Prediction of severe exacerbations and mortality in COPD: the role of exacerbation history and inspiratory capacity/total lung capacity ratio
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Background: Severe exacerbations and mortality are major outcomes in COPD, and risk factors for these events are actively searched for. Several predictors of mortality have been identified in COPD. The inspiratory capacity/total lung capacity (IC/TLC) ratio has been shown to be a strong predictor of all cause and respiratory mortality in patients with COPD. The major objectives of this study were to analyze which clinical parameters, including lung volumes, were the best predictors of the 5-year cumulative risk of hospital admissions or death and the 5-year risk of exacerbations, in stable COPD patients.

Methods: This study retrospectively reviewed data from 98 stable COPD patients, consecutively recruited in 2012. Forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1), modified Medical Research Council dyspnea scale, exacerbation history (ExH), Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) 2011 groups, and lung volumes were reviewed. Five years later, this population was evaluated for cumulative exacerbations, hospital admissions, and mortality. All the population, and GOLD group D separately, were analyzed.

Results: The cumulative 5-year combined risk of hospital admission or death was significantly predicted by the ExH and the IC/TLC ratio. Analyzing separately group D, FEV1 was the only predictor of this outcome. The frequency of exacerbations in the previous year was the best predictor of future cumulative 5-year risk of subsequent exacerbations, both for the total population and the GOLD D group.

Conclusion: ExH and IC/TLC ratio were the best predictors of the most severe outcomes in COPD (admissions or mortality), independently of COPD severity. FEV1 was the only predictor of the cumulative 5-year combined risk of hospital admission or death in the GOLD D group. ExH was the best predictor of 5-year cumulative future risk of exacerbations. Besides FEV1 and ExH, the IC/TLC ratio can be a useful predictor of severe outcomes in COPD.
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Introduction

The prognosis of COPD is a major concern in the present management of the disease, as it is a significant cause of mortality1 and estimated to be increasing.2-5 COPD is the 4th leading cause of death worldwide, and it is estimated that, by 2020, it will be the 3rd leading cause.6

Identifying high risk patients and the factors that can best predict the worse outcomes, severe exacerbations with hospital admissions and risk of death, are major objectives that should be applied in clinical practice.5

COPD is a complex and heterogeneous disease, and several factors are related to adverse events. Exacerbations are major risk factors for progression of disease,7
significant worsening of symptoms,8 exercise capacity,8 poor quality-of-life,9 and mortality.10 Severe exacerbations resulting in hospital admissions have significant in-hospital mortality.11,12 Survival after admission is poor and related to the number of previous severe exacerbations.11,13,14

The prognosis of COPD is highly related to the severity and frequency of acute exacerbations, especially with the severe ones that result in hospital admission.11,15 Both severe exacerbations and mortality are major outcomes in COPD, and risk factors for these events are actively searched for in order to modify the course of the disease.16

Several predictors of mortality in COPD have been identified: forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1), age, body mass index (BMI), dyspnea, exercise capacity, exacerbation frequency, previous severe exacerbations, BODE index, and the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) classes.17–23

The mortality predictive capacity of the GOLD 2011 classification has been compared to the previous stratification using FEV1 severity, and it has been demonstrated that the multicomponent definition is not a better predictor than FEV1 alone.21

Lung function studies in COPD are mainly derived from spirometry, and FEV1 is a powerful marker of the severity, progression of the disease, and risk of mortality.18,21,24 However, FEV1 is poorly correlated with clinical variables such as symptoms or exacerbations.

Other lung function variables (such as lung volumes, diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide [DLCO], exercise capacity, blood gases, or presence of respiratory insufficiency) are known to represent useful parameters that can help to understand the physiopathology of dyspnea, exercise limitation, and hypoxemia. These tests are recommended in certain circumstances, but are not usually used as predictors for adverse events in conjunction with other clinical dimensions.16

It is known that the inspiratory capacity/total lung capacity (IC/TLC) ratio, that represents the static hyperinflation, sometimes designated as the inspiratory fraction, is highly related to dyspnea and exercise capacity, as it is worsened in exercise (dynamic hyperinflation).25,26 Several studies have concluded that this ratio can be very useful in understanding clinical effects (dyspnea,27 exercise capacity limitation,28 muscular weakness,29 and eventually exacerbations30,31) and can be used as a strong predictor of all cause and respiratory mortality in COPD patients.25,32,33 However, these findings have not been applied in recommendations and clinical practice.

