

- 6 Williamson D, Ingle D, Howden B. Extensively drug-resistant shigellosis in Australia among men who have sex with men. *N Engl J Med* 2019; **281**: 2477–79.
- 7 European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Monkeypox multi-country outbreak—23 May 2022. Stockholm: ECDC. <https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/Monkeypox-multi-country-outbreak.pdf> (accessed June 26, 2022).
- 8 Towns JM, Leslie DE, Denham I, et al. *Treponema pallidum* detection in lesion and non-lesion sites in men who have sex with men with early infectious syphilis: a prospective, cross sectional study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2021; **21**: 1324–31.
- 9 Peel J, Chow EPF, Denham I, et al. Clinical presentation of incident syphilis among men who have sex with men taking HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis in Melbourne, Australia. *Clin Infect Dis* 2021; **73**: e934–37.

Balancing access to BPaLM regimens and risk of resistance



Published Online
August 22, 2022
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(22\)00543-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(22)00543-6)

In May, 2022, WHO released a rapid communication stating that the 6-month all-oral regimen of bedaquiline, pretomanid, linezolid, and moxifloxacin (BPaLM) “may be used programmatically for patients (aged ≥ 15 years) with rifampicin-resistant tuberculosis not yet exposed to bedaquiline, pretomanid and linezolid [BPaL]”. The rapid communication continued that in patients with fluoroquinolone resistance, a 6-month regimen of BPaL can be used. WHO concluded that “drug-susceptibility testing (DST) should not delay treatment initiation and DST to fluoroquinolones is strongly encouraged but not required”.¹ Although near universal eligibility for short, all-oral, rifampicin-resistant tuberculosis treatment is excellent news, data presented at the European Society for Mycobacteriology conference in Bologna, Italy (June, 2022), suggest the long-term effectiveness of these regimens might already be at risk.

First, the efficacy of a drug can vary by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* lineage. At the conference, Claudio Köser presented the results of a study showing an increased pretomanid minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) distribution for wild-type *M tuberculosis* lineage 1 strains compared with strains of other lineages.² Praharsinie Rupasinghe presented a poster with data from a multicountry study (Rupasinghe P, unpublished) supporting this finding. Emmanuel Rivi re raised the hypothesis of a lineage-dependent MIC distribution for bedaquiline, with an increased proportion of lineage 4 strains with MICs closer to—albeit below—the epidemiological cutoff.³ It is unknown if lineage-dependent differences in MIC distribution are clinically relevant.

Second, different drugs penetrate lung lesions at different rates. V ronique Dartois showed experimental data that moxifloxacin, linezolid, and pretomanid rapidly penetrate the caseum, whereas bedaquiline—even when using a loading dose—only fully reaches mycobacteria in the caseum’s centre after 2–5 weeks of treatment.⁴ The experimental data presented by Sonnenkalb and

colleagues show that bedaquiline exposure at one eighth of a strain’s MIC results in acquisition of variants in resistance genes within 20 days of exposure, suggesting that the slowly increasing bedaquiline concentration in the caseum of lung lesions could select for resistance.⁵ Furthermore, in contrast to moxifloxacin, linezolid, and pretomanid, bedaquiline has a long half-life, resulting in long-lasting presence in the caseum and potential exposure to a single drug in case of treatment interruption. Third, primary resistance to BPaLM drugs already exists. Primary resistance was first documented for bedaquiline in 2016.^{6,7} Diana Machado presented the first case report of primary pretomanid resistance due to a *ddn88** variant in a patient diagnosed in 2008, years before pretomanid and delamanid introduction.⁸

Taken together, these issues raise questions regarding the robustness of the BPaL regimen for patients now and in the future. Specifically, administration of BPaL to patients with fluoroquinolone-resistant tuberculosis might increase the risk of acquisition of resistance to bedaquiline and linezolid. This risk could be especially elevated during the first weeks of treatment when the mycobacterial burden is high and bedaquiline is not yet fully distributed into lung lesions. Mycobacteria in the caseum might be temporarily exposed to linezolid monotherapy if elevated MICs reduce pretomanid’s effectiveness. If compensatory mutations are acquired, resistant strains might then transmit at rates similar to pan-susceptible *M tuberculosis*, as shown by S bastien Gagneux for rifampicin-resistant lineage 2 strains carrying a *rpoB* S450L and a compensatory *rpoC* mutation. This expands on the previously reported association between compensatory mutations and transmission.⁹

These data highlight the need to balance the risks of emergence of resistance to BPaLM drugs with the risks to current patients from not gaining access to BPaLM or BPaL regimens. The potential risk of empirical (ie,

blinded to DST) use of BPaLM and BPaL regimens could be especially high for rifampicin-resistant tuberculosis caused by lineage 1 strains, estimated to cause 28% of global tuberculosis, mainly in Africa and Asia.

Although there are no easy solutions for this complex issue, some things can be done now. The COVID-19 pandemic has boosted the global next-generation sequencing (NGS) infrastructure, which should be harnessed to predict resistance, guide rescue treatment in case of resistance or toxicity, and reduce knowledge gaps on resistance-associated mutations. Patients for whom treatment does not appear to be effective should have samples assessed by DST at reference centres. As we move forwards, rapid diagnostic tests, including targeted NGS, will be important. Data presented by Philip Supply and Viola Dreyer suggest that targeted NGS can detect resistance directly from smear-positive sputum¹⁰ and maybe from stool samples.¹¹ These diagnostic approaches need validation and WHO endorsement to facilitate governmental investment and roll-out. We are fortunate to have more new drugs in the pipeline, but as a community we should demand that every new drug is developed alongside a companion diagnostic that will ensure the longevity of new regimens well into the future.

