

# Solving the Conditional IF Problem with a MILP Model: from One Interval to $N$ Non Overlapping Intervals

Jose Barahona da Fonseca

Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science  
Faculty of Sciences and Technology  
New University of Lisbon  
Monte de Caparica, 2829-516 Caparica  
Portugal  
Email: jbf@fct.unl.pt

**Abstract**—Although it is very easy to solve the conditional IF problem with a procedural programming language, it is very difficult to solve it with mathematical programming, especially if the argument of the IF is an AND or an OR logical condition, based on the variables of the Mixed Integer Linear Program (MILP) model. In this work we propose three very elegant and simple MILP models to solve this problem, and we show with three examples that they are very efficient in terms of runtime and memory usage. Next we generalize the first MILP model to  $N$  non overlapping intervals. Finally we present the outputs of the solution of these MILP models using the Cplex solver and the GAMS modeling software.

**Keywords**—Mixed Integer Linear Programming; Linearization of a Nonlinear Problem; Solving any Nonlinear Problem with a MILP Model; Conditional IF Problem; Solution of the Conditional IF Problem with a MILP Model.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In procedural programming languages it is very simple to solve the conditional IF problem. Nevertheless, in mathematical programming, this problem turns into a very nonlinear problem. In this work we apply some linearization techniques [1] to solve the conditional IF problem with a linear MILP model. To our knowledge there are no previous proposals published in the literature to solve the conditional IF problem with mathematical programming. This paper is organized as follows. In section II we make a small introduction to the basic concepts of mathematical programming and MILP model. In section III we describe the first MILP model that solves the conditional IF problem with one interval with an AND argument. In section IV we describe the second MILP model that solves the conditional IF problem with two intervals with an OR argument. In section V we describe the third MILP model that solves the conditional IF problem with  $N$  non overlapping intervals and in section VI we present the conclusions and possible vectors of evolution of this work.

## II. WHAT IS A MATHEMATICAL PROGRAM? WHAT IS A MILP MODEL?

A mathematical program is a set of constraints, each one with a set of variables and an objective variable, defined by an equality constraint, that will be maximized or minimized by an optimization algorithm, the solver. The constraints can be inequalities (greater or equal or less or equal) or equalities.

A Mixed Integer Linear Program (MILP) model is a mathematical program with integer and binary variables and where all constraints are linear. In this work we will use the Cplex solver [2] to obtain the solution of our three MILP models. To implement the MILP model we use a modeling software, the GAMS software [3], which allows to translate each constraint into a line of code, and call the Cplex algorithm with another line of code. This way we used a very complex optimization algorithm to solve our MILP models without knowing its details and parameters.

## III. DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION OF A MILP MODEL TO SOLVE THE CONDITIONAL IF PROBLEM WITH ONE INTERVAL WITH AN AND ARGUMENT

The conditional IF problem with one interval with an AND argument is formalized in Algorithm 1. Next we will explain how we solved this very nonlinear problem with a linear MILP model.

---

**Algorithm 1** Conditional IF Problem with One Interval with an AND Argument

---

```
for  $i = 1$  to  $Nx$  do  
   $x(i) \leftarrow x\_par(i)$   
end for  
for  $i = 1$  to  $Nx$  do  
  if  $x(i) \geq x\_min$  AND  $x(i) \leq x\_max$  then  
     $TA(i) \leftarrow value0$   
  else  
     $TA(i) \leftarrow value1$   
  end if  
end for
```

---

We begin by initializing the array variable  $x(i)$  with the array parameter  $x\_par(i)$  through the set of  $Nx$  equalities (1).

$$\forall i, x(i) = x\_par(i) \quad (1)$$

In the set of equalities (1), the array  $x\_par(i)$  is a predefined parameter and  $x(i)$  an array variable. We convert the parameter array in a variable array to show that the MILP model works well over an array variable. In the next two MILP models we also make this conversion, so we will

not make reference to it anymore. The set of equalities (1) is implemented in GAMS syntax by the following line of code:

```
calc_x(i).. x(i)=e=x_par(i);
```