The major objectives of this study were to analyze which clinical parameters, including lung volumes determination, and the IC/TLC ratio in particular, were the best predictors of the 5-year cumulative risk of hospital admissions or death and the 5-year risk of exacerbations, in stable COPD patients.

Methods

Design and population

We used in this study a previous sample of 100 patients with COPD followed at the ambulatory Pulmonary Clinic of Hospital de Santa Marta, Lisbon, Portugal. Stable COPD patients were consecutively recruited between January and February 2012, in order to compare their different classification by the GOLD 2011 versus the previous GOLD 2007. Patients had a clinical diagnosis of COPD confirmed by a post bronchodilator forced expiratory volume in 1 s/forced vital capacity (FEV1/FVC)<0.70. Patients were in a stable state, and with no exacerbations in the previous 3 months. At that time, patients with a history of asthma, other associated lung conditions, previous lung surgery, lung cancer, or significant and unstable cardiac condition were excluded. This sample was classified according to the ABCD of GOLD 2011 (FEV1, modified Medical Research Council [mMRC] dyspnea scale, previous year exacerbations), and all patients performed spirometry and lung volume determination by plethysmography by the same respiratory technicians. Not all patients had a DLCO or blood gases determination, so we excluded these parameters of the analysis. All lung function variables analyzed were postbronchodilator. Respiratory function tests were performed according to ATS/ERS (American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society) recommendations34,35 and the European Community for Steel and Coal/ERS reference values were used.36

The study involved a 5-year retrospective review of patients who presented to the Pulmonary Clinic of the Hospital. Of this sample of 100 patients, 98 patients were included in this study, as two patients had no lung volume determination. Patients were considered alive if they had a regular follow-up in the first 4 months of 2017. Death of patients was confirmed by consulting our records or the national registry. The all-cause mortality is used in this study, as the cause of death was not possible to identify in all patients.

Data collection and follow-up

Data were collected by the authors from the clinical files, and admission and discharge records. Data collected included baseline demographic data, respiratory function assessments, and previous exacerbations. Patients were categorized according to the GOLD 2011 classification as GOLD A, B, C,
or D (GOLD A – FEV₁ ≥50%, mMRC < 2, Exacerbations < 2; GOLD B – FEV₁ ≥50%, mMRC ≥ 2, Exacerbations < 2; GOLD C – FEV₁ < 50%, mMRC < 2, Exacerbations ≥ 2; GOLD D – FEV₁ < 50%, mMRC ≥ 2, Exacerbations ≥ 2).37

Follow-up extended until 60 months (5 years) after the initial baseline data collection. Hospitalizations, exacerbations, and deaths were collected from the clinical files, and admission and discharge records. We analyzed the total population and the GOLD D group separately.

Outcomes
The primary composite outcome was death or admissions from the baseline data collection until 60 months. The secondary outcome was exacerbations from the baseline data collection until 60 months.

Statistical analysis
All categorical variables were expressed as numbers or percentages. All continuous variables were expressed using median and minimum–maximum. Between group analysis was performed using the Mann–Whitney U-test or the χ² test, respectively, for continuous and discrete variables. Exploratory univariate analyses were performed, followed by multivariate regression analyses. Variables selected for the multivariate analyses were those with an α < 0.05 (two-sided) on univariate analyses. Variables were selected using the backward step elimination. The Bonferroni correction was applied to both univariate and multivariate analyses when necessary. Tests were considered significant at α = 0.05 significance level (two-sided). SPSS v20 was used for statistical analysis.

Ethics committee approval
This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Hospital de Santa Marta, CHLC. The need for written informed consent from all participants was waived because this was a retrospective study with anonymized patient data.

Results
Baseline values by GOLD group
There were several significant differences on baseline parameters between the GOLD groups (Table 1 and Figures 1–4).

