

# Ranks of Monoids of Endomorphisms of a Finite Undirected Path

I. Dimitrova\*, V.H. Fernandes†, J. Koppitz‡ and T.M. Quinteiro§

April 5, 2019

## Abstract

In this paper we study the widely considered endomorphisms and weak endomorphisms of a finite undirected path from monoid generators perspective. Our main aim is to determine the ranks of the monoids  $w\text{End}P_n$  and  $\text{End}P_n$  of all weak endomorphisms and all endomorphisms of the undirected path  $P_n$  with  $n$  vertices. We also consider strong and strong weak endomorphisms of  $P_n$ .

## Introduction and Preliminaries

In the same way that automorphisms of graphs allow to establish natural connections between Graph Theory and Group Theory, endomorphisms of graphs do the same between Graph Theory and Semigroup Theory. For this reason, it is not surprising that monoids of endomorphisms of graphs have been attracting the attention of several authors over the last decades. In fact, from combinatorial properties to more algebraic concepts have been extensively studied. Regularity, in the sense of Semigroup Theory, is one of the most studied notions. Regular semigroups constitutes a very important class in Semigroup Theory. A general solution to the problem, posed in 1988 by Márki [29], of which graphs have a regular monoid of endomorphisms seems to be very difficult to obtain. Nevertheless, for some special classes of graphs, various authors studied and solved this question (for instance, see [7, 8, 9, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 25, 26, 27, 28, 31, 32]).

In this paper, we focus our attention on a very important invariant of a semigroup or a monoid, which has been the subject of intensive research in Semigroup Theory. We are referring to the *rank*, i.e. to the least number of generators of a semigroup or a monoid  $S$ , denoted by  $\text{rank}(S)$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be a finite set with at least 2 elements. It is well-known that the full symmetric group of  $\Omega$  has rank 2 (as a semigroup, monoid or group). Furthermore, the monoid of all transformations and the monoid of all partial transformations of  $\Omega$  have ranks 3 and 4, respectively. The survey [10] presents these results and similar ones for other classes of transformation monoids, in particular, for monoids of order-preserving transformations and for some of their extensions. More recently, for instance, the papers [1, 2, 3, 6, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 23, 33, 34] are dedicated to the computation of the ranks of certain (classes of transformation) semigroups or monoids.

Now, let  $G = (V, E)$  be a simple graph (i.e. undirected, without loops and without multiple edges). Let  $\alpha$  be a full transformation of  $V$ . We say that  $\alpha$  is:

---

\*This work was developed within the FCT Project UID/MAT/00297/2013 of CMA.

†This work was developed within the FCT Project UID/MAT/00297/2013 of CMA and of Departamento de Matemática da Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia da Universidade Nova de Lisboa.

‡This work was developed within the FCT Project UID/MAT/00297/2013 of CMA.

§This work was developed within the FCT Project UID/MAT/00297/2013 of CMA and of Instituto Superior de Engenharia de Lisboa.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 05C38, 20M10, 20M20, 05C25


*Keywords*: graph endomorphisms, paths, generators, rank.

- an *endomorphism* of  $G$  if  $\{u, v\} \in E$  implies  $\{u\alpha, v\alpha\} \in E$ , for all  $u, v \in V$ ;
- a *weak endomorphism* of  $G$  if  $\{u, v\} \in E$  and  $u\alpha \neq v\alpha$  imply  $\{u\alpha, v\alpha\} \in E$ , for all  $u, v \in V$ ;
- a *strong endomorphism* of  $G$  if  $\{u, v\} \in E$  if and only if  $\{u\alpha, v\alpha\} \in E$ , for all  $u, v \in V$ ;
- a *strong weak endomorphism* of  $G$  if  $\{u, v\} \in E$  and  $u\alpha \neq v\alpha$  if and only if  $\{u\alpha, v\alpha\} \in E$ , for all  $u, v \in V$ ;
- an *automorphism* of  $G$  if  $\alpha$  is a bijective strong endomorphism (i.e.  $\alpha$  is bijective and  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha^{-1}$  are both endomorphisms). For finite graphs, any bijective endomorphism is an automorphism.

Denote by:

- $\text{End}G$  the set of all endomorphisms of  $G$ ;
- $\text{wEnd}G$  the set of all weak endomorphisms of  $G$ ;
- $\text{sEnd}G$  the set of all strong endomorphisms of  $G$ ;
- $\text{swEnd}G$  the set of all strong weak endomorphisms of  $G$ ;
- $\text{Aut}G$  the set of all automorphisms of  $G$ .

Clearly,  $\text{End}G$ ,  $\text{wEnd}G$ ,  $\text{sEnd}G$ ,  $\text{swEnd}G$  and  $\text{Aut}G$  are monoids under composition of maps. Moreover,  $\text{Aut}G$  is also a group. It is also clear that  $\text{Aut}G \subseteq \text{sEnd}G \subseteq \text{End}G$ , [respectively,  $\text{swEnd}G \subseteq \text{wEnd}G$ ]



(these inclusions may not be strict).

Let  $P_n$  be the undirected path with  $n$  vertices. The number of endomorphisms of  $P_n$  has been determined by Arworn [4] (see also the paper [30] by Michels and Knauer). In addition, several other combinatorial and algebraic properties of  $P_n$  were also studied in these two papers and, for instance, in [5, 19].

Let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of all natural numbers greater than zero and let

$$P_n = (\{1, \dots, n\}, \{\{i, i+1\} \mid i = 1, \dots, n-1\}),$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The paper deals with sets  $\{S_{in} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$  with  $S_{1n} = \text{wEnd}P_n$ ,  $S_{2n} = \text{swEnd}P_n$ ,  $S_{3n} = \text{End}P_n$ ,  $S_{4n} = \text{sEnd}P_n$  and  $S_{5n} = \text{Aut}P_n$ . It is the aim of the present paper to determine, for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$ , an *effectively computable* function  $F_i$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  such that  $\text{rank}(S_{in}) = F_i(n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The main results are

- $\text{rank}(\text{wEnd}P_n) = n + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{3} \rfloor} \lfloor \frac{n-3j-1}{2} \rfloor$ ,
- $\text{rank}(\text{swEnd}P_n) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$ ,

- $\text{rank}(\text{End}P_n) = 1 + \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{3} \rfloor} \lfloor \frac{n-3j-1}{2} \rfloor$ ,
- $\text{rank}(\text{sEnd}P_n) = 1$  for  $n \neq 3$ ,  $\text{rank}(\text{sEnd}P_3) = 3$ ,
- $\text{rank}(\text{Aut}P_n) = 1$ ,

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ .

Notice that  $P_1 = (\{1\}, \emptyset)$ . Thus, for  $n = 1$ , all this monoids naturally have rank equal to zero. Thereof, in what follows, we consider  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ .

For general background on Semigroup Theory and standard notation, we refer the reader to Howie's book [22]. On the other hand, regarding Algebraic Graph Theory, our main reference is Knauer's book [24].

## 1 Basic Properties and Ranks of $\text{swEnd}P_n$ , $\text{sEnd}P_n$ and $\text{Aut}P_n$

For integer numbers  $a$  and  $b$  let  $[a, b]$  be the set of all integers  $x$  such that  $a \leq x$  and  $x \leq b$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_n$  be the set of all full transformations of the set  $[1, n]$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** *For each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ , there hold the statements:*

1.  $\text{wEnd}P_n$  is the set of all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_n$  such that  $(i+1)\alpha \in \{i\alpha - 1, i\alpha, i\alpha + 1\}$  for each  $i \in [1, n-1]$ .
2.  $\text{End}P_n$  is the set of all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_n$  such that  $(i+1)\alpha \in \{i\alpha - 1, i\alpha + 1\}$  for each  $i \in [1, n-1]$ .

**Proposition 1.2.** *For each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ , there holds the statement: If  $\alpha \in \text{wEnd}P_n$  and  $u, v \in [1, n]$  then  $[u, v]\alpha = [a, b]$  for some  $a, b \in [1, n]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in \text{wEnd}P_n$  and  $u, v \in [1, n]$ . If  $[u, v] = \emptyset$ , then the statement holds because  $[u, v]\alpha = \emptyset$ , too. Now, let  $[u, v] \neq \emptyset$ . We define sets  $I_k$  with  $k \in [u, v]$ , recursively:  $I_u = u\alpha$ ,  $I_{k+1} = I_k \cup \{(k+1)\alpha\}$  for  $k \in [u, v-1]$ . Then,  $I_u = [a_u, b_u]$  with  $a_u = b_u = u\alpha$  and from  $I_k = [a_k, b_k]$  (induction hypothesis) it follows that  $I_{k+1} = [a_{k+1}, b_{k+1}]$  for  $k \in [u, v-1]$  as a consequence of Proposition 1.1, 1. :

- If  $(k+1)\alpha < a_k$ , then  $I_{k+1} = [a_{k+1}, b_{k+1}]$  with  $a_{k+1} = a_k - 1$  and  $b_{k+1} = b_k$ .
- If  $(k+1)\alpha \in [a_k, b_k]$ , then  $I_{k+1} = [a_{k+1}, b_{k+1}]$  with  $a_{k+1} = a_k$  and  $b_{k+1} = b_k$ .
- If  $b_k < (k+1)\alpha$ , then  $I_{k+1} = [a_{k+1}, b_{k+1}]$  with  $a_{k+1} = a_k$  and  $b_{k+1} = b_k + 1$ .

Finally,  $[u, v]\alpha = [a, b]$  with  $a = a_v$  and  $b = b_v$ . □

Next, we consider strong endomorphisms.

