- Yamazaki A, Lorliam W, Kawasaki H, *et al.* (2020). Fourteen novel lipomycetaceous yeast species isolated from soil in Japan and transfer of *Dipodascopsis anomala* to the genus *Babjevia* based on ascospore production phenotype. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **70**: 1372–1379.

Yang S-W, Park J-B, Han N-S, *et al.* (1999). Production of erythritol from glucose by an osmophilic mutant of *Candida magnoliae*. *Biotechnology Letters* **21**: 887–890.

Zhou M, Li Y, Kudinha T, *et al.* (2021). *Kodamaea ohmeri* as an emerging human pathogen: A review and update. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **12**: 736582.

**Supplementary Material:** <https://studiesinmycology.org/>

**Fig. S1.** The genome-scale phylogeny of 1 644 species in the fungal kingdom (with 28 outgroups) from Li *et al.* (2021). The tree of 1 672 fungal species was reconstructed from the maximum likelihood concatenation analysis of 290 single-copy BUSCO genes under a single LG+G4 model. See also Fig. 2 and Table S3 for the current names as on 23 November 2022.

**Table S1.** Updated taxonomy as on 23 November 2022, strain ID, and source information of 1 672 fungal and outgroup genomes from the genome-scale phylogeny of the fungal kingdom constructed by Li *et al.* (2021).

**Table S2.** Overview of the class- and order-specific genes. Detailed results of the best OGs (98–100 % unique) used as diagnostic characters for the newly proposed orders and classes.

**Table S3.** Overview of the class- and order-specific genes. Detailed results of all OGs specific to the proposed orders and classes.