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Resumo

This paper presents a review of research in Portugal on entheseal changes (EC), widely used to record activity-patterns. This is explored chronologically and thematically, from the development of research initially testing, using identified collections, of the inference that activity leads to EC, to a workshop in 2009, dedicated to EC, through to the recently published outputs of the working groups set up at that meeting. The role of individuals and the world class identified skeletal collections is also discussed. Key trends include the systematic development of recording methods, their testing and the interrogation of the interplay of biology and society in occupation. The recent outputs of all the working groups will inform current and future research, meaning that the Portuguese role in the study of entheses will be long-lived and continue to be of international significance.
**Resumo**

O presente trabalho apresenta uma revisão da investigação desenvolvida em Portugal no que concerne o tema das alterações das enteses (AE), que têm sido amplamente utilizadas para registar padrões de actividade em populações do passado. O tema é explorado cronológica e temática, desde o início da investigação em AE centrado no desenvolvimento de métodos testados em coleções osteológicas identificadas, que procuraram inferir se a actividade física seria responsável pela presença de AE, até às recentes publicações dos vários grupos de trabalho que tiveram origem no workshop de 2009, inclusivamente. Será também discutida a importância dos investigadores e das coleções osteológicas identificadas no estudo das AE. Presentemente, as principais tendências no estudo das AE incluem o desenvolvimento de métodos de registo, a aferição da viabilidade e exequibilidade dos mesmos, e a discussão da relação entre biologia e sociedade associada ao conceito de ocupação. Os resultados dos grupos de trabalho reflectem o estado da arte actual e informam sobre investigações futuras evidenciando que o papel da investigação associada a Portugal no estudo das AE será de contínua significância internacional.
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Introduction

There have been several reviews of the history of the study of enthesal changes (ECs) in recent years, all focusing on global perspectives (Henderson and Alves Cardoso, 2013; Jurmain et al. 2012; Schlecht, 2012). The aim of this paper is to focus more narrowly on Portugal to identify trends in research as well as to describe the use of Portuguese material for these studies. The purpose of this is to underline the vital role that Portuguese based research, specifically in association with the Portuguese research centres of CIAS (Centre for Research in Anthropology and Health) and CRIA (Centre for Research in Anthropology), has played in moving this field of research forward. This will be explored in terms of research undertaken both within Portugal and by Portuguese researchers as well as by meetings hosted and other activities. The need for future research will also be described along with the role that Portuguese based research could play within this.

Entheseal changes are any change to the normal visual appearance of the enthesis. An enthesis is the skeletal attachment of the musculoskeletal soft tissues, e.g. ligaments, tendons, and joint capsule as well as being functionally associated with other
neighbouring structures (Benjamin et al. 2002; Benjamin et al. 2004; Tan et al. 2007). Entheses are fibrous or fibrocartilaginous, although this is a simplification with fibrous sometimes containing fibrocartilaginous regions and vice versa (Benjamin et al. 2002). These changes can be seen on skeletal remains as mineralised tissue formation, surface discontinuity and complete loss of normal morphology (Henderson et al. 2015a; Villotte et al. n.d.). Such changes have been widely used to infer activity-patterns in past populations either very specific, e.g. archery (Tihanyi et al. 2015), or more commonly general levels of activity and differences within populations (Campanacho and Santos, 2013; Havelková et al. 2013) and between populations (Henderson, 2013a; Villotte and Knüsel, 2014). In forensic anthropology they have been used to assist identification either from activity (Cunha, 2006) or body mass (Godde and Taylor, 2011, 2013). Their use has, therefore, become widespread for both archaeological and other anthropological purposes. This is despite the fact that numerous factors are associated with their presence, ageing and body size being particularly important (Alves Cardoso and Henderson, 2010; Alves Cardoso and Henderson, 2013; Cardoso, 2008a; Henderson and Nikita, 2015; Michopoulou et al. 2015; Milella et al. 2012), and that their aetiology is not fully understood (Henderson, 2008; Henderson, 2009; Henderson, 2013b; Jurmain et al. 2012; Villotte et al. n.d.). This paper will now take a step back in time to discuss some of the key reasons that Portugal has become an important research setting for the study of EC and then focus on recent and current research.
Looking into the past

The identified skeletal collections which exist in Portugal are not unique, they have counterparts in many other countries (for example Alemán et al. 2012; Chi-Keb et al. 2013; Eliopoulos et al. 2007; Hunt and Albanese, 2005; Mariotti et al. 2004; Rissech and Steadman, 2011; Watkins, 2012). Such collections contain the documentary evidence necessary to standardise variables like age and sex and test the impact of others, e.g. occupation, on skeletal changes (Alves Cardoso and Henderson, 2013; Cardoso, 2008a). However, as early as 1995, before EC research started to become widespread (for a review see Jurmain et al. 2012) just after the publication of a recording method by Diane Hawkey and Charles Merbs (1995), the association between EC and occupation was already being tested on an identified skeletal collection, specifically at Coimbra University (Cunha and Umbelino, 1995). These authors used a method developed in France in the 1980s (Crubézy, 1988) which, contrary to Hawkey and Merbs’s method has not become widespread outside of continental Europe (Jurmain et al. 2012). They found, using a slight modification of Crubézy’s method, that EC were predominantly age-related (Cunha and Umbelino, 1995).