**Theorem 1.3.**  $\text{Aut}P_n = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \cdots n \\ 1 \cdots n \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \cdots n \\ n \cdots 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$  (a cyclic group of order two, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ ).

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}P_n$ . Let  $i = 1\alpha$ . Then  $2\alpha \in \{i-1, i+1\}$ . Since  $\alpha$  is a permutation of  $[1, n]$ , if  $2\alpha = i+1$  then  $3\alpha = i+2, \dots, (n-i+1)\alpha = n$  and so  $n-i+1 = n$  (otherwise  $(n-i+2)\alpha = n-1 = (n-i)\alpha$ , which is a contradiction), i.e.  $i = 1$ , whence  $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \cdots n \\ 1 \cdots n \end{pmatrix}$ . On the other hand, if  $2\alpha = i-1$  then  $3\alpha = i-2, \dots, i\alpha = 1$  and so  $i = n$  (otherwise  $(i+1)\alpha = 2 = (i-1)\alpha$ , which is a contradiction), whence  $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \cdots n \\ n \cdots 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . □

**Theorem 1.4.**  $\text{rank}(\text{Aut}P_n) = 1$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ .

*Proof.* As an outcome of Theorem 1.3 we have that  $\text{Aut}P_n$  is generated by the transformation  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \cdots n \\ n \cdots 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Therefore,  $\text{rank}(\text{Aut}P_n) = 1$ . □

Define  $N(u) = \{v \in V \mid \{u, v\} \in E\}$  (the *neighbors* of  $u$ ), for all  $u \in V$ , and a binary relation  $R_G$  on  $V$  by  $(u, v) \in R_G$  if and only if  $N(u) = N(v)$ , for all  $u, v \in V$ .

**Theorem 1.5.**  $\text{sEnd}P_n = \text{Aut}P_n$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 3\}$ .

*Proof.* For  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 3\}$ , it is easy to check that the relation  $R_{P_n}$  is the identity. Hence, the assertion is as an immediate consequence of [24, Proposition 1.7.15].  $\square$

Observe that  $\text{sEnd}P_3 = \left\{ \binom{123}{123}, \binom{123}{321}, \binom{123}{121}, \binom{123}{212}, \binom{123}{232}, \binom{123}{323} \right\}$ , whence  $\text{Aut}P_3 \subsetneq \text{sEnd}P_3$ .

**Theorem 1.6.**  $\text{rank}(\text{sEnd}P_n) = 1$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 3\}$ ,  $\text{rank}(\text{sEnd}P_3) = 3$ .

*Proof.* The first part of the statement is deduced from Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5. By the observation above and some routine calculations we have that  $\text{sEnd}P_3$  can be generated by  $\left\{ \binom{123}{321}, \binom{123}{121}, \binom{123}{232} \right\}$ . It is also easy to check that this set of generators have minimal cardinality. Whence,  $\text{rank}(\text{sEnd}P_3) = 3$ .  $\square$

For strong weak endomorphisms of  $P_n$ , we have:

**Theorem 1.7.**  $\text{swEnd}P_n = \left\{ \binom{1 \cdots n}{1 \cdots n}, \binom{1 \cdots n}{n \cdots 1}, \binom{1 \cdots n}{1 \cdots 1}, \dots, \binom{1 \cdots n}{n \cdots n} \right\}$  (the automorphisms together with the constants), for each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 3\}$ .

*Proof.* The equality is obvious for  $n = 2$ . Then, suppose that  $n \geq 4$  and let  $\alpha \in \text{swEnd}P_n \setminus \text{Aut}P_n$ .

Let  $i, j \in [1, n]$  be such that  $i < j$  and  $i\alpha = j\alpha$  (notice that, as  $\alpha \in \text{swEnd}P_n \setminus \text{Aut}P_n$ , such a pair of integers always exists). Let  $k$  be the largest integer such that  $0 \leq k \leq i - 1$  and  $[i - k, i]\alpha = \{i\alpha\}$ . If  $k < i - 1$  then  $i - k - 1 \geq 1$  and  $(i - k - 1)\alpha = i\alpha \pm 1 = j\alpha \pm 1$ , whence  $\{(i - k - 1)\alpha, j\alpha\}$  is an edge of  $P_n$  and so  $\{i - k - 1, j\}$  is also an edge of  $P_n$ , which is a contradiction since  $i - k - 1 < j - 1$ . Hence,  $k = i - 1$  and so  $[1, i]\alpha = \{i\alpha\}$ . A similar reasoning also allow us to deduce that  $[j, n]\alpha = \{i\alpha\}$ .

Now, take the largest integer  $i$  such that there exist an integer  $j$  such that  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  and  $i\alpha = j\alpha$ . Then, we have  $[1, i]\alpha = \{i\alpha\} = [j, n]\alpha$ .

If  $i > 1$  then we get  $1 \leq i - 1 < i$  and  $(i - 1)\alpha = i\alpha$ , whence  $[1, i - 1]\alpha = \{i\alpha\} = [i, n]\alpha$  and so  $\alpha$  is a constant transformation.

Thus, suppose that  $i = 1$ . If  $j > 2$  then, given the choice of  $i$ , we must have  $2\alpha = 1\alpha \pm 1 = n\alpha \pm 1$ , whence  $\{2\alpha, n\alpha\}$  is an edge of  $P_n$  and so  $\{2, n\}$  is also an edge of  $P_n$ , which is a contradiction since  $n \geq 4$ . Therefore,  $j = 2$  and so  $\alpha$  is also a constant transformation, as required.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.8.**  $\text{rank}(\text{swEnd}P_n) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil + 1$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ .

*Proof.* We start by noting that  $\text{swEnd}P_3 = \left\{ \binom{123}{123}, \binom{123}{321}, \binom{123}{121}, \binom{123}{212}, \binom{123}{232}, \binom{123}{323}, \binom{123}{111}, \binom{123}{222}, \binom{123}{333} \right\}$ .

It is a routine matter to show that  $\text{swEnd}P_3$  is generated by  $\left\{ \binom{123}{321}, \binom{123}{212}, \binom{123}{111} \right\}$ , and  $\text{swEnd}P_n$  is generated by  $\left\{ \binom{1 \cdots n}{n \cdots 1}, \binom{1 \cdots n}{1 \cdots 1}, \dots, \binom{1 \cdots n}{\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil \cdots \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil} \right\}$ , for  $n \neq 3$ . Furthermore, it is easy to deduce that these sets of generators have minimal cardinality.  $\square$

## Regularity

Recall that an element  $s$  of a semigroup  $S$  is called *regular* if there exists  $x \in S$  such that  $s = sxs$ . A semigroup is said to be *regular* if all its elements are regular.

Since  $\text{Aut}G$  is a group, for any graph  $G$ , then it is, trivially, a regular monoid. By the above properties and observations, it is clear that  $\text{sEnd}P_n$  and  $\text{swEnd}P_n$  are also regular monoids. Regarding  $\text{End}P_n$  and  $\text{wEnd}P_n$ , we have:

**Proposition 1.9.** Let  $\alpha \in \text{wEnd}P_n$  [respectively,  $\alpha \in \text{End}P_n$ ]. Then  $\alpha$  is regular in  $\text{wEnd}P_n$  [respectively, in  $\text{End}P_n$ ] if and only if there exists a subinterval  $I$  of  $[1, n]$  such that  $I\alpha = \text{Im}(\alpha)$  and  $|I| = |\text{Im}(\alpha)|$ .

*Proof.* First, we suppose that  $\alpha$  is regular in  $\text{wEnd}P_n$  [respectively, in  $\text{End}P_n$ ]. Then, there exists  $\beta \in \text{wEnd}P_n$  [respectively,  $\beta \in \text{End}P_n$ ] such that  $\alpha = \alpha\beta\alpha$ . Let  $I = \text{Im}(\alpha\beta)$ . Then, by Proposition 1.2,  $I$  is a subinterval of  $[1, n]$ . Moreover,  $I\alpha = (\text{Im}(\alpha\beta))\alpha = \text{Im}(\alpha\beta\alpha) = \text{Im}(\alpha)$ . On the other hand, since  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha\beta$  are  $\mathcal{R}$ -related, then  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha\beta$  are  $\mathcal{J}$ -related, whence  $|\text{Im}(\alpha)| = |\text{Im}(\alpha\beta)|$  and so  $|I| = |\text{Im}(\alpha)|$ .

Conversely, admit that there exists a subinterval  $I$  of  $[1, n]$  such that  $I\alpha = \text{Im}(\alpha)$  and  $|I| = |\text{Im}(\alpha)|$ . If  $|I| = 1$  then  $\alpha$  is a constant transformation (this case does not occur if  $\alpha \in \text{End}P_n$ ), whence  $\alpha$  is an idempotent and so  $\alpha$  is a regular element (of  $\text{wEnd}P_n$ ). Thus, suppose that  $|I| \geq 2$ . Then  $I = [i, j]$ , for some  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ , and the restriction of the transformation  $\alpha$  to  $I$  is injective. Hence, we have  $i\alpha < \dots < j\alpha$  or  $i\alpha > \dots > j\alpha$  (by a reasoning similar to the proof of Theorem 1.3). Let  $\beta$  be the transformation of  $[1, n]$  defined as follows.