Since the model is linear, we cannot make the logical AND of  $x(i) \geq x_{min}$  and  $x(i) \leq x_{max}$ . So we divide the two inequalities in two separate constraints and introduce an auxiliary indexed binary variable,  $relax(i)$ , that will have the value 1 when the AND condition is false. To prevent the trivial solution of all 1s in  $relax(i)$ , later on we will minimize it. This way, we formulate the solution of the IF problem with one interval as an optimization problem. This works because we can consider two constraints of a mathematical program as the logical AND of them. Then we multiply  $relax(i)$  by a parameter,  $max\_min$ , and add this product to  $x(i)$ . This parameter must ensure that, for all  $x(i)$ , when  $relax(i)$  is 1, then (2) is always true.

$$\forall i, x(i) + max\_min \cdot relax(i) \geq x_{min} \quad (2)$$

The set of inequalities (2) is implemented in GAMS syntax by the following line of code:

```
calc_relax(i).. x(i) + max_min * relax(i) =g= x_min;
```

The other set of  $Nx$  inequalities is expressed by (3).

$$\forall i, x(i) \leq x_{max} + max\_min \cdot relax(i) \quad (3)$$

The set of inequalities (3) is implemented in GAMS syntax by the following line of code:

```
calc_relax2(i).. x(i) =l= x_max + max_min * relax(i);
```

Now that we have calculated  $relax(i)$ , it is straightforward to choose between the two values, the *in* value,  $value0$ , associated to a 0 in  $relax(i)$ , and the *out* value,  $value1$ , associated to a 1 in  $relax(i)$ . This is expressed by the set of  $Nx$  equations (4).

$$\forall i, TA(i) = (1 - relax(i)) \cdot value0 + relax(i) \cdot value1 \quad (4)$$

Note that  $1 - relax(i)$  is the negation of  $relax(i)$ , and when  $relax(i)$  is 0, this means that  $x(i)$  is between  $x_{min}$  and  $x_{max}$ . In other words, we can say that (4) is *equivalent* to Algorithm 2.

---

**Algorithm 2** Algorithm Implemented by (4)

---

```
for i = 1 to Nx do
  if relax(i) = 0 then
    TA(i) ← value0
  else
    TA(i) ← value1
  end if
end for
```

---

The set of  $Nx$  equalities (4) is implemented in GAMS syntax by the following line of code:

```
calc_TA(i).. TA(i) =e=(1-relax(i))*value0 + relax(i) * value1;
```

To minimize  $relax(i)$  and prevent the trivial solution of all 1s in this binary variable, we sum all its elements and attribute this sum to the objective variable,  $obj$ , through (5), and then minimize  $obj$ .

$$obj = \sum_{i=1}^{Nx} relax(i) \quad (5)$$

Equation (5) is implemented in GAMS syntax by:

```
calc_obj.. obj=e=sum(i, relax(i));
```

The minimization of  $obj$  is done in GAMS syntax by the following two lines of code:

```
Model CondIF / all /;
Solve CondIF minimizing obj using MIP;
```

In Appendix A, we present the complete GAMS code that implements this first MILP model with  $x_{min} = 5$ ,  $x_{max} = 10$ ,  $max\_min = 100$ ,  $value0 = 50$  and  $value1 = -5$ , and in Appendix B we present the output of a run of this model using the Cplex solver, with a set of  $x_{par}(i)$  with  $Nx = 50$  values.

#### IV. DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION OF A MILP MODEL TO SOLVE THE CONDITIONAL IF PROBLEM WITH TWO INTERVALS WITH AN OR ARGUMENT

The conditional IF problem with one interval with an OR argument is formalized in Algorithm 3. Next we will explain how we solved this problem with a MILP model.

---

**Algorithm 3** Conditional IF Problem with Two Intervals with an OR Argument

---

```
for i = 1 to Nx do
  x(i) ← x_par(i)
end for
for i = 1 to Nx do
  if x(i) ≤ x_min then
    TA(i) ← value0
  else
    if x(i) ≥ x_max then
      TA(i) ← value1
    else
      TA(i) ← value2
    end if
  end if
end for
```

---

Since now we have two disjoint inequalities, we must have two auxiliary indexed binary variables,  $relax(i)$  and  $relax2(i)$ , that must be simultaneously minimized, to prevent the trivial solution of all 1s in these two indexed binary variables.