Forty-nine percent of patients were classified as group D, and 70% as groups B and D. Groups C and D had higher than normal static lung volumes, consistent with the presence of hyperinflation and emphysema (total lung capacity, intrathoracic gas volume, residual volume). Interestingly, group D had a significantly lower IC than group C (Table 1). FEV₁ declined across groups, being worse in group D. There was no difference between groups A and B (Figure 1). The mMRC was significantly higher in group D compared to group B (Figure 2). All lung function parameters showed differences between GOLD groups. Previous year exacerbations were lower than two in group C, which means that these patients were mainly classified by their lung function severity. Group D had more than two exacerbations, on average (Figure 3). IC/TLC (%) also declined across groups, being worse in group D. There was no difference between groups A and B (Figure 4).

Comparison of variables at baseline and outcomes at 5 years by GOLD group
Table 2 shows the comparison of variables at baseline and outcomes at 5 years by GOLD group. Almost 70% of GOLD C patients and almost 90% of GOLD D patients experienced exacerbations during the 5-year follow-up. There were 24 deaths during follow-up, 67% of which were in group D, meaning that the death rate in the other three groups was 33%. There were 53 admissions during follow-up, 67.3%

Table 1 Baseline characteristics by GOLD 2011 group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>A (n=16)</th>
<th>B (n=21)</th>
<th>C (n=13)</th>
<th>D (n=48)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>63.0 (49.0–80.0)</td>
<td>65.0 (49.0–80.0)</td>
<td>70.0 (49.0–74.0)</td>
<td>67.0 (44.0–80.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (6.2)</td>
<td>7 (33.3)</td>
<td>1 (7.7)</td>
<td>6 (12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC (%)</td>
<td>110.5 (76.0–143.0)⁴⁴</td>
<td>106.0 (47.0–161.0)⁴</td>
<td>96.0 (51.0–115.0)⁴</td>
<td>72.0 (31.0–141.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁/FVC</td>
<td>65.9 (36.0–79.6)⁴</td>
<td>56.5 (38.1–79.6)⁴</td>
<td>38.5 (27.5–69.9)</td>
<td>35.1 (23.1–63.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLC (%)</td>
<td>110.0 (75.0–135.0)</td>
<td>108.0 (63.0–157.0)</td>
<td>126.0 (95.0–141.0)</td>
<td>123.0 (61.0–184.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITGV (%)</td>
<td>109.5 (74.0–167.0)⁴</td>
<td>114.0 (78.0–187.0)⁴</td>
<td>173.0 (100.0–189.0)</td>
<td>164.0 (73.0–294.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV (%)</td>
<td>110.5 (42.0–158.0)</td>
<td>121.0 (70.0–191.0)</td>
<td>183.0 (121.0–216.0)</td>
<td>197.5 (65.0–437.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: All measures taken postbronchodilation. All values, except gender, presented as median (minimum–maximum). The predicted values used for lung function are the ECSC/ERS equations.³⁶ GOLD 2011 groups defined in the “Data collection and follow-up” section. ³⁴P<0.001 A vs C/D; ³⁵P<0.001 B vs C/D; ³⁶P=0.005.

Abbreviations: ECSC, European Community for Steel and Coal; GOLD, Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease; IC, inspiratory capacity; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; TLC, total lung capacity; ITGV, intrathoracic gas volume; RV, residual volume.
of which were in group D, which was statistically different from the other three groups combined (32.7%, \( P < 0.001 \)). Exacerbations in the previous year, IC/TLC post <25%, death or admission after 5 years, and exacerbations after 5 years were all different when comparing GOLD A and GOLD D patients. We analyzed the IC/TLC ratio in two groups, those with a ratio lower than 25% versus those higher than 25%, as has been done in previous studies of the role of IC/TLC ratio.
Primary outcome (death or admission)
The cumulative 5-year combined risk of hospital admission or death was significantly predicted by the exacerbation history (ExH) and the IC/TLC ratio for the total population.

This ratio was above 25% in almost all group A and B patients, and lower than 25% in 46% and 40% of groups C and D, respectively, with no statistical difference between these latter two groups.

---

**Figure 3** Exacerbations in the previous year between GOLD groups.
Notes: *P<0.01; **P<0.001. GOLD 2011 groups defined in the "Data collection and follow-up" section.
Abbreviation: GOLD, Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease.