1. The restriction of  $\beta$  to  $\text{Im}(\alpha)$  is the inverse of the restriction of  $\alpha$  to  $I$ , i.e.  $\beta|_{\text{Im}(\alpha)} = \begin{pmatrix} i\alpha & \dots & j\alpha \\ i & \dots & j \end{pmatrix}$ .
2. Suppose  $i\alpha < \dots < j\alpha$  and let  $\text{Im}(\alpha)^- = [1, i\alpha - 1]$  and  $\text{Im}(\alpha)^+ = [j\alpha + 1, n]$ .
  - (a) If  $i\alpha$  is odd (and  $i\alpha \geq 3$ ) then  $\beta|_{\text{Im}(\alpha)^-} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & i\alpha - 2 & i\alpha - 1 \\ i & i + 1 & \dots & i & i + 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .
  - (b) If  $i\alpha$  is even then  $\beta|_{\text{Im}(\alpha)^-} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & i\alpha - 2 & i\alpha - 1 \\ i + 1 & i & \dots & i & i + 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .
  - (c) If  $n - j\alpha$  is odd then  $\beta|_{\text{Im}(\alpha)^+} = \begin{pmatrix} j\alpha + 1 & j\alpha + 2 & \dots & n - 1 & n \\ j - 1 & j & \dots & j & j - 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .
  - (d) If  $n - j\alpha$  is even (and  $n - j\alpha \geq 2$ ) then  $\beta|_{\text{Im}(\alpha)^+} = \begin{pmatrix} j\alpha + 1 & j\alpha + 2 & \dots & n - 1 & n \\ j - 1 & j & \dots & j - 1 & j \end{pmatrix}$ .
3. Suppose  $i\alpha > \dots > j\alpha$  and let  $\text{Im}(\alpha)^- = [1, j\alpha - 1]$  and  $\text{Im}(\alpha)^+ = [i\alpha + 1, n]$ .
  - (a) If  $j\alpha$  is odd (and  $j\alpha \geq 3$ ) then  $\beta|_{\text{Im}(\alpha)^-} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & j\alpha - 2 & j\alpha - 1 \\ j & j - 1 & \dots & j & j - 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .
  - (b) If  $j\alpha$  is even then  $\beta|_{\text{Im}(\alpha)^-} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & j\alpha - 2 & j\alpha - 1 \\ j - 1 & j & \dots & j & j - 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .
  - (c) If  $n - i\alpha$  is odd then  $\beta|_{\text{Im}(\alpha)^+} = \begin{pmatrix} i\alpha + 1 & i\alpha + 2 & \dots & n - 1 & n \\ i + 1 & i & \dots & i & i + 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .
  - (d) If  $n - i\alpha$  is even (and  $n - i\alpha \geq 2$ ) then  $\beta|_{\text{Im}(\alpha)^+} = \begin{pmatrix} i\alpha + 1 & i\alpha + 2 & \dots & n - 1 & n \\ i + 1 & i & \dots & i + 1 & i \end{pmatrix}$ .

It is clear that  $\beta \in \text{End}P_n$  (and so  $\beta \in \text{wEnd}P_n$ ) and  $\alpha = \alpha\beta\alpha$ . Hence,  $\alpha$  is regular, as required.  $\square$

It is a routine matter to check that  $\text{End}P_n$  is regular for  $n \leq 5$ , and that  $\text{wEnd}P_n$  is regular for  $n \leq 3$ . On the other hand, by Proposition 1.9, it is clear that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & \dots & n \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 4 & \dots & n - 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is not a regular element of  $\text{End}P_n$  for  $n \geq 6$ , and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & \dots & n \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & \dots & n - 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is not a regular element of  $\text{wEnd}P_n$  for  $n \geq 4$ . Thus, we have:

**Corollary 1.10.** *The monoid  $\text{wEnd}P_n$  [respectively,  $\text{End}P_n$ ] is regular if and only if  $n \leq 3$  [respectively,  $n \leq 5$ ].*

## Cardinality

It is clear that  $|\text{Aut}P_1| = |\text{sEnd}P_1| = |\text{swEnd}P_1| = |\text{End}P_1| = |\text{wEnd}P_1| = 1$ ;  $|\text{Aut}P_n| = |\text{sEnd}P_n| = 2$ , for  $n = 2$  and  $n \geq 4$ ;  $|\text{swEnd}P_n| = n + 2$ , for  $n = 2$  and  $n \geq 4$ ;  $|\text{Aut}P_3| = 2$ ,  $|\text{sEnd}P_3| = 6$  and  $|\text{swEnd}P_3| = 9$ .

A formula for  $|\text{End}P_n|$  was given by Arworn [4] in 2009. Regarding  $|\text{wEnd}P_n|$ , we give a formula below.

First, we recursively define a family  $a(r, i)$ , with  $1 \leq r \leq n - 2$  and  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ , of integers:

- $a(1, 1) = a(1, 2) = 1$ ;
- $a(1, p) = 0$ , for  $3 \leq p \leq n - 1$ ;
- For  $2 \leq k \leq n - 2$ ,
  - $a(k, 1) = a(k - 1, 1) + a(k - 1, 2)$ , and
  - $a(k, p) = a(k - 1, p - 1) + a(k - 1, p) + a(k - 1, p + 1)$ , for  $2 \leq p \leq n - 2$ ;
- $a(k, n - 1) = 0$ , for  $2 \leq k \leq n - 3$ ;
- $a(n - 2, n - 1) = 1$ .

Next, let  $b(r) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a(r, i)$ , for  $1 \leq r \leq n - 2$ .

Then, we have:

**Theorem 1.11.**  $|\text{wEnd}P_n| = 3^{n-2}(3n - 2) - \sum_{r=1}^{n-2} 3^{n-r-2}b(r)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ ,  $|\text{wEnd}P_1| = 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in \text{wEnd}P_n$ . We will mainly use the fact that  $(i + 1)\alpha \in \{i\alpha, i\alpha + 1\}$  if  $i\alpha = 1$ ,  $(i + 1)\alpha \in \{i\alpha - 1, i\alpha, i\alpha + 1\}$  if  $i\alpha \in [2, n - 1]$ , and  $(i + 1)\alpha \in \{i\alpha, i\alpha - 1\}$  if  $i\alpha = n$ , for  $i \in [1, n - 1]$ . For  $i \in [1, n - 1]$ , let  $c(i)$  be the number of possibilities for  $\alpha|_{[1, i+1]}$ . Observe that  $c(n - 1) = |\text{wEnd}P_n|$ .

First, we calculate  $c(1)$ . If  $1\alpha \in [2, n - 1]$  then we have three possibilities for  $2\alpha$ . On the other hand, if  $1\alpha \in \{1, n\}$  then we only have two possibilities for  $2\alpha$ . This shows that  $c(1) = 3(n - 2) + 2 \cdot 2 = 3n - 2$ . Now, let  $i \in [1, n - 2]$  and suppose that  $c(i)$  is known. We will show that  $c(i + 1) = 3c(i) - b(i)$ , where  $b(i)$  denotes the number of possibilities for  $(i + 1)\alpha \in \{1, n\}$ . In fact, if  $(i + 1)\alpha \in [2, n - 1]$  then we have three possibilities for  $(i + 2)\alpha$  and, on the other hand, if  $(i + 1)\alpha \in \{1, n\}$  then we have only two possibilities for  $(i + 2)\alpha$ . This shows that  $c(i + 1) = 3(c(i) - b(i)) + 2b(i) = 3c(i) - b(i)$ . In this setting, we deduce that  $c(n - 1) = 3^{n-2}(3n - 2) - \sum_{r=1}^{n-2} 3^{n-r-2}b(r)$ , by performing successive replacements.

It remains to calculate  $b(r)$ , for  $r \in [1, n - 2]$ .

For  $k, p \in [1, n - 1]$ , let  $a(k, p)$  denote the number of possibilities for  $(k + 1)\alpha = 1$  and  $1\alpha = p$ . We will prove that  $a(k, p)$  can be defined as above. Clearly,  $a(1, 1) = a(1, 2) = 1$  and  $a(1, p) = 0$ , for  $p \in [3, n - 1]$ . Now, let  $k \in [2, n - 2]$  and suppose that  $a(k - 1, p)$  is known, for  $p \in [2, n - 2]$ . If  $1\alpha = 1$  then  $2\alpha \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $a(k, 1)$  is the number of all possibilities for  $k\alpha = 1$ , whenever  $1\alpha = 1$  or  $1\alpha = 2$ , i.e.  $a(k, 1) = a(k - 1, 1) + a(k - 1, 2)$ . If  $1\alpha \in [2, n - 2]$  then  $2\alpha \in \{1\alpha - 1, 1\alpha, 1\alpha + 1\}$  and  $a(k, p)$  is the number of possibilities that  $k\alpha = 1$ , whenever  $1\alpha = p - 1$  or  $1\alpha = p$  or  $1\alpha = p + 1$ , i.e.  $a(k, p) = a(k - 1, p - 1) + a(k - 1, p) + a(k - 1, p + 1)$ . Clearly,  $a(k, n - 1) = 0$ , whenever  $k < n - 2$ . Notice that  $1\alpha = n - 1$  and  $(n - 1)\alpha = 1$  implies  $r\alpha = n - 1 - r + 1$ , for  $1 \leq r \leq n - 1$ . Hence, there is only one possibility for  $1\alpha = n - 1$  and  $(n - 1)\alpha = 1$ , i.e.  $a(n - 2, n - 1) = 1$ . Moreover, it is clear that  $k\alpha \neq 1$ , whenever  $1\alpha = n$  and  $k < n$ .

Hence, for  $a(r, i)$  as defined above, we have that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a(r, i)$  is the number of possibilities for  $(r + 1)\alpha = 1$ . Dually,  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a(r, i)$  is also the number of possibilities for  $(r + 1)\alpha = n$ . Therefore,  $b(r) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a(r, i)$ , as required.  $\square$

The table below gives us an idea of the size of  $\text{wEnd}P_n$ .