The first set of inequalities is *implemented* by (6) which is similar to (3).

$$\forall i, x(i) \leq x_{min} + max\_min \text{ relax}(i) \quad (6)$$

The set of inequalities (6) is implemented in GAMS syntax by the following line of code:

```
calc_relax(i).. x(i) =l= x_min + max_min * relax(i);
```

The second set of inequalities is implemented by (7), where  $\text{relax}(i)$  is substituted by  $\text{relax2}(i)$ .

$$\forall i, x(i) + max\_min \text{ relax2}(i) \geq x_{max} \quad (7)$$

The set of inequalities (7) is implemented in GAMS syntax by the following line of code:

```
calc_relax2(i).. x(i) + max_min * relax2(i) =g= x_max ;
```

Now, we need another indexed binary variable,  $\text{else\_if}(i)$ , that will implement the logical AND of  $\text{relax}(i)$  and  $\text{relax2}(i)$ , i.e.,  $\text{else\_if}(i) = 1$  when  $x(i)$  does not belong to both intervals. The logical AND of these two indexed binary variables is implemented by (8). To prevent the trivial solution of all 0s in  $\text{else\_if}(i)$ , we will maximize it. This way,  $\text{else\_if}(i)$  is 1 only when  $\text{relax}(i)$  and  $\text{relax2}(i)$  are 1.

$$\forall i, 2 \text{ else\_if}(i) \leq \text{relax}(i) + \text{relax2}(i) \quad (8)$$

The set of inequalities (8) is implemented in GAMS syntax by the following line of code:

```
calc_else_if(i).. 2*else_if(i)=l=relax(i)+relax2(i);
```

Since the two disjoint inequalities of the OR expression are true when  $\text{relax}(i) = 0$  or  $\text{relax2}(i) = 0$ , we must negate them and then multiply them by the respective associated values. By the contrary, when  $x(i)$  is outside of the two intervals, then  $\text{else\_if}(i) = 1$ , so we just multiply it by  $\text{value2}$ . The attribution of values to  $TA(i)$  is implemented by (9).

$$\forall i, TA(i) = (1 - \text{relax}(i)) \text{ value1} + (1 - \text{relax2}(i)) \text{ value0} + \text{else\_if}(i) \text{ value2} \quad (9)$$

The set of equations (9) is implemented in GAMS syntax by the following line of code:

```
calc_TA(i).. TA(i)=e=(1-relax(i))*value1 + (1-relax2(i)) * value0 + else_if(i) * value2;
```

To simultaneously minimize  $\text{relax}(i)$  and  $\text{relax2}(i)$  and maximize  $\text{else\_if}(i)$ , we must add  $\text{relax}(i)$  and  $\text{relax2}(i)$  and subtract  $\text{else\_if}(i)$  and then minimize the objective variable,  $\text{obj}$ . This is implemented by (10). Since  $\text{else\_if}(i)$  appears with a minus signal, the minimization is converted in maximization.

$$\text{obj} = \sum_{i=1}^{Nx} \text{relax}(i) + \text{relax2}(i) - \text{else\_if}(i) \quad (10)$$

Equation (10) is implemented in GAMS syntax by the following line of code:

```
calc_obj.. obj=e=sum(i, relax(i))+sum(i, relax2(i))-sum(i, else_if(i));
```

Finally in GAMS syntax we minimize  $\text{obj}$  and tell to the system to use the Cplex solver:

```
Model CondIF /all;
Solve CondIF minimizing obj using MIP;
```

In Appendix C we show the complete GAMS code that implements this second MILP model and in Appendix D we show an output of a run of this model using the Cplex solver, with  $Nx = 50$  elements,  $x_{min} = 3$ ,  $x_{max} = 5$ ,  $\text{value0} = 50$ ,  $\text{value1} = -5$ ,  $\text{value2} = -20$  and  $max\_min = 100$ .

#### V. DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION OF A MILP MODEL TO SOLVE THE CONDITIONAL IF PROBLEM WITH $N$ NON OVERLAPPING INTERVALS

The solution of the conditional IF problem with  $N$  non overlapping intervals is formalized by Algorithm 4. Next we will describe the MILP model that solves this problem.