**Figure 4** Baseline IC/TLC between GOLD groups.
Notes: *P<0.01. GOLD 2011 groups defined in the "Data collection and follow-up" section.
Abbreviations: IC/TLC, inspiratory capacity/total lung capacity; GOLD, Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease.
Table 2 Comparison of variables at baseline and outcomes at 5 years by GOLD 2011 group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>A (n=16)</th>
<th>B (n=21)</th>
<th>C (n=13)</th>
<th>D (n=48)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ex previous year</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>9 (42.9)</td>
<td>12 (92.3)</td>
<td>42 (87.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC/TLC&lt;25%</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (4.8)</td>
<td>6 (46.2)</td>
<td>19 (39.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D or A after 5 years</td>
<td>3 (18.8)</td>
<td>6 (28.6)</td>
<td>8 (61.5)</td>
<td>35 (72.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex after 5 years</td>
<td>9 (56.2)</td>
<td>5 (23.8)</td>
<td>9 (69.2)</td>
<td>43 (89.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: IC/TLC measures taken post-bronchodilation. All values presented as n (%). GOLD 2011 groups defined in the “Data collection and follow-up” section. ^P<0.001 A vs C/D; 0.01 A vs B/D; 0.001 A vs C/D; 0.01. Abbreviations: GOLD, Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease; Ex, exacerbations; IC/TLC, inspiratory capacity/total lung capacity; D or A, death or admission.

Secondary outcome

The frequency of exacerbations in the previous year was the best predictor of future cumulative 5-year risk of subsequent exacerbations, both for the total population and for the GOLD D group (Table 3). No other parameter had significance concerning this outcome.

Discussion

We found in our study that ExH and IC/TLC ratio were the best predictors of the most severe outcomes in COPD (admissions or mortality), and they can be applied to all COPD patients independently of their severity. FEV1 was the only predictor of the cumulative 5-year combined risk of hospital admission or death in GOLD D COPD patients. ExH was the best predictor of 5-year cumulative future risk of exacerbations in the whole population sample. To our knowledge, this is the first study to analyze the 5-year prediction of the combination of exacerbations, hospital admissions, and mortality in stable COPD patients.

Major factors for a poor outcome in COPD are severe exacerbations and risk of mortality. Current knowledge considers that FEV1, dyspnea severity, exacerbations frequency and severity, and GOLD classes are good predictors of progression of COPD and risk of mortality. These variables can be easily obtained in a clinical setting when predicting future risk and can be important in order to offer the best treatment available to reduce risk of severe exacerbations with hospital admissions and mortality. Complementary parameters have been investigated to better predict these risks in the most severe patients and at high risk of worse outcomes. The previous year exacerbation frequency and severity is considered to be the best predictor of future risk of exacerbations, but this does not have the discriminative capacity to identify which patients will be at risk of a severe exacerbation and hospital admission and risk of mortality.

These were the major objectives of our study: identifying which parameters easily obtained in clinical practice can be predictors of the combined risk of hospital admissions or death and exacerbations. With this study, we tried to simulate the usual clinical context in the management of COPD patients. Most importantly, we tried to identify risk factors that can be used in stable COPD patients, followed in an ambulatory setting that can predict future major events besides the accepted important role of FEV1, dyspnea severity, and previous exacerbations.

The future risk of exacerbations has been considered to be best predicted by the frequency and severity of exacerbations in the previous year. Besides FEV1, the role of other lung function tests is less clear, the exception being the IC/TLC ratio. This ratio is very well correlated with dyspnea, as it represents static hyperinflation that worsens on exercise (dynamic hyperinflation resulting in exercise limitation caused by exercise dyspnea), such as in emphysema. This ratio can also be associated with more frequent exacerbations, risk of severe ones, and risk of death.

Table 3 Predictors of death or readmission after 5 years and of exacerbations after 5 years on multivariate analyses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup/predictor(s)</th>
<th>Death or readmission after 5 years</th>
<th>Exacerbations after 5 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Exp (B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOLD D 2011 Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>1.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (%)</td>
<td>−0.215</td>
<td>0.807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exacerbations in previous year (n)</td>
<td>1.860</td>
<td>6.423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total population</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>1.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC/TLC (%)</td>
<td>−10.465</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exacerbations in previous year (n)</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td>2.263</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: GOLD D 2011 group defined in the “Data collection and follow-up” section. Abbreviations: GOLD, Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; IC/TLC, inspiratory capacity/total lung capacity; B, unstandardized coefficient; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval for Exp (B).
Other studies have proved the importance of this ratio and of the static hyperinflation. The NETT Trial has demonstrated that surgical lung volume reduction reduces the frequency of COPD exacerbations and mortality in selected cases of emphysema,22 and the study by French et al32 also concluded that the IC/TLC ratio is a good predictor of mortality in emphysema. However, these studies did not compare the value of different important baseline clinical parameters, such as FEV1, dyspnea, and ExH, or the GOLD group, with lung volumes, neither did they evaluate which of these parameters would be more predictive of 5-year cumulative exacerbations or the combined 5-year risk of admission (severe exacerbation) or death.