$n$	$ \text{wEnd}P_n $	$n$	$ \text{wEnd}P_n $
1	1	9	40503
2	4	10	136946
3	17	11	457795
4	68	12	1515926
5	259	13	4979777
6	950	14	16246924
7	3387	15	52694573
8	11814	16	170028792

The formula given by Theorem 1.11 allows us to calculate the cardinal of  $\text{wEnd}P_n$ , even for larger  $n$ . For instance, we have  $|\text{wEnd}P_{100}| = 15116889835751504709361077940682197429012095346416$ .

## 2 The Ranks of $\text{End}P_n$ and $\text{wEnd}P_n$

Let

$$\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n-1 & n \\ n & n-1 & \cdots & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\alpha_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & i-1 & i & i+1 & i+2 & \cdots & n-1 & n \\ i+1 & i & \cdots & 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & n-i-1 & n-i \end{pmatrix},$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n-2$ , and

$$\beta_{j,i} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & i & i+1 & \cdots & i+j & i+j+1 & i+j+2 & \cdots & i+2j & i+2j+1 & i+2j+2 & \cdots & n \\ 1 & 2 & \cdots & i & i+1 & \cdots & i+j & i+j+1 & i+j & \cdots & i+2 & i+1 & i+2 & \cdots & n-2j \end{pmatrix},$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n-3}{3} \rfloor$  and  $i = 1, \dots, n-3j-2$ . Let

$$A' = \{\tau\} \cup \{\alpha_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n-2\} \cup \left\{ \beta_{j,i} \mid j = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n-3}{3} \rfloor, i = 1, \dots, n-3j-2 \right\}.$$

Also, let

$$A'' = \{\tau\} \cup \{\alpha_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n-2\}.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\alpha \in \text{End}P_n$ . Then  $\{\alpha' \in \text{End}P_n \mid \text{Ker}(\alpha') = \text{Ker}(\alpha)\} \subseteq \langle A'', \alpha \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* Let us suppose that

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 & \cdots & X_k \\ i_1 & i_2 & \cdots & i_k \end{pmatrix},$$

with  $\text{Im}(\alpha) = \{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k\}$  and  $X_t = i_t \alpha^{-1}$ , for  $t = 1, \dots, k$ , for some  $1 < k \leq n$ . Since  $\text{Im}(\alpha)$  is a subinterval of  $[1, n]$ , by Proposition 1.2, then we have  $i_t = i_1 + t - 1$ , for  $t = 2, \dots, k$ .

Since  $x\alpha_i = x - i$ , for all  $x \in [i+1, n]$ , we obtain

$$\alpha\alpha_i = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 & \cdots & X_k \\ i_1 - i & i_2 - i & \cdots & i_k - i \end{pmatrix} \in \langle A'', \alpha \rangle,$$

with  $\text{Im}(\alpha\alpha_i) = \{i_1 - i < i_2 - i < \cdots < i_k - i\}$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, i_1 - 1$ . Next, consider the transformation

$$\tau\alpha_i\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n-i-1 & n-i & n-i+1 & \cdots & n \\ 1+i & 2+i & \cdots & n-1 & n & n-1 & \cdots & n-i \end{pmatrix} \in \langle A'' \rangle,$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$ . As  $x(\tau\alpha_i\tau) = x + i$ , for all  $x \in [1, n-i]$ , we also get

$$\alpha\tau\alpha_i\tau = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 & \cdots & X_k \\ i_1 + i & i_2 + i & \cdots & i_k + i \end{pmatrix} \in \langle A'', \alpha \rangle,$$

with  $\text{Im}(\alpha\tau\alpha_i\tau) = \{i_1 + i < i_2 + i < \dots < i_k + i\}$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - i_k$ .

Thus, so far we proved that  $\alpha' \in \langle A'', \alpha \rangle$ , for all

$$\alpha' = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 & \cdots & X_k \\ j_1 & j_2 & \cdots & j_k \end{pmatrix} \in \text{End}P_n$$

such that  $\text{Im}(\alpha) = \{j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k\}$  (and  $X_t = j_t\alpha^{-1}$ , for  $t = 1, \dots, k$ ).

Now, take

$$\alpha' = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 & \cdots & X_k \\ j_1 & j_2 & \cdots & j_k \end{pmatrix} \in \text{End}P_n$$

such that  $\text{Ker}(\alpha') = \text{Ker}(\alpha)$  (with  $X_t = j_t\alpha^{-1}$ , for  $t = 1, \dots, k$ ).

Suppose there exists  $p \in [1, k-1]$  such that  $|j_p - j_{p+1}| > 1$ . Let  $X^- = \bigcup \{X_j \mid j \leq p\}$ . Then, as  $p < k$ , we have  $X^- \subsetneq [1, n]$  and so there exists  $x \in X^-$  such that  $x+1 \in [1, n] \setminus X^-$  or  $x-1 \in [1, n] \setminus X^-$ . Let us admit, without loss of generality, that  $x+1 \in [1, n] \setminus X^-$ . Let  $j \in [1, p]$  be such that  $x \in X_j$ . Since  $\alpha \in \text{End}P_n$  is such that  $X_t = i_t\alpha^{-1}$  and  $i_t = i_1 + t - 1$ , for  $t = 1, \dots, k$ , we can conclude that  $x+1 \in X_{j-1} \cup X_{j+1}$  (with  $X_{j-1} = \emptyset$ , if  $j = 1$ ). As  $x+1 \notin X^-$ , it follows that  $x+1 \in X_{j+1}$  and  $j+1 > p$ . Therefore  $j = p$  and so, by Proposition 1.1, we have  $1 < |j_p - j_{p+1}| = |x\alpha' - (x+1)\alpha'| = 1$ , which is a contradiction.

Thus,  $|j_t - j_{t+1}| = 1$ , for all  $t \in [1, k-1]$ . This provides  $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k$  or  $j_k < j_{k-1} < \dots < j_1$ . If  $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k$  then, as proved above, we have  $\alpha' \in \langle A'', \alpha \rangle$ . On the other hand, suppose that  $j_k < j_{k-1} < \dots < j_1$  and consider

$$\alpha'\tau = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 & \cdots & X_k \\ j_1\tau & j_2\tau & \cdots & j_k\tau \end{pmatrix} \in \text{End}P_n.$$

Then, as  $\tau$  is a permutation of  $[1, n]$ , we obtain  $\text{Ker}(\alpha'\tau) = \text{Ker}(\alpha') = \text{Ker}(\alpha)$ . Furthermore, we also have  $\text{Im}(\alpha'\tau) = \{j_1\tau < j_2\tau < \dots < j_k\tau\}$ . Hence, again as proved above, we have  $\alpha'\tau \in \langle A'', \alpha \rangle$ . Since  $\alpha' = (\alpha'\tau)\tau$ , it follows that  $\alpha' \in \langle A'', \alpha \rangle$ .

Thus, we proved that  $\{\alpha' \in \text{End}P_n \mid \text{Ker}(\alpha') = \text{Ker}(\alpha)\} \subseteq \langle A'', \alpha \rangle$ , as required.  $\square$

Let  $\alpha \in \text{wEnd}P_n$ . We say that  $i \in [2, n-1]$  is an *inversion* of  $\alpha$  if  $(i-1)\alpha = (i+1)\alpha \neq i\alpha$ . Denote by  $\text{Inv}(\alpha)$  the set of all inversions of  $\alpha$  and by  $\text{inv}(\alpha)$  the number of elements of  $\text{Inv}(\alpha)$ . Notice that, if  $\alpha \in \text{End}P_n$  then  $i \in [2, n-1]$  is an *inversion* of  $\alpha$  if and only if  $(i-1)\alpha = (i+1)\alpha$ .

For elements of  $\text{End}P_n$ , we have:

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{End}P_n$ . Then  $\text{Ker}(\alpha) = \text{Ker}(\beta)$  if and only if  $\text{Inv}(\alpha) = \text{Inv}(\beta)$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly,  $\text{Ker}(\alpha) = \text{Ker}(\beta)$  implies  $\text{Inv}(\alpha) = \text{Inv}(\beta)$ . Conversely, admit that  $\text{Inv}(\alpha) = \text{Inv}(\beta)$ . If  $\text{Inv}(\alpha) = \text{Inv}(\beta) = \emptyset$  then  $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, \tau\}$  and so  $\text{Ker}(\alpha) = \text{Ker}(\beta)$ . Otherwise, let  $\text{Inv}(\alpha) = \{i_1 < \dots < i_k\}$ , for some  $k \in [1, n-2]$ , and define  $i_0 = 1$  and  $i_{k+1} = n$ . For any  $x \in [1, n]$ , let  $p(x) \in [0, k]$  and  $r(x) \in [0, i_{p(x)+1} - i_{p(x)} - 1]$  be such that  $x = i_{p(x)} + r(x)$ . Since  $|x\alpha - (x+1)\alpha| = |x\beta - (x+1)\beta| = 1$ , for all  $x \in [1, n-1]$ , and  $\text{Inv}(\alpha) = \text{Inv}(\beta)$ , there exist  $a_\alpha, a_\beta \in \{1, 2\}$  such that

$$x\alpha = 1\alpha + \sum_{j=0}^{p(x)-1} (-1)^{j+a_\alpha} (i_{j+1} - i_j) + (-1)^{p(x)+a_\alpha} r(x)$$

and

$$x\beta = 1\beta + \sum_{j=0}^{p(x)-1} (-1)^{j+a_\beta} (i_{j+1} - i_j) + (-1)^{p(x)+a_\beta} r(x).$$