---

#### Algorithm 4 Conditional IF Problem with $N$ Non Overlapping Intervals

---

```
for i = 1 to Nx do
    x(i) ← x_par(i)
end for
for i = 1 to Nx do
    flag ← 0
    for k = 1 to N do
        if x(i) ≥ x_min(k) AND x(i) ≤ x_max(k) then
            flag ← 1
            TA(i) ← value(k)
        end if
    end for
    if flag = 0 then
        TA(i) ← value0
    end if
end for
```

---

Now we have  $N$  intervals, so we will have  $N$  values of  $x_{min}(j)$  and  $N$  values of  $x_{max}(j)$  and the indexed binary auxiliary relaxation variable will have two indexes,  $\text{relax}(i, j)$ . When  $\text{relax}(i, j) = 1$  this means that  $x(i)$  does not belong to the interval  $[x_{min}(j) x_{max}(j)]$  and so (11) and (12) are relaxed. When  $\text{relax}(i, j) = 0$  this means that  $x(i)$  belongs to the interval  $[x_{min}(j) x_{max}(j)]$  and so (11) and (12) are not relaxed. This works well because  $max\_min$  is big enough and  $\text{relax}(i, j)$  will be minimized to prevent the trivial solution of all 1s in this binary variable.

$$\forall i, j, x(i) + \max\_min \text{ relax}(i, j) \geq x\_min(j) \quad (11)$$

The set of inequalities (11) is implemented by the following line of GAMS code:

**calc\_relax(i,j)..**  $x(i) + \max\_min * \text{relax}(i,j) =g= x\_min(j);$

Similarly, we must impose that when  $x(i) \leq x\_max(j)$ , then  $\text{relax}(i, j) = 0$ . This is implemented by (12).

$$\forall i, x(i) \leq x\_max(j) + \max\_min \text{ relax}(i, j) \quad (12)$$

The set of inequalities (12) is implemented by the following line of GAMS code:

**calc\_relax2(i,j)..**  $x(i) =l= x\_max(j) + \max\_min * \text{relax}(i,j);$

To identify the elements of  $x(i)$  that do not belong to any of the  $N$  intervals we create an auxiliary indexed variable,  $\text{else\_if}(i)$ , that is 1 when all  $\text{relax}(i, j) = 1$ ,  $j=1..N$ . This is implemented by (13) and by the maximization of  $\text{else\_if}(i)$ , to prevent the trivial solution of all 0s in this binary variable.

$$\forall i, N \text{ else\_if}(i) \leq \sum_{j=1}^N \text{relax}(i, j) \quad (13)$$

We can say that (13) implements the logical AND of all  $\text{relax}(i, j)$  for a given  $i$  and varying  $j$  between 1 and  $N$ . This is expressed by (14).

$$\forall i, \text{else\_if}(i) = \prod_{j=1}^N \text{relax}(i, j) \quad (14)$$

In the set of equations (14) the multiplication means the logical AND. The set of inequalities (13) is implemented by the following line of GAMS code:

**calc\_else\_if(i)..**  $N * \text{else\_if}(i) =l= \text{sum}(j, \text{relax}(i,j));$

Since when  $x(i)$  belongs to interval  $j$ ,  $\text{relax}(i, j) = 0$  and all the remaining values of this binary variable, for this value of  $i$ , are 1, the  $\text{value}(j)$  must be attributed to  $TA(i)$ , and when  $\text{else\_if}(i) = 1$  all the  $\text{relax}(i, j) = 1$  and the value  $\text{value\_out}$  must be attributed to  $TA(i)$ . This is implemented by (15).

$$\forall i, TA(i) = \text{else\_if}(i) \text{ value\_out} + \sum_{j=1}^N (1 - \text{relax}(i, j)) \text{ value}(j) \quad (15)$$

The set of equalities (15) is implemented by the following line of GAMS code:

**calc\_TA(i)..**  $TA(i) =e= \text{sum}(j, (1 - \text{relax}(i,j)) * \text{value}(j)) + \text{else\_if}(i) * \text{value\_out};$

Finally we must solve this MILP model with the Cplex solver and simultaneously minimize  $\text{relax}(i, j)$  and maximize  $\text{else\_if}(i)$ . This is implemented by (16), (17) and (18).

$$\text{obj1} = \sum_{i,j} \text{relax}(i, j) \quad (16)$$

Equation (16) is implemented by the following line of GAMS code:

**calc\_obj1..**  $\text{obj1} =e= \text{sum}((i,j), \text{relax}(i,j));$

$$\text{obj2} = \sum_i \text{else\_if}(i) \quad (17)$$

Equation (17) is implemented by the following line of GAMS code:

**calc\_obj2..**  $\text{obj2} =e= \text{sum}(i, \text{else\_if}(i));$

$$\text{obj} = \text{obj1} - \text{obj2} \quad (18)$$

Equation (18) is implemented by the following line of GAMS code:

**calc\_obj..**  $\text{obj} =e= \text{obj1} - \text{obj2} ;$

Finally we obtain the solution of our MILP model with the two following lines of GAMS code:

**Model CondIF /all/;**  
**Solve CondIF minimizing obj using MIP;**

In Appendix E we present the complete GAMS code that implements this MILP model and in Appendix F we present the output of a run of this MILP model with  $Nx = 50$  elements of  $x(i)$ ,  $N = 7$  intervals defined by  $[x\_min(1) = 5 \ x\_max(1) = 10]$ ,  $\text{value}(1) = 50$ ,  $[x\_min(2) = 15 \ x\_max(2) = 20]$ ,  $\text{value}(2) = -50$ ,  $[x\_min(3) = 30 \ x\_max(3) = 40]$ ,  $\text{value}(3) = 20$ ,  $[x\_min(4) = 50 \ x\_max(4) = 60]$ ,  $\text{value}(4) = 40$ ,  $[x\_min(5) = 70 \ x\_max(5) = 80]$ ,  $\text{value}(5) = 80$ ,  $[x\_min(6) = 90 \ x\_max(6) = 100]$ ,  $\text{value}(6) = 90$ ,  $[x\_min(7) = 105 \ x\_max(7) = 115]$ ,  $\text{value}(7) = 99$ ,  $\text{value\_out} = -5$  and  $\max\_min = 200$ .

## VI. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

We designed and implemented the solution of the conditional IF problem with one argument interval with a linear MILP model and then we generalize it for  $N$  non overlapping intervals. Although we ran the third MILP model with a small set of  $x(i)$ ,  $Nx = 50$  elements, and with  $N = 7$  intervals, in the near future we will run this MILP model with greater  $Nx$  and  $N$  to test the scalability of our MILP model.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Barahona da Fonseca, "Solving Nonlinear Problems with MILP Models," in Proceedings of Escape-19 Conference, June 14-17, 2009, Cracow, Poland, 2009, pp. 647-652
- [2] ILOG, Cplex Users Manual. ILOG, 2003.
- [3] A. Meeraus, "Toward a General Algebraic Modelling System," in Proceedings of IX. International Symposium on Mathematical Programming, Budapest, Hungary. Mathematical Programming Society, 1976, pp. 185-186.

## APPENDIX A IMPLEMENTATION OF THE FIRST MILP MODEL WITH GAMS SYNTAX

```

set i /1*50/;

parameter x_par(i);

x_par(i)=3;

x_par('1')=5;
x_par('3')=6;
x_par('4')=7;
x_par('6')=6;
x_par('8')=10;
x_par('9')=4;

scalar x_min /5/
x_max /10/
value0 /50/
value1 /-5/
max_min /100/;

variable obj, TA(i), x(i);

binary variable relax(i);

equations

calc_x(i)
calc_relax(i)
calc_relax2(i)

calc_obj

calc_TA(i)
;

calc_x(i).. x(i)=e=x_par(i);

calc_relax(i).. x(i) + max_min * relax(i) =g= x_min;

calc_relax2(i).. x(i) =1= x_max + max_min * relax(i);

calc_TA(i).. TA(i)=e=(1-relax(i))*value0 + relax(i) * value1;

calc_obj.. obj=e=sum(i, relax(i));

Model CondIF /all/;

Solve CondIF minimizing obj using MIP;

display TA.l, obj.l, x.l, x_min, x_max, max_min, relax.l;