Two key steps followed this. A more widely used method (Hawkey and Merbs, 1995) was applied to the Coimbra collection and to an identified skeletal collection in Lisbon (Cardoso, 2008b). This was the first test of this method where age, sex and occupation could be controlled and, based on the contemporaneous literature, it was expected that differences between occupational categories would be found. However, the results were
not as straightforward as expected because, while differences between occupational groups were found, these were predominantly the effect of dissimilar age profiles of those occupations (Cardoso, 2008a). The implications of this will be described in the following section. Contemporary to this, two doctoral students (Henderson, 2009; Villotte, 2008) were taking a step back and using the anatomical and other clinical literature to develop new methods for recording EC. Henderson did not use Portuguese material, but her and Alves Cardoso were contemporaries during their doctoral research with the same supervisor, Professor C. Roberts, at Durham University, UK, leading to many discussions and subsequent collaborations. In contrast Villotte used identified skeletal collections in Portugal, and elsewhere, to develop a new biologically appropriate visual recording method (Villotte, 2008; Villotte et al. 2010). As with all other methods, this approach is affected by age, but a generalised linear model was created which separated the heavy manual workers from the other occupations (Villotte et al. 2010; Henderson and Nikita, 2015).

The combination of negative and positive results and the overlap in collection usage led to the decision to hold a workshop in Coimbra to bring together all those working in this research area. In the year prior to 2009, it had became clear that research based on skeletal changes referred to as occupational markers, as well as musculoskeletal stress markers, were gaining massive popularity within the academic community dedicated to the reconstruction of past behaviour, without careful consideration for the limitations of such changes. Hence, the workshop was entitled “Musculoskeletal Stress Markers (MSM): Limitations and achievements in the reconstruction of past activity patterns”
(http://www.uc.pt/en/cia/msm/msm_after), and it aimed at fostering a productive environment for discussion of the methodologies and terminology employed in the study of musculoskeletal stress markers (now renamed EC), and if these served as the best indicators of occupational stress. The workshop was held in 2009 and the presentations and initial outcomes have been reported upon (Santos et al. 2011). As stated in that paper as an outcome of the workshop, three working groups were set up to standardise terminology, standardise and create improved methods for grouping occupations into categories, and the final one to create a standardised biologically appropriate recording method (Santos et al. 2011). The next section will focus on how these groups have progressed and contextualise this by other research within Portugal and on Portuguese collections.

Since 2009

The initial triumph of the working groups set up after the Coimbra workshop was that of the Working Group on Terminology. The two members of this group developed new terminology for changes associated with the enthesis sites, based on the anatomical and clinical literature (Jurmain and Villotte, 2010). The aim was to avoid the inherent aetiology incorporated into the term most commonly used to describe EC, i.e. musculoskeletal stress marker (MSM). The term coined (and used throughout this and the majority of other recent papers) is enthesal changes. The paper also outlines a
review of other clinical terms for some of the types of ECs. Moreover it demonstrates the value of the webpages set up after the Coimbra meeting which host this material and other relevant information (including the original conference programme and many of the presentations) (Anon, n.d.), which have been widely consulted as evidenced by the almost universal use of this term, i.e. EC, in recent years.

With the demonstration that the most widely used recording method (Hawkey and Merbs, 1995) was both biologically inappropriate and did not differentiate between occupational groups new approaches had to be put in place. One option was to convert the scores derived from this method, which were essentially ordinal, into a dichotomous assessment of the changes, i.e. presence and absence (Alves Cardoso and Henderson, 2010). However, this can only be undertaken for fibrocartilaginous entheses because the variability in normal morphology of fibrous entheses means that there is currently no biologically appropriate method for scoring these as present or absent (Alves Cardoso and Henderson, 2010; Jurmain et al. 2012). Once the data from the identified collections had been recorded, logistic regression was used to determine whether occupation affected EC presence when age was controlled. As with two previous attempts (Cardoso, 2008a; Cunha and Umbelino, 1995) age was the primary factor in EC presence. However, the second working group set out to standardise occupation and this was yet to report in 2010 (Anon, n.d.).