Therefore,  $(x, y) \in \text{Ker}(\alpha)$  if and only if  $x\alpha = y\alpha$  if and only if

$$1\alpha + \sum_{j=0}^{p(x)-1} (-1)^{j+a_\alpha} (i_{j+1} - i_j) + (-1)^{p(x)+a_\alpha} r(x) = 1\alpha + \sum_{j=0}^{p(y)-1} (-1)^{j+a_\alpha} (i_{j+1} - i_j) + (-1)^{p(y)+a_\alpha} r(y)$$

if and only if (by multiplication with  $(-1)^{|a_\alpha - a_\beta|}$  and addition of  $1\beta - (1\alpha)(-1)^{|a_\alpha - a_\beta|}$ )

$$1\beta + \sum_{j=0}^{p(x)-1} (-1)^{j+a_\beta} (i_{j+1} - i_j) + (-1)^{p(x)+a_\beta} r(x) = 1\beta + \sum_{j=0}^{p(y)-1} (-1)^{j+a_\beta} (i_{j+1} - i_j) + (-1)^{p(y)+a_\beta} r(y)$$

if and only if  $x\beta = y\beta$  if and only if  $(x, y) \in \text{Ker}(\beta)$ . Thus,  $\text{Ker}(\alpha) = \text{Ker}(\beta)$ , as required.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{End}P_n$  be such that  $\text{Inv}(\alpha) = \text{Inv}(\beta)$ . Then  $\alpha \in \langle A'' \rangle$  if and only if  $\beta \in \langle A'' \rangle$ . Moreover,  $\alpha \in \langle A' \rangle$  if and only if  $\beta \in \langle A' \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{End}P_n$  be such that  $\text{Inv}(\alpha) = \text{Inv}(\beta)$ . By Lemma 2.2, we have  $\text{Ker}(\alpha) = \text{Ker}(\beta)$ . Using Lemma 2.1 we deduce  $\beta \in \langle A'', \alpha \rangle$  and  $\alpha \in \langle A'', \beta \rangle$ . Therefore,  $\alpha \in \langle A'' \rangle$  if and only if  $\beta \in \langle A'' \rangle$ . Moreover, if  $\beta \in \langle A' \rangle$  then  $\alpha \in \langle A'', \beta \rangle \subseteq \langle A' \rangle$  and so  $\alpha \in \langle A' \rangle$ . The same reasoning can be applied if  $\alpha \in \langle A' \rangle$ .  $\square$

Now, we can prove:

**Lemma 2.4.**  $\text{End}P_n = \langle A' \rangle$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in \text{End}P_n$ . We will proceed by induction on  $\text{inv}(\alpha)$ .

If  $\text{inv}(\alpha) = 0$  then  $\alpha = \tau \in A'$  or  $\alpha = 1 = \tau^2 \in \langle A' \rangle$ .

If  $\text{inv}(\alpha) = 1$  then  $\text{Inv}(\alpha) = \text{Inv}(\alpha_i)$ , for some  $i \in [1, n-2]$ , and so  $\alpha \in \langle A' \rangle$ , by Lemma 2.3.

Now, let  $r \geq 1$  and suppose, by induction hypothesis, that  $\alpha \in \langle A' \rangle$ , for all  $\alpha \in \text{End}P_n$  with  $\text{inv}(\alpha) \leq r$ .

Let  $\alpha \in \text{End}P_n$  be such that  $\text{inv}(\alpha) = r+1$ . By (the proof of) Lemma 2.1, we can assume, without loss of generality, that  $1 \in \text{Im}(\alpha)$ . Let  $\text{Inv}(\alpha) = \{i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{r+1}\}$  and define  $i_0 = 1$  and  $i_{r+2} = n$ . Let  $b = \max \text{Im}(\alpha)$ . Notice that  $b \geq 2$  and, as  $\text{Im}(\alpha) = [1, b]$  (by Proposition 1.2) and  $\text{inv}(\alpha) = r+1 \geq 2$ , we get  $b \leq n-2$ . Clearly, we have  $1\alpha^{-1}, b\alpha^{-1} \subseteq \{i_\ell \mid \ell \in [0, r+2]\}$ .

We will consider three cases: (1) there exists  $k \in [1, r+1]$  such that  $i_k\alpha = 1$ ; (2) there exists  $k \in [1, r+1]$  such that  $i_k\alpha = b$ ; (3)  $1\alpha = 1$  and  $n\alpha = b$  (or  $1\alpha = b$  and  $n\alpha = 1$ ) and  $x\alpha \notin \{1, b\}$ , for all  $x \in [2, n-1]$ .

CASE 1. Suppose that there exists  $k \in [1, r+1]$  such that  $i_k\alpha = 1$ . Let  $a = \max\{x\alpha \mid i_k \leq x \leq n\}$  and define a transformation  $\beta$  of  $[1, n]$  by

$$x\beta = \begin{cases} x\alpha + a - 1, & x < i_k \\ a + 1 - x\alpha, & x \geq i_k. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\beta \in \text{End}P_n$ . In fact, since  $\alpha \in \text{End}P_n$ , we have  $|x\beta - (x+1)\beta| = 1$ , for all  $x \in [1, n-1] \setminus \{i_k - 1\}$ . Moreover, from  $(i_k - 1)\beta = (i_k - 1)\alpha + a - 1 = i_k\alpha \pm 1 + a - 1 = 1 \pm 1 + a - 1 = a \pm 1$  and  $i_k\beta = a + 1 - i_k\alpha = a + 1 - 1 = a$  (since  $i_k\alpha = 1$ ), it follows that  $|(i_k - 1)\beta - i_k\beta| = 1$ .

Next, we show that  $\text{Inv}(\beta) = \text{Inv}(\alpha) \setminus \{i_k\}$ . Clearly, if  $x \in [1, i_k - 2] \cup [i_k + 1, n]$  then  $x \in \text{Inv}(\beta)$  if and only if  $x \in \text{Inv}(\alpha)$ . Also

$$\begin{aligned} i_k - 1 \in \text{Inv}(\beta) &\Leftrightarrow (i_k - 2)\beta = (i_k)\beta \\ &\Leftrightarrow (i_k - 2)\alpha + a - 1 = a + 1 - i_k\alpha \\ &\Leftrightarrow (i_k - 2)\alpha = 1 = i_k\alpha \\ &\Leftrightarrow i_k - 1 \in \text{Inv}(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since  $i_k\alpha = 1$ , we have  $(i_k - 1)\alpha = (i_k + 1)\alpha = 2$ . Then

$$(i_k - 1)\beta = (i_k - 1)\alpha + a - 1 = 2 + a - 1 = a + 1,$$

$$i_k\beta = a + 1 - i_k\alpha = a + 1 - 1 = a$$

and

$$(i_k + 1)\beta = a + 1 - (i_k + 1)\alpha = a + 1 - 2 = a - 1,$$

whence  $i_k \notin \text{Inv}(\beta)$ . Therefore,  $\text{inv}(\beta) = r$  and so, by induction, we have  $\beta \in \langle A' \rangle$ .

Finally, within this case, we prove that  $\text{Inv}(\alpha) = \text{Inv}(\beta\alpha_{a-1})$ . Let  $i \in \text{Inv}(\alpha) \cap [1, i_k - 2]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (i-1)\beta\alpha_{a-1} = (i+1)\beta\alpha_{a-1} &\Leftrightarrow ((i-1)\alpha + a - 1)\alpha_{a-1} = ((i+1)\alpha + a - 1)\alpha_{a-1} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (i-1)\alpha = (i+1)\alpha \end{aligned}$$

(by the definition of  $\alpha_{a-1}$  restricted to  $[a, n]$ ). Let  $i \in \text{Inv}(\alpha) \cap [i_k + 1, n]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (i-1)\beta\alpha_{a-1} = (i+1)\beta\alpha_{a-1} &\Leftrightarrow (a+1 - (i-1)\alpha)\alpha_{a-1} = (a+1 - (i+1)\alpha)\alpha_{a-1} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (i-1)\alpha = (i+1)\alpha \end{aligned}$$

(by the definition of  $\alpha_{a-1}$  restricted to  $[1, a]$ ). Moreover, we have

$$(i_k - 2)\beta\alpha_{a-1} = (i_k)\beta\alpha_{a-1} \Leftrightarrow ((i_k - 2)\alpha + a - 1)\alpha_{a-1} = (a + 1 - (i_k)\alpha)\alpha_{a-1}.$$

Thereby, if  $i_k - 1 \in \text{Inv}(\alpha)$  then  $(i_k - 2)\alpha = i_k\alpha = 1$ , whence  $(i_k - 2)\alpha + a - 1 = a = a + 1 - i_k\alpha$  and so  $(i_k - 2)\beta\alpha_{a-1} = i_k\beta\alpha_{a-1}$ , i.e.  $i_k - 1 \in \text{Inv}(\beta\alpha_{a-1})$ . Conversely, if  $i_k - 1 \notin \text{Inv}(\alpha)$  then  $(i_k - 2)\alpha \neq i_k\alpha$  and, as  $(i_k - 1)\alpha = 2$ , we have  $(i_k - 2)\alpha = 3$ , from which follows  $(i_k - 2)\beta\alpha_{a-1} = (a + 2)\alpha_{a-1} = 3 \neq 1 = i_k\beta\alpha_{a-1}$  and so  $i_k - 1 \notin \text{Inv}(\beta\alpha_{a-1})$ . It remains to show that  $i_k \in \text{Inv}(\beta\alpha_{a-1})$ . In fact, since  $a \in \text{Inv}(\alpha_{a-1})$  and  $(i_k - 1)\alpha = 2 = (i_k + 1)\alpha$ , we obtain

$$(i_k - 1)\beta\alpha_{a-1} = ((i_k - 1)\alpha + a - 1)\alpha_{a-1} = (a + 1)\alpha_{a-1} = (a - 1)\alpha_{a-1} = (a + 1 - (i_k + 1)\alpha)\alpha_{a-1} = (i_k + 1)\beta\alpha_{a-1}.$$

Thus, we have  $\text{Inv}(\alpha) = \text{Inv}(\beta\alpha_{a-1})$ . Since  $\beta \in \langle A' \rangle$ , then  $\beta\alpha_{a-1} \in \langle A' \rangle$  and so, by Lemma 2.3, we have  $\alpha \in \langle A' \rangle$ .