```

## APPENDIX B OUTPUT OF A RUN OF THE FIRST MILP MODEL

GAMS Rev 229 WIN-VIS 22.9.2 x86/MS Windows 03/24/18 13:23:34 Page 6  
General Algebraic Modeling System Execution

```

---- 51 VARIABLE TA.L

1 50.000, 2 -5.000, 3 50.000, 4 50.000, 5 -5.000, 6 50.000
7 -5.000, 8 50.000, 9 -5.000, 10 -5.000, 11 -5.000, 12 -5.000
13 -5.000, 14 -5.000, 15 -5.000, 16 -5.000, 17 -5.000, 18 -5.000
19 -5.000, 20 -5.000, 21 -5.000, 22 -5.000, 23 -5.000, 24 -5.000
25 -5.000, 26 -5.000, 27 -5.000, 28 -5.000, 29 -5.000, 30 -5.000
31 -5.000, 32 -5.000, 33 -5.000, 34 -5.000, 35 -5.000, 36 -5.000
37 -5.000, 38 -5.000, 39 -5.000, 40 -5.000, 41 -5.000, 42 -5.000
43 -5.000, 44 -5.000, 45 -5.000, 46 -5.000, 47 -5.000, 48 -5.000
49 -5.000, 50 -5.000

---- 51 VARIABLE obj.L = 45.000

---- 51 VARIABLE x.L

1 5.000, 2 3.000, 3 6.000, 4 7.000, 5 3.000, 6 6.000
7 3.000, 8 10.000, 9 4.000, 10 3.000, 11 3.000, 12 3.000
13 3.000, 14 3.000, 15 3.000, 16 3.000, 17 3.000, 18 3.000
19 3.000, 20 3.000, 21 3.000, 22 3.000, 23 3.000, 24 3.000
25 3.000, 26 3.000, 27 3.000, 28 3.000, 29 3.000, 30 3.000
31 3.000, 32 3.000, 33 3.000, 34 3.000, 35 3.000, 36 3.000
37 3.000, 38 3.000, 39 3.000, 40 3.000, 41 3.000, 42 3.000
43 3.000, 44 3.000, 45 3.000, 46 3.000, 47 3.000, 48 3.000
49 3.000, 50 3.000

```

```

---- 51 PARAMETER x_min = 5.000
PARAMETER x_max = 10.000
PARAMETER max_min = 100.000

```

```

---- 51 VARIABLE relax.L

2 1.000, 5 1.000, 7 1.000, 9 1.000, 10 1.000, 11 1.000
12 1.000, 13 1.000, 14 1.000, 15 1.000, 16 1.000, 17 1.000
18 1.000, 19 1.000, 20 1.000, 21 1.000, 22 1.000, 23 1.000
24 1.000, 25 1.000, 26 1.000, 27 1.000, 28 1.000, 29 1.000
30 1.000, 31 1.000, 32 1.000, 33 1.000, 34 1.000, 35 1.000
36 1.000, 37 1.000, 38 1.000, 39 1.000, 40 1.000, 41 1.000
42 1.000, 43 1.000, 44 1.000, 45 1.000, 46 1.000, 47 1.000
48 1.000, 49 1.000, 50 1.000

```

## APPENDIX C IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SECOND MILP MODEL WITH GAMS SYNTAX

```

set i /1*50/;

parameter x_par(i);
x_par(i)=2; x_par('1')=5; x_par('2')=4; x_par('3')=6; x_par('4')=7; x_par('5')=4;
x_par('6')=6; x_par('7')=4; x_par('8')=10; x_par('9')=4; x_par('10')=4; x_par('11')=4;
x_par('12')=4;

scalar x_min /3/
x_max /5/
value0 /50/
value1 /-5/
value2 /-20/
max_min /100/;

variable obj, TA(i), x(i);
binary variable relax(i), relax2(i), else_if(i);
calc_x(i).. x(i)=e=x_par(i);
calc_relax(i).. x(i) =1= x_min + max_min * relax(i);
calc_relax2(i).. x(i) + max_min * relax2(i) =g= x_max ;
calc_else_if(i).. 2*else_if(i)=1=relax(i)+relax2(i);
calc_TA(i).. TA(i)=e=(1-relax(i))*value1 + (1-relax2(i)) * value0 + else_if(i) * value2;
* if value0=1 then this will be equivalent to
* IF x(i) ge x_max OR x(i) le x_min THEN TA(i)=value1
calc_obj.. obj=e=sum(i, relax(i))+sum(i, relax2(i))-sum(i, else_if(i));

Model CondIF /all/;

Solve CondIF minimizing obj using MIP;

display TA.l, obj.l, x.l, x_min, x_max, max_min, relax.l, relax2.l;