AVR reports receiving funding from Research Foundation Flanders (Belgium) related to whole-genome sequencing of *M tuberculosis*. Bdj reports an institutional contract for central laboratory support to the C211 pediatric bedaquiline trial with Janssen and participation in the scientific advisory boards of the Dioraphte foundation and Panacea. PS reports personal consulting fees from Genoscreen. DMC reports receiving grants from European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) to study epidemiological cut-off values (ECOFFs) in EUCAST-recommended methods, funding from UNITE4TB to study ECOFF distributions of drugs included in clinical trials, and participating in the TB alliance study on the *M tuberculosis* lineage 1 distribution. All other authors declare no competing interests.

Annelies Van Rie, Timothy Walker, Bouke de Jong, Praharsinie Rupasinghe, *Emmanuel Rivière, Véronique Dartois, Lindsay Sonnenkalb, Diana Machado, Sébastien Gagneux, Philip Supply, Viola Dreyer, Stefan Niemann, Galo Goig, Conor Meehan, Elisa Tagliani, Daniela Maria Cirillo
emmanuel.riviere@uantwerpen.be

Tuberculosis Omics Research Consortium, Family Medicine and Population Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences (AVR, ER), Mycobacteriology Unit, Institute of Tropical Medicine (Bdj, PR), University of Antwerp, Antwerp 2000, Belgium; Oxford University Clinical Research Unit, Ho Chi Minh City, Viet Nam (TW); Centre for Tropical Medicine and Global Health, Nuffield Department of Medicine Research Building, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK (TW); Center for Discovery and Innovation, Hackensack Meridian Health, Nutley, NJ, USA (VDa); Molecular and Experimental Mycobacteriology, Research Center Borstel, Borstel, Germany (LS, VDr, SN); Laboratório de Micobactérias, Unidade de Microbiologia Médica, Global Health and Tropical Medicine, Instituto de Higiene e Medicina Tropical, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal (DM); Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Allschwil, Switzerland (SG, GG); University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland (SG, GG); Institut Pasteur de Lille, U1019, UMR 8204, Center for Infection and Immunity of Lille, University of Lille, CNRS, Inserm, CHU Lille, Lille, France (PS); German Center for Infection Research, Partner Site Hamburg-Lübeck-Borstel-Reims, Borstel, Germany (SN); Department of Biosciences, Nottingham Trent University, Nottingham, UK (CM); Emerging Bacterial Pathogens Unit, Division of Immunology, Transplantation and Infectious Diseases, IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute, Milan, Italy (ET, DMC)

- 1 WHO. Rapid communication: key changes to the treatment of drug-resistant tuberculosis. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2022: 1–6.
- 2 Bateson A, Ortiz Canseco J, McHugh TD, et al. Ancient and recent differences in the intrinsic susceptibility of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex to pretomanid. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2022; **77**: 1685–93.
- 3 Rivière E, Verboven L, Dippenaar A, et al. Variants in bedaquiline-candidate-resistance genes: prevalence in bedaquiline-naive patients, effect on MIC, and association with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* lineage. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2022; **66**: e0032222.
- 4 Sarathy JP, Zuccotto F, Hsinpin H, et al. Prediction of drug penetration in tuberculosis lesions. *ACS Infect Dis* 2016; **2**: 552–63.
- 5 Sonnenkalb L, Carter J, Spitaleri A, et al. Deciphering bedaquiline and clofazimine resistance in tuberculosis: an evolutionary medicine approach. *bioRxiv* 2021; published online Aug 13. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.19.436148> (preprint).
- 6 Villellas C, Coeck N, Meehan CJ, et al. Unexpected high prevalence of resistance-associated Rv0678 variants in MDR-TB patients without documented prior use of clofazimine or bedaquiline. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2017; **72**: 684–90.
- 7 Beckert P, Sanchez-Padilla E, Merker M, et al. MDR *M tuberculosis* outbreak clone in Eswatini missed by Xpert has elevated bedaquiline resistance dated to the pre-treatment era. *Genome Med* 2020; **12**: 104.
- 8 Machado D, Perdigaõ J, Portugal I, et al. Efflux-mediated pretomanid high-level resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *European Society of Mycobacteriology Annual Meeting* 2022; June 26–29 (abstr 17).
- 9 Gygli SM, Loiseau C, Jugheli L, et al. Prisons as ecological drivers of fitness-compensated multidrug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Nat Med* 2021; **27**: 1171–77.
- 10 Jouet A, Gaudin C, Badalato N, et al. Deep amplicon sequencing for culture-free prediction of susceptibility or resistance to 13 anti-tuberculous drugs. *Eur Respir J* 2021; **57**: 2002338.
- 11 Sibandze DB, Kay A, Dreyer V, et al. Rapid molecular diagnostics of tuberculosis resistance by targeted stool sequencing. *Genome Med* 2022; **14**: 52.



The growing threat of wild poliovirus 1 and vaccine-derived cases in the COVID-19 era

Published Online
August 16, 2022
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(22\)00548-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(22)00548-5)

The detection of people with paralytic cases of wild poliovirus 1 (WPV1) in two African countries (ie, Malawi in February, 2022, and Mozambique

in May, 2022) outside endemic areas of WPV1 transmission (ie, Pakistan and Afghanistan) will become a serious setback if low vaccination coverage and