CASE 2. Suppose now that there exists  $k \in [1, r + 1]$  such that  $i_k\alpha = b$ . Recall that  $\text{Im}(\alpha) = [1, b]$ . Consider the transformation  $\alpha\tau\alpha_{n-b} \in \text{End}P_n$ . Since  $\tau$  is a permutation of  $[1, n]$  and  $\alpha_{n-b}$  is injective in  $[n - b + 1, n] = \text{Im}(\alpha)\tau = \text{Im}(\alpha\tau)$ , then  $\text{Ker}(\alpha\tau\alpha_{n-b}) = \text{Ker}(\alpha)$ , i.e.  $\text{Inv}(\alpha\tau\alpha_{n-b}) = \text{Inv}(\alpha)$ , by Lemma 2.2. Hence,  $\alpha \in \langle A' \rangle$  if and only if  $\alpha\tau\alpha_{n-b} \in \langle A' \rangle$ , by Lemma 2.3. Observe also that  $i_k \in b\alpha^{-1} = 1(\alpha\tau\alpha_{n-b})^{-1}$  and so, in particular, we also have  $i_k(\alpha\tau\alpha_{n-b}) = 1$ , i.e.  $\alpha\tau\alpha_{n-b}$  satisfies the condition of CASE 1. Therefore,  $\alpha\tau\alpha_{n-b} \in \langle A' \rangle$  and so we have  $\alpha \in \langle A' \rangle$ .

CASE 3. Next, we suppose that  $\{1, b\}\alpha^{-1} = \{1, n\}$ . Without loss of generality, let  $1\alpha = 1$  and  $n\alpha = b$  (if  $1\alpha = b$  and  $n\alpha = 1$  then  $1\tau\alpha = 1$ ,  $n\tau\alpha = b$  and, by Lemma 2.3,  $\alpha \in \langle A' \rangle$  if and only if  $\tau\alpha \in \langle A' \rangle$ ).

First, let us admit that  $r = 1$ , i.e.  $\text{Inv}(\alpha) = \{i_1, i_2\}$ . Let  $j = i_2 - i_1$  and  $i = 2i_1 - i_2 - 1$ . As  $1\alpha = 1$  and  $n\alpha = b$ , then  $i_1\alpha = i_1$  and  $i_2\alpha = i_1 - (i_2 - i_1) = 2i_1 - i_2 = i + 1$ . In addition, from  $b - i_2\alpha = n - i_2$ , we obtain

$$b = n + i_2\alpha - i_2 = n + (2i_1 - i_2) - i_2 = n + 2i_1 - 2i_2 = n - 2j.$$

As  $2 \leq i_2\alpha < i_1\alpha \leq b - 1$ , we have  $b = n - 2j \geq i_1\alpha + 1 = i_1 + 1$ , whence  $i_1 \leq n - 2j - 1$ , and  $i_1\alpha - i_2\alpha \leq b - 3$ . Thus,

$$i = 2i_1 - i_2 - 1 \leq n - 2j - 1 + (i_1 - i_2) - 1 = n - 3j - 2$$

and

$$j = i_2 - i_1 = i_1\alpha - i_2\alpha \leq b - 3 = n - 2j - 3 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 3j \leq n - 3 \quad \Rightarrow \quad j \leq \lfloor \frac{n-3}{3} \rfloor.$$

Therefore, we may consider  $\beta_{j,i} \in A'$  and, clearly, we have  $\alpha = \beta_{j,i}$ . Hence  $\alpha \in \langle A' \rangle$ .

Now, suppose that  $r > 1$ . Define  $c = \max\{i_1\alpha, \dots, i_r\alpha\}$  and let  $k \in [1, r]$  be such that  $i_k\alpha = c$ . Since  $i_{r+1}\alpha < b = n\alpha$ , we have  $i_{r+1}\alpha < i_r\alpha \leq c$ . Also, define  $d = \min\{i_{k+1}\alpha, \dots, i_{r+1}\alpha\}$  and let  $\ell \in [k+1, r+1]$  be such that  $i_\ell\alpha = d$ . Furthermore, we define a transformation  $\gamma$  of  $[1, n]$  by

$$x\gamma = \begin{cases} x\alpha, & x < i_k \\ 2c - x\alpha, & i_k \leq x \leq i_\ell \\ x\alpha + 2c - 2d, & x > i_\ell. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\gamma \in \text{End}P_n$ . In fact, since  $\alpha \in \text{End}P_n$ , we have  $|x\gamma - (x+1)\gamma| = 1$ , for all  $x \in [1, n-1] \setminus \{i_k-1, i_\ell\}$ . Moreover, from  $(i_k-1)\gamma = (i_k-1)\alpha = i_k\alpha - 1 = c-1$  (notice that, if  $(i_k-1)\alpha = i_k\alpha + 1 = (i_k+1)\alpha$  then, as  $1\alpha = 1$ , it would exist  $t \in [1, k-1]$  such that  $i_t\alpha > c$ , which contradicts the definition of  $i_k$ ) and  $i_k\gamma = 2c - i_k\alpha = c$ , it follows that  $|(i_k-1)\gamma - i_k\gamma| = 1$ . On the other hand, since we must have  $(i_\ell+1)\alpha = i_\ell\alpha + 1$ , from  $i_\ell\gamma = 2c - i_\ell\alpha = 2c - d$  and  $(i_\ell+1)\gamma = (i_\ell+1)\alpha + 2c - 2d = i_\ell\alpha + 1 + 2c - 2d = 2c - d + 1$ , it follows that  $|i_\ell\gamma - (i_\ell+1)\gamma| = 1$ .

Next, we show that  $\text{Inv}(\gamma) = \text{Inv}(\alpha) \setminus \{i_k, i_\ell\}$ .

Clearly, if  $x \in [1, i_k-2] \cup [i_k+1, i_\ell-1] \cup [i_\ell+2, n]$  then  $x \in \text{Inv}(\gamma)$  if and only if  $x \in \text{Inv}(\alpha)$ . Also

$$\begin{aligned} i_k - 1 \in \text{Inv}(\gamma) &\Leftrightarrow (i_k - 2)\gamma = i_k\gamma \\ &\Leftrightarrow (i_k - 2)\alpha = 2c - i_k\alpha \\ &\Leftrightarrow (i_k - 2)\alpha = c = i_k\alpha \\ &\Leftrightarrow i_k - 1 \in \text{Inv}(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since  $i_k\alpha = c$  and  $(i_k-1)\alpha = (i_k+1)\alpha = c-1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (i_k - 1)\gamma &= (i_k - 1)\alpha = c - 1, \\ i_k\gamma &= 2c - i_k\alpha = c \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(i_k + 1)\gamma = 2c - (i_k + 1)\alpha = 2c - (c - 1) = c + 1,$$

whence  $i_k \notin \text{Inv}(\gamma)$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} i_\ell + 1 \in \text{Inv}(\gamma) &\Leftrightarrow i_\ell\gamma = (i_\ell + 2)\gamma \\ &\Leftrightarrow 2c - i_\ell\alpha = (i_\ell + 2)\alpha + 2c - 2d \\ &\Leftrightarrow 2c - d = (i_\ell + 2)\alpha + 2c - 2d \\ &\Leftrightarrow (i_\ell + 2)\alpha = d = i_\ell\alpha \\ &\Leftrightarrow i_\ell + 1 \in \text{Inv}(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since  $i_\ell\alpha = d$  and  $(i_\ell-1)\alpha = (i_\ell+1)\alpha = d+1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (i_\ell - 1)\gamma &= 2c - (i_\ell - 1)\alpha = 2c - (d + 1) = 2c - d - 1, \\ i_\ell\gamma &= 2c - i_\ell\alpha = 2c - d \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(i_\ell + 1)\gamma = (i_\ell + 1)\alpha + 2c - 2d = d + 1 + 2c - 2d = 2c - d + 1,$$

whence  $i_\ell \notin \text{Inv}(\gamma)$ .

Therefore,  $\text{inv}(\gamma) = r - 1$  and so, by induction, we have  $\gamma \in \langle A' \rangle$ .

Finally, we prove that  $\text{Inv}(\alpha) = \text{Inv}(\gamma\beta_{c-d, d-1})$ .

Let  $i \in \text{Inv}(\alpha) \cap [1, i_k - 2]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (i-1)\gamma\beta_{c-d, d-1} &= (i+1)\gamma\beta_{c-d, d-1} \Leftrightarrow (i-1)\alpha\beta_{c-d, d-1} = (i+1)\alpha\beta_{c-d, d-1} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (i-1)\alpha = (i+1)\alpha \end{aligned}$$

(by the definition of  $\beta_{c-d,d-1}$  restricted to  $[1, c]$ ).