```

## APPENDIX D OUTPUT OF A RUN OF THE SECOND MILP MODEL

GAMS Rev 229 WIN-VIS 22.9.2 x86/MS Windows 02/20/18 20:00:34 Page 6  
General Algebraic Modeling System Execution

```

---- 71 VARIABLE TA.L

1 -5.000, 2 -20.000, 3 -5.000, 4 -5.000, 5 -20.000
6 -5.000, 7 -20.000, 8 -5.000, 9 -20.000, 10 -20.000
11 -20.000, 12 -20.000, 13 50.000, 14 50.000, 15 50.000
16 50.000

---- 71 VARIABLE obj.L = 16.000

---- 71 VARIABLE x.L

1 5.000, 2 4.000, 3 6.000, 4 7.000, 5 4.000, 6 6.000
7 4.000, 8 10.000, 9 4.000, 10 4.000, 11 4.000, 12 4.000
13 2.000, 14 2.000, 15 2.000, 16 2.000

```

```

---- 71 PARAMETER x_min = 3.000
PARAMETER x_max = 5.000
PARAMETER max_min = 100.000

```

```

---- 71 VARIABLE relax.L

1 1.000, 2 1.000, 3 1.000, 4 1.000, 5 1.000, 6 1.000
7 1.000, 8 1.000, 9 1.000, 10 1.000, 11 1.000, 12 1.000

```

```

---- 71 VARIABLE relax2.L

2 1.000, 5 1.000, 7 1.000, 9 1.000, 10 1.000, 11 1.000
12 1.000, 13 1.000, 14 1.000, 15 1.000, 16 1.000

```

```

---- 71 VARIABLE else_if.L

2 1.000, 5 1.000, 7 1.000, 9 1.000, 10 1.000, 11 1.000
12 1.000

```

```

---- 71 VARIABLE TA.L

1 -5.000, 2 -20.000, 3 -5.000, 4 -5.000, 5 -20.000
6 -5.000, 7 -20.000, 8 -5.000, 9 -20.000, 10 -20.000
11 -20.000, 12 -20.000, 13 50.000, 14 50.000, 15 50.000
16 50.000

```

## APPENDIX E MILP MODEL FOR THE SOLUTION OF THE CONDITIONAL IF PROBLEM WITH N INTERVALS

```

scalar N /7/;

set i /1*50/;

```

```

j /1*7;
* We must have N=card(j)

parameter x_par(i), x_min(j), x_max(j), value(j);

x_min(j)=0;
x_max(j)=0;
value(j)=0;

x_min('1')=5;
x_max('1')=10;

x_min('2')=15;
x_max('2')=20;

x_min('3')=30;
x_max('3')=40;

x_min('4')=50;
x_max('4')=60;

x_min('5')=70;
x_max('5')=80;

x_min('6')=90;
x_max('6')=100;

x_min('7')=105;
x_max('7')=115;

value('1')=50;
value('2')=-50;
value('3')=20;
value('4')=40;
value('5')=80;
value('6')=90;
value('7')=99;

x_par(i)=3;

x_par('1')=2;
x_par('3')=6;
x_par('4')=7;
x_par('5')=8;
x_par('6')=6;
x_par('8')=2;
x_par('9')=4;
x_par('10')=16;
x_par('11')=17;
x_par('12')=18;
x_par('13')=19;
x_par('14')=20;

x_par('15')=3;
x_par('16')=31;
x_par('17')=32;
x_par('18')=33;
x_par('19')=34;
x_par('20')=35;

x_par('21')=3;
x_par('22')=55;
x_par('23')=57;
x_par('24')=58;
x_par('25')=60;

x_par('26')=3;
x_par('27')=71;
x_par('28')=72;
x_par('29')=73;
x_par('30')=74;
x_par('31')=75;
x_par('32')=76;
x_par('33')=77;

x_par('34')=3;
x_par('35')=91;
x_par('36')=92;
x_par('37')=93;
x_par('38')=94;
x_par('39')=95;
x_par('40')=96;
x_par('41')=97;
x_par('42')=100;

x_par('43')=3;
x_par('44')=107;
x_par('45')=109;
x_par('46')=111;
x_par('47')=113;
x_par('48')=115;

*x_par('49')=18;

scalar value_out /-5/
max_min /200/;

variable obj, obj1, obj2, TA(i), x(i);

binary variable relax(i,j), else_if(i);

equations

calc_x(i)
calc_relax(i,j)
calc_relax2(i,j)