Findings in univariate analysis

All lung function parameters showed differences between GOLD A and GOLD D patients. Baseline IC/TLC did not differ between GOLD A and GOLD B patients, although exacerbations after 5 years were higher in the GOLD A group compared to the GOLD B group (56.2% vs 23.8%, P<0.01). The latter result was unexpected and may be related to non-compliance or undertreatment of GOLD A patients.

Findings in multivariate analysis

Our finding that exacerbations in the previous year are the strongest predictor of future exacerbations is in line with previous findings38 and the GOLD guidelines.5

The cumulative 5-year combined risk of hospital admission or death was significantly predicted by the ExH and the IC/TLC ratio for the total population. In our opinion, ExH and the IC/TLC ratio should be used more often in clinical practice, even in the less severe patients, reinforcing the extreme value of previous exacerbations, independent of their phenotype (which was not analyzed in our study). Our findings identify IC/TLC as another possible respiratory function parameter of the worse outcomes in COPD.

We think that determination of IC/TLC by plethysmography, even if not available in all health care settings as spirometry, can be a useful parameter to identify patients with worse outcomes. Baseline determination of IC/TLC, conjugated with FEV1, mMRC, and ExH, can be a powerful tool to identify high risk patients. In patients with progressive disease, clinical and functional worsening, repeated IC/TLC determination would be helpful in evaluating treatment response.

If only the GOLD D group (half of patients in the sample) was analyzed, FEV1 was the only predictor of cumulative 5-year combined risk of hospital admission or death. The suggestion that these patients can be extremely important in the management of COPD is somehow implicit in the recent GOLD 2017 revision (even though FEV1 is no longer included in the classification),5 in a recent proposal of the CERO index by Celli et al39 (a composite index of major outcomes using admissions and mortality, to use in clinical studies, as was our case), and also in the recent Spanish GesEPOC guidelines, 2017 revision39 that considers that these patients, GOLD D, are high risk patients. It is our opinion that the lack of prediction of exacerbations and IC/TLC in the separate multivariate analysis of the GOLD D group may be related to the small number of patients.

Strengths and limitations

Some of the strengths of this study are the fact that all patients were treated according to the GOLD 2011 guidelines,57 by the same physicians, lung function testing were obtained by the same technicians, and all patients were included in a stable state (no exacerbations in the previous 3 months). Even in the context of a small sample, some variables, such as previous exacerbation frequency and IC/TLC ratio, were significantly associated with the defined outcomes in univariate and multivariate statistical analysis. This finding is very important, as it can be extremely useful to apply in clinical practice, given the small number of patients necessary to have a significant predictor capacity of the major outcomes. Moreover, these parameters are applicable to all GOLD groups of COPD patients, and, therefore, can be valid in a general clinical context, and not in a specific or special population (emphysematous phenotype or previous severe exacerbations only).22,32

The major limitations of this study include its retrospective design, the fact that the population studied was followed in a secondary respiratory outpatient clinic, the small sample size (although homogeneous during the time frame of inclusion), being a single center study, and the non-inclusion in the analyses of DLCO, blood gases, and exercise capacity, due to the fact that they have not been performed in all patients.

Conclusion

We found that ExH and IC/TLC ratio were the best predictors of the most severe outcomes in COPD (admissions or mortality), and that they can be applied to all COPD patients independently of their severity. In this study, FEV1 was the only predictor of the cumulative 5-year combined risk of hospital admission or death in high risk COPD patients: FEV1,<50%, mMRC>2, and in the exacerbator patient.
ExH was the best predictor of 5 years cumulative future risk of exacerbations. Besides FEV\textsubscript{1}, mMRC, and ExH, which usually classify severity and risk in COPD patients, we think that IC/TLC ratio can be a complementary parameter to predict severe outcomes in COPD.
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