Let  $i \in \text{Inv}(\alpha) \cap [i_k + 1, i_\ell - 1]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (i-1)\gamma\beta_{c-d,d-1} = (i+1)\gamma\beta_{c-d,d-1} &\Leftrightarrow (2c - (i-1)\alpha)\beta_{c-d,d-1} = (2c - (i+1)\alpha)\beta_{c-d,d-1} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (c + (c - (i-1)\alpha))\beta_{c-d,d-1} = (c + (c - (i+1)\alpha))\beta_{c-d,d-1} \\ &\Leftrightarrow (i-1)\alpha = (i+1)\alpha \end{aligned}$$

(by the definition of  $\beta_{c-d,d-1}$  restricted to  $[c, 2c-d]$ ).

Let  $i \in \text{Inv}(\alpha) \cap [i_\ell + 2, n]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (i-1)\gamma\beta_{c-d,d-1} &= (i+1)\gamma\beta_{c-d,d-1} \\ \Leftrightarrow ((i-1)\alpha + 2c - 2d)\beta_{c-d,d-1} &= ((i+1)\alpha + 2c - 2d)\beta_{c-d,d-1} \\ \Leftrightarrow (2c - d + ((i-1)\alpha - d))\beta_{c-d,d-1} &= (2c - d + ((i+1)\alpha - d))\beta_{c-d,d-1} \\ \Leftrightarrow (i-1)\alpha &= (i+1)\alpha \end{aligned}$$

(by the definition of  $\beta_{c-d,d-1}$  restricted to  $[2c-d, n]$ ).

Moreover, if  $i_k - 1 \in \text{Inv}(\alpha)$  then  $(i_k - 2)\alpha = i_k\alpha = c$ . Thus,  $(i_k - 2)\gamma\beta_{c-d,d-1} = (i_k - 2)\alpha\beta_{c-d,d-1} = c\beta_{c-d,d-1} = (2c - i_k\alpha)\beta_{c-d,d-1} = i_k\gamma\beta_{c-d,d-1}$  and so  $i_k - 1 \in \text{Inv}(\gamma\beta_{c-d,d-1})$ . Conversely, if  $i_k - 1 \notin \text{Inv}(\alpha)$  then  $(i_k - 2)\alpha \neq i_k\alpha = c$  and, as  $(i_k - 1)\alpha = i_k\alpha - 1 = c - 1$ , we have  $(i_k - 2)\alpha = c - 2$ . Hence  $(i_k - 2)\gamma\beta_{c-d,d-1} = (i_k - 2)\alpha\beta_{c-d,d-1} = (c - 2)\beta_{c-d,d-1} = c - 2 \neq c = c\beta_{c-d,d-1} = (2c - c)\beta_{c-d,d-1} = (2c - i_k\alpha)\beta_{c-d,d-1} = i_k\gamma\beta_{c-d,d-1}$  and so  $i_k - 1 \notin \text{Inv}(\gamma\beta_{c-d,d-1})$ .

Analogously, if  $i_\ell + 1 \in \text{Inv}(\alpha)$  then  $i_\ell\alpha = (i_\ell + 2)\alpha = d$ , whence  $i_\ell\gamma\beta_{c-d,d-1} = (2c - i_\ell\alpha)\beta_{c-d,d-1} = (2c - d)\beta_{c-d,d-1} = d = ((i_\ell + 2)\alpha + 2c - 2d)\beta_{c-d,d-1} = (i_\ell + 2)\gamma\beta_{c-d,d-1}$  and so  $i_\ell + 1 \in \text{Inv}(\gamma\beta_{c-d,d-1})$ . Conversely, if  $i_\ell + 1 \notin \text{Inv}(\alpha)$  then  $d = i_\ell\alpha \neq (i_\ell + 2)\alpha$ . As  $(i_\ell + 1)\alpha = i_\ell\alpha + 1 = d + 1$ , then  $(i_\ell + 2)\alpha = d + 2$ , whence  $i_\ell\gamma\beta_{c-d,d-1} = d \neq d + 2 = (2c - d + 2)\beta_{c-d,d-1} = ((i_\ell + 2)\alpha + 2c - 2d)\beta_{c-d,d-1} = (i_\ell + 2)\gamma\beta_{c-d,d-1}$  and so  $i_\ell + 1 \notin \text{Inv}(\gamma\beta_{c-d,d-1})$ .

It remains to show that  $i_k, i_\ell \in \text{Inv}(\gamma\beta_{c-d,d-1})$ . As  $c, 2c - d \in \text{Inv}(\beta_{c-d,d-1})$ ,  $(i_k - 1)\alpha = c - 1 = (i_k + 1)\alpha$  and  $(i_\ell - 1)\alpha = d + 1 = (i_\ell + 1)\alpha$ , we have  $(i_k - 1)\gamma\beta_{c-d,d-1} = (i_k - 1)\alpha\beta_{c-d,d-1} = (c - 1)\beta_{c-d,d-1} = c - 1 = (c + 1)\beta_{c-d,d-1} = (2c - (i_k + 1)\alpha)\beta_{c-d,d-1} = (i_k + 1)\gamma\beta_{c-d,d-1}$ , as well as  $(i_\ell - 1)\gamma\beta_{c-d,d-1} = (2c - (i_\ell - 1)\alpha)\beta_{c-d,d-1} = (2c - d - 1)\beta_{c-d,d-1} = d + 1 = (2c - d + 1)\beta_{c-d,d-1} = ((i_\ell + 1)\alpha + 2c - 2d)\beta_{c-d,d-1} = (i_\ell + 1)\gamma\beta_{c-d,d-1}$ .

Thus, we showed that  $\text{Inv}(\alpha) = \text{Inv}(\gamma\beta_{c-d,d-1})$ . Since  $\gamma \in \langle A' \rangle$ , then  $\gamma\beta_{c-d,d-1} \in \langle A' \rangle$  and so, by Lemma 2.3, we have  $\alpha \in \langle A' \rangle$ , as required.  $\square$

Now, let us consider

$$A = \{\tau\} \cup \left\{ \alpha_i \mid i = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor \right\} \cup \left\{ \beta_{j,i} \mid j = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n-3}{3} \rfloor, i = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n-3j-1}{2} \rfloor \right\}.$$

The next two lemmas together with the previous one show that  $A$  is a generating set of  $\text{End}P_n$ .

**Lemma 2.5.**  $\{\alpha_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n-2\} \subseteq \langle A \rangle$ .

*Proof.* If  $i = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$  then  $\alpha_i \in A$  and so  $\alpha_i \in \langle A \rangle$ . On the other hand, we have

$$\alpha_{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 1} = \tau\alpha_{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor - 1}, \dots, \alpha_{n-3} = \tau\alpha_2, \alpha_{n-2} = \tau\alpha_1,$$

if  $n$  is odd, and

$$\alpha_{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 1} = \tau\alpha_{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor}, \dots, \alpha_{n-3} = \tau\alpha_2, \alpha_{n-2} = \tau\alpha_1,$$

if  $n$  is even. Hence, we also have  $\alpha_i \in \langle A \rangle$ , for  $i = \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + 1, \dots, n-2$ , as required.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.**  $\{\beta_{j,i} \mid j = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n-3}{3} \rfloor, i = 1, \dots, n-3j-2\} \subseteq \langle A \rangle$ .

*Proof.* Let  $j = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n-3}{3} \rfloor$ . If  $i = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n-3j-1}{2} \rfloor$  then  $\beta_{j,i} \in A$  and so  $\beta_{j,i} \in \langle A \rangle$ . On the other hand, it is a routine matter to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker}(\beta_{j, \lfloor \frac{n-3j-1}{2} \rfloor + 1}) &= \text{Ker}(\tau\beta_{j, \lfloor \frac{n-3j-1}{2} \rfloor}), \quad \text{Ker}(\beta_{j, \lfloor \frac{n-3j-1}{2} \rfloor + 2}) = \text{Ker}(\tau\beta_{j, \lfloor \frac{n-3j-1}{2} \rfloor - 1}), \dots, \\ \text{Ker}(\beta_{j, n-3j-3}) &= \text{Ker}(\tau\beta_{j, 2}), \quad \text{Ker}(\beta_{j, n-3j-2}) = \text{Ker}(\tau\beta_{j, 1}), \end{aligned}$$

if  $n - 3j - 2$  is even, and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker}(\beta_{j, \lfloor \frac{n-3j-1}{2} \rfloor + 1}) &= \text{Ker}(\tau\beta_{j, \lfloor \frac{n-3j-1}{2} \rfloor - 1}), \quad \text{Ker}(\beta_{j, \lfloor \frac{n-3j-1}{2} \rfloor + 2}) = \text{Ker}(\tau\beta_{j, \lfloor \frac{n-3j-1}{2} \rfloor - 2}), \dots, \\ \text{Ker}(\beta_{j, n-3j-3}) &= \text{Ker}(\tau\beta_{j, 2}), \quad \text{Ker}(\beta_{j, n-3j-2}) = \text{Ker}(\tau\beta_{j, 1}), \end{aligned}$$

if  $n - 3j - 2$  is odd. Thus, in view of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.5, for  $i = \lfloor \frac{n-3j-1}{2} \rfloor + 1, \dots, n - 3j - 2$ , we conclude that also  $\beta_{j,i} \in \langle A \rangle$ , as required.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.7.** *The set  $A$  generates  $\text{End}P_n$ . Moreover,  $|A| = 1 + \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{3} \rfloor} \lfloor \frac{n-3j-1}{2} \rfloor$ .*

*Proof.* The assertion is an immediate consequence of Lemmas 2.4, 2.5 and 2.6.  $\square$

Let

$$\gamma_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & i & i+1 & i+2 & \cdots & n \\ 1 & \cdots & i & i & i+1 & \cdots & n-1 \end{pmatrix},$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ . Let

$$B = A \cup \left\{ \gamma_i \mid i = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \right\}.$$

Let  $\alpha \in \text{wEnd}P_n$ . We say that  $i \in [1, n-1]$  is a *repetition* of  $\alpha$  if  $(i)\alpha = (i+1)\alpha$ . Denote by  $\text{rep}(\alpha)$  the number of repetitions of  $\alpha$ . This notion will be used in our next result. Observe that, clearly,  $\alpha \in \text{End}P_n$  if and only if  $\text{rep}(\alpha) = 0$ .