```

```

calc_obj1
calc_obj2
calc_obj

calc_TA(i)

calc_else_if(i)

;

calc_x(i).. x(i)=e=x_par(i);

calc_relax(i,j).. (x(i) + max_min * relax(i,j)) =g= x_min(j);
calc_relax2(i,j).. x(i) =l= (x_max(j) + max_min * relax(i,j));

calc_else_if(i).. N * else_if(i) =l= sum(j, relax(i,j));

calc_TA(i).. TA(i)=e= sum(j, (1-relax(i,j))*value(j)) + else_if(i) * value_out;

calc_obj1.. obj1=e=sum((i,j), relax(i,j));

calc_obj2.. obj2=e=sum(i, else_if(i));

calc_obj.. obj=e=obj1 - obj2 ;

Model CondIF /all/;

Solve CondIF minimizing obj using MIP;

display obj.1, obj1.1, obj2.1, x_min, x_max, value, value_out, max_min, TA.1, x.1;

```

## APPENDIX F

### OUTPUT OF THE RUN OF THE MILP MODEL FOR THE SOLUTION OF THE CONDITIONAL IF PROBLEM WITH N INTERVALS WITH GAMS SOFTWARE

GAMS Rev 229 WIN-VIS 22.9.2 x86/MS Windows 03/12/18 15:20:56 Page 6  
 General Algebraic Modeling System  
 Execution

```

---- 146 VARIABLE obj.L = = 300.000
VARIABLE obj1.L = = 312.000
VARIABLE obj2.L = = 12.000

---- 146 PARAMETER x_min
1 5.000, 2 15.000, 3 30.000, 4 50.000, 5 70.000, 6 90.000
7 105.000

---- 146 PARAMETER x_max
1 10.000, 2 20.000, 3 40.000, 4 60.000, 5 80.000, 6 100.000
7 115.000

---- 146 PARAMETER value
1 50.000, 2 -50.000, 3 20.000, 4 40.000, 5 80.000, 6 90.000
7 99.000

---- 146 PARAMETER value_out = = -5.000
PARAMETER max_min = = 200.000

---- 146 VARIABLE TA.L
1 -5.000, 2 -5.000, 3 50.000, 4 50.000, 5 50.000
6 50.000, 7 -5.000, 8 -5.000, 9 -5.000, 10 -50.000
11 -50.000, 12 -50.000, 13 -50.000, 14 -50.000, 15 -5.000
16 20.000, 17 20.000, 18 20.000, 19 20.000, 20 20.000
21 -5.000, 22 40.000, 23 40.000, 24 40.000, 25 40.000
26 -5.000, 27 80.000, 28 80.000, 29 80.000, 30 80.000
31 80.000, 32 80.000, 33 80.000, 34 -5.000, 35 90.000
36 90.000, 37 90.000, 38 90.000, 39 90.000, 40 90.000
41 90.000, 42 90.000, 43 -5.000, 44 99.000, 45 99.000
46 99.000, 47 99.000, 48 99.000, 49 -5.000, 50 -5.000

---- 146 VARIABLE x.L
1 2.000, 2 3.000, 3 6.000, 4 7.000, 5 8.000
6 6.000, 7 3.000, 8 2.000, 9 4.000, 10 16.000
11 17.000, 12 18.000, 13 19.000, 14 20.000, 15 3.000
16 31.000, 17 32.000, 18 33.000, 19 34.000, 20 35.000
21 3.000, 22 55.000, 23 57.000, 24 58.000, 25 60.000
26 3.000, 27 71.000, 28 72.000, 29 73.000, 30 74.000
31 75.000, 32 76.000, 33 77.000, 34 3.000, 35 91.000
36 92.000, 37 93.000, 38 94.000, 39 95.000, 40 96.000
41 97.000, 42 100.000, 43 3.000, 44 107.000, 45 109.000
46 111.000, 47 113.000, 48 115.000, 49 3.000, 50 3.000

```