The standardisation of occupation is important. Clear discrepancies exist between the
methods used by those who have used identified skeletal collections to test their methods (Alves Cardoso and Henderson, 2010; Cunha and Umbelino, 1995; Henderson and Nikita, 2015; Henderson et al. 2013a; Milella et al. 2012; Mariotti et al. 2004; Mariotti et al. 2007; Perréard Lopreno et al. 2013; Villotte et al. 2010). Some have used socio-economic factors, while others have attempted to categorise the amount of loading.

A review of these methods was the first output of the Working Group on Occupation (Perréard Lopreno et al. 2013). This was initially presented as a poster at the Annual Meeting of the American Association of Physical Anthropologists in 2012 at a symposium entitled “Working Nine to Five: The future of activity-related stress”, organised and chaired by two postdoctoral researchers working in Portugal, at the research centres of CIAS and CRIA (Henderson and Alves Cardoso, 2012). The presentations of this symposium were subsequently collated into a special issue of the International Journal of Osteoarchaeology (Henderson and Alves Cardoso, 2013).

In the same issue the method for categorisation of occupation was also tested using data previously collected on Portuguese identified collections. Three methods of categorisation of occupation were used and all demonstrated that ageing was the primary factor in EC presence for the fibrocartilaginous entheses recorded using a presence and absence method (Alves Cardoso and Henderson, 2013). What is clear from this is that it is not just the method of categorisation that is problematic; EC scoring methods may also introduce biases.
Recording methods have typically scored a variety of features or zones which are pooled together to create a composite score describing the EC at the enthesis (Hawkey and Merbs, 1995; Mariotti et al. 2004; Mariotti et al. 2007; Villotte, 2006). Presence and absence methods work similarly but these only note the presence of any change (for fibrocartilaginous entheses only) from the normal smooth, well-circumscribed surface devoid of pores (Henderson, 2009). To put this more simply all visual recording methods pool a variety of changes and the locations of these changes into one final score which does not describe the variability in types of changes seen at entheses. It should be noted that non-visual recording methods have also been developed but have yet to become widely used (Henderson, 2013b; Noldner and Edgar, 2013; Nolte and Wilczak, 2013). Two biologically appropriate recording methods have been in existence since the 2000s (Henderson, 2009; Jurmain et al. 2012, Villotte, 2006), neither of these methods provides any indication in the final score about the types of changes present.

The aim of the Working Group on Methodology was, therefore, to develop a method for recording all features of EC in a biologically appropriate way with the objective to use this to improve our understanding of what causes these changes and how each relates to known causative factors, specifically the ageing process (Henderson et al. 2013b; Henderson et al. 2015a). Currently, the only change with a known mechanism of formation is the enthesophyte, or spur, other changes involving mineralised tissue formation, destruction or complete morphological change are poorly understood (Villotte et al. n.d.). The aim, therefore, was not to develop a pooled scoring system, but to record each feature separately and, once our understanding of the processes causing these changes improves, to develop a composite score using the method (Henderson et
The Working Group on Methodology has since been working and testing what is now called the new Coimbra method. It uses the range of variability tissue formation and destruction (with scope to describe other changes) to describe changes in the most fibrous portion of the fibrocartilaginous enthesis (zone 1) and in the remaining area (zone 2) (Henderson et al. 2013b). This method was developed during a workshop for the Working Group on Methodology held in Geneva in 2010. However, this workshop was short and issues of inter-observer reliability were immediately apparent (Henderson et al. 2013b).

It was decided, due to the poor reliability of the new method and other work pressures of all members of the working groups, that we all needed a single meeting to enable us to work towards our common and individual group's (i.e. terminology, occupation and methodology) goals. For this reason a workshop was arranged (organised by the authors, S. Villotte and S. Perréard Lopreno funded by a Wenner-Gren foundation grant CONF-632 and supported by CRIA and CIAS research centres) and held in Coimbra in 2013 solely for the members of the working groups. Each group had an aim to work towards, described below. During the meeting the groups worked separately towards these specific goals, but also spent time together with presentations on aims, methodology and results for open discussion and input from the other participants. This enabled us to utilise the full breadth and depth of everybody's knowledge for each group's goals.
The goal of the Working Group on Terminology was to develop a classification system and standard terms for each type of EC and to translate this into common modern European languages, including Portuguese (Villotte et al. n.d.). During the meeting the team used clinical and anatomical texts to identify the most appropriate terms for the features. This new classification and naming system will enable improved communication between researchers describing EC which is vital to advance the understanding of the variability in changes seen at entheses (some changes are more common at some entheses than others (Henderson et al. 2015a), their age and population distribution. This new paper also raises awareness of the current limitations in our knowledge of EC and their aetiology demonstrating the need for further research and larger data sets.