**Proposition 2.8.** *The set  $B$  generates  $\text{wEnd}P_n$ .*

*Proof.* First, for  $i = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1, \dots, n-1$ , consider also  $\gamma_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & i & i+1 & i+2 & \cdots & n \\ 1 & \cdots & i & i & i+1 & \cdots & n-1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then, it is easy to check that  $\gamma_{n-i} = \tau\gamma_i\tau\gamma_1$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ . Hence  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}$  belong to the monoid generated by  $B$ .

Now, in order to show that any  $\alpha \in \text{wEnd}P_n$  belongs to the monoid generated by  $B$ , we proceed by induction on  $\text{rep}(\alpha)$ .

Let  $\alpha \in \text{wEnd}P_n$  be such that  $\text{rep}(\alpha) = 0$ . Then, as observed above,  $\alpha \in \text{End}P_n$  and so, by Proposition 2.7, we may conclude that  $\alpha$  belongs to the monoid generated by  $B$ .

Let  $k \geq 0$  and, by induction hypothesis, admit that  $\alpha$  belongs to the monoid generated by  $B$ , for all  $\alpha \in \text{wEnd}P_n$  such that  $\text{rep}(\alpha) = k$  (notice that, for such  $\alpha$  to exist, we must have  $k \leq n-1$ ).

Let  $\alpha \in \text{wEnd}P_n$  be such that  $\text{rep}(\alpha) = k+1$  (by supposing that  $k \leq n-2$ ). Let  $i \in [1, n-1]$  be a repetition of  $\alpha$  and take  $\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & i & i+1 & \cdots & n-1 & n \\ 1\alpha & \cdots & i\alpha & (i+2)\alpha & \cdots & n\alpha & b \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $b = n\alpha - 1$ , if  $n\alpha \geq 2$ , or  $b = n\alpha + 1$ , otherwise. It is clear that  $\beta \in \text{wEnd}P_n$  and  $\text{rep}(\beta) = \text{rep}(\alpha) - 1 = k$ , whence  $\beta$  belongs to the monoid generated by  $B$ , by induction hypothesis. On the other hand, it is a routine matter to check that  $\alpha = \gamma_i\beta$  and so we may conclude that  $\alpha$  belongs to the monoid generated by  $B$ , as required.  $\square$

Observe that  $|B| = n + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{3} \rfloor} \lfloor \frac{n-3j-1}{2} \rfloor$ .

In order to compute the ranks of  $\text{End}P_n$  and  $\text{wEnd}P_n$ , we start by proving a series of lemmas involving the notion of inversion.

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{wEnd}P_n$  be such that  $\alpha\beta \in \text{End}P_n$ . Then, we have:*

1.  $\alpha \in \text{End}P_n$ ;
2.  $\text{Inv}(\alpha) \subseteq \text{Inv}(\alpha\beta)$ ;
3.  $\{i \in [2, n-1] \mid i\alpha \in \text{Inv}(\beta)\} \subseteq \text{Inv}(\alpha\beta)$ .

*Proof.* 1. If  $\alpha \in \text{wEnd}P_n \setminus \text{End}P_n$  then  $i\alpha = (i+1)\alpha$ , for some  $i \in [1, n-1]$ , and so  $i\alpha\beta = (i+1)\alpha\beta$ , whence  $\alpha\beta \notin \text{End}P_n$ , which is a contradiction. Thus,  $\alpha \in \text{End}P_n$ .

2. Let  $i \in \text{Inv}(\alpha)$ . Then  $i \in [2, n-1]$  and  $(i-1)\alpha = (i+1)\alpha$ . Hence  $(i-1)\alpha\beta = (i+1)\alpha\beta$  and so  $i \in \text{Inv}(\alpha\beta)$ .

3. Let  $i \in [2, n-1]$  be such that  $i\alpha \in \text{Inv}(\beta)$ . Then  $2 \leq i\alpha \leq n-1$  and  $(i\alpha-1)\beta = (i\alpha+1)\beta$ .

If  $(i-1)\alpha = (i+1)\alpha$  then  $(i-1)\alpha\beta = (i+1)\alpha\beta$  and so  $i \in \text{Inv}(\alpha\beta)$ .

Let us suppose that  $(i-1)\alpha \neq (i+1)\alpha$ . Then, either  $(i-1)\alpha = i\alpha-1$  and  $(i+1)\alpha = i\alpha+1$  or  $(i-1)\alpha = i\alpha+1$  and  $(i+1)\alpha = i\alpha-1$ , from which follows that  $(i-1)\alpha\beta = (i\alpha \mp 1)\beta = (i\alpha \pm 1)\beta = (i+1)\alpha\beta$  and so  $i \in \text{Inv}(\alpha\beta)$ , as required.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.10.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{wEnd}P_n$ . Let  $i \in \text{Inv}(\alpha\beta)$  be such that  $i \notin \text{Inv}(\alpha)$ . Then  $i\alpha \in \text{Inv}(\beta)$ .*

*Proof.* As  $i \in \text{Inv}(\alpha\beta)$ , we have  $2 \leq i \leq n-1$  and  $(i-1)\alpha\beta = (i+1)\alpha\beta \neq i\alpha\beta$ . In addition, as  $2 \leq i \leq n-1$  and  $i \notin \text{Inv}(\alpha)$ , we have  $(i-1)\alpha \neq (i+1)\alpha$  or  $(i-1)\alpha = (i+1)\alpha = i\alpha$ . If  $(i-1)\alpha = (i+1)\alpha = i\alpha$  then  $(i-1)\alpha\beta = (i+1)\alpha\beta = i\alpha\beta$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $(i-1)\alpha \neq (i+1)\alpha$ . Moreover, if  $(i-1)\alpha = i\alpha$  or  $(i+1)\alpha = i\alpha$  then  $(i-1)\alpha\beta = i\alpha\beta$  or  $(i+1)\alpha\beta = i\alpha\beta$ , which also is a contradiction. Thus, either  $(i-1)\alpha = i\alpha-1$  and  $(i+1)\alpha = i\alpha+1$  or  $(i-1)\alpha = i\alpha+1$  and  $(i+1)\alpha = i\alpha-1$  (and, in both cases, we must have  $2 \leq i\alpha \leq n-1$ ), whence  $(i\alpha \mp 1)\beta = (i-1)\alpha\beta = (i+1)\alpha\beta = (i\alpha \pm 1)\beta$  and so  $(i\alpha-1)\beta = (i\alpha+1)\beta$ . Since  $(i+1)\alpha\beta \neq i\alpha\beta$ , we have  $(i\alpha-1)\beta = (i\alpha+1)\beta \neq i\alpha\beta$ , i.e.  $i\alpha \in \text{Inv}(\beta)$ , as required.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.11.** *Let  $\alpha \in \text{wEnd}P_n$  and  $i \in [2, n-1]$ . Then  $i \in \text{Inv}(\alpha)$  if and only if  $n-i+1 \in \text{Inv}(\tau\alpha)$ .*

*Proof.* First, notice that  $2 \leq i \leq n-1$  if and only if  $2 \leq n-i+1 \leq n-1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
i \in \text{Inv}(\alpha) &\Leftrightarrow (i-1)\alpha = (i+1)\alpha \neq i\alpha \\
&\Leftrightarrow (n-i+2)\tau\alpha = (n-i)\tau\alpha \neq (n-i+1)\tau\alpha \\
&\Leftrightarrow ((n-i+1)+1)\tau\alpha = ((n-i+1)-1)\tau\alpha \neq (n-i+1)\tau\alpha \\
&\Leftrightarrow n-i+1 \in \text{Inv}(\tau\alpha),
\end{aligned}$$

as required.  $\square$

The next lemma is clear.

**Lemma 2.12.** *Let  $C$  be a generating set of  $\text{End}P_n$  or of  $\text{wEnd}P_n$ . Then  $\tau \in C$ .*

Recall that  $\text{Inv}(\tau) = \emptyset$ . Moreover, for  $\alpha \in \text{End}P_n$ , we have  $\text{Inv}(\alpha) = \emptyset$  if and only if  $\alpha = 1$  or  $\alpha = \tau$ .

**Lemma 2.13.** *For each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ , there holds the statement: If  $C$  is a generating set of  $\text{End}P_n$  or of  $\text{wEnd}P_n$  then  $\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor \leq |\{\alpha \in C \mid \text{inv}(\alpha) = 1\}|$ .*

*Proof.* If  $n = 2$  then  $|\{\alpha \in C \mid \text{inv}(\alpha) = 1\}| = 0$  and so  $0 = \lfloor \frac{2-1}{2} \rfloor \leq |\{\alpha \in C \mid \text{inv}(\alpha) = 1\}|$ . Thereof, let  $n \geq 3$ . In order to obtain a contradiction, let us assume that  $|\{\alpha \in C \mid \text{inv}(\alpha) = 1\}| < \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$