The Working Group on Terminology also worked closely with the Working Group on Methodology to better the terms used to describe EC features. Prior to the workshop the working group had attempted to improve the inter-observer error reliability and discuss changes using photographs, but numerous limitations were discovered, particularly the magnification of images on the screen and the difficulty of seeing all aspects of three-dimensional structures in single two dimensional images. However, despite this progress was made, particularly in highlighting areas which the team needed to focus on during the meeting, e.g. clarifying the outline of the enthesis that needs to be scored. The outline of the enthesis footprint was clarified during the meeting which
immediately reduced some recording differences between observers. Seriation was also used to determine at which point a feature went from not present (score 0) to a score of 1 or 2. The method was tested by the working group members at the end of the meeting and reliability had improved from the previous incarnation (Henderson et al. 2015a; Wilczak et al. manuscript in preparation).

The previous outputs of the Working Group on Occupation showed the wide variety of occupational categorisation methods that had been used. During the workshop they took a different approach, focussing on using principal components and cluster analysis on data previously collected on identified skeletons (Italian and Portuguese) to determine how occupations clustered based on the presence of EC in the upper limb without prior assumptions of occupation groupings (Milella et al. 2015). What was most striking was that occupations which have often previously been considered in different categories, when based on perceptions of loading, clustered together. The results framed three major groups of individuals originally dedicated to farming, another group dedicated to physically demanding but more generalized occupation, and another set of people employed in less physically demanding occupations. Major and consistent differences were found between individuals working in farming, and the latter group. These results highlighted the physical and social specificity of farming and related activities. Therefore, as far as general development of ECs were concerned, data suggest a lower degree of reliability between EC and occupation. Therefore EC are useful only when discussing general patterns of biomechanical stress. Moreover the method used demonstrates the usefulness of using big data and using data mining techniques to study
relationships without a priori expectations.

Since 2013

The time lag on publishing after meetings means that the results and implications of the working groups’ outputs have yet to make a big impact on the current research paradigm of EC. The first workshop providing training in the new method was run in 2014 in the research centre of CIAS in conjunction with the IV Jornadas Portuguesas de Paleopatologia. Currently the most popular method for recording entheses in Portugal is a non-biologically appropriate method developed in Italy (Mariotti et al. 2004; Mariotti et al. 2007) which is known, like all methods, to be affected by ageing (Milella et al. 2012). Several masters’ theses (e.g. Fidalgo, 2014; Gil, 2014; Inocêncio, 2013; Pereira, 2015) have used this method to identify and score changes found in Chalcolithic and Bronze Age burials and cremated bones. However, broad conclusions about population variability (or activity-patterns) cannot be drawn due to the highly fragmentary nature of the remains.

Other research undertaken in Portugal has demonstrated that trends through time in EC indicate that those living in industrial societies have higher scores for EC than those living in either hunter-gatherer or agricultural communities, with those in the latter
having the lowest scores (Henderson, 2013a). However, this is partly dependent on methodology used to record changes and does require further analysis. More recent data, including other recording methods indicates that hunter-gatherers have lower scores than agriculturalists, but that industrialists remain the highest (Henderson, 2015a). However, the demographic profiles of the samples included may have an impact on these results (Henderson, 2013a; Henderson, 2015a). Until the new Coimbra recording method becomes widespread the variability of these changes and how they relate to these subsistence strategies cannot be ascertained.

Work continues to be undertaken on studying EC in relation to occupation and poverty (Henderson et al. 2015b). On the relationship between enthesis size, shape, age, body size and occupation, preliminary results indicate that in individuals under 36 years of age, there is no effect of ageing but neither do entheses indicate occupation (Henderson, 2014a, b, c). Results for the association between skeletal development, ageing, occupation and EC features are expected in 2016. Researchers in Portugal continue to work on this area, but more importantly the outcomes from work associated with Portugal, i.e., either research by Portuguese researchers or using collections bases in Portugal, are becoming more widely used. It is, therefore, certain that Portuguese based research will continue to play a significant role in EC research, answering the many questions which remain surrounding their aetiology and the variability of their expression.
Conclusions

Without the research undertaken on EC in the last two decades in Portugal, specifically in the Portuguese research centres of CIAS and CRIA, the terms we use to describe EC, our understanding of their aetiology, their association with ageing and the methods used to record them would not have progresses so far or so rapidly. It is clear that meetings set up in 2009 and 2013 have really pushed the field forward and made an international impression. In the 2009 meeting 21 countries were represented by contributors. The working groups set up during this meeting consist of researchers currently working in Portugal, the United Kingdom, France, Austria, Italy, Switzerland, and the United States of America (Villotte et al. n.d.). The research from the working groups, therefore, has an immediately global impact and has been widely cited internationally. Portugal, therefore, is punching well above its weight in this area of research and, given the wide interest in this area, will continue to do so for the foreseeable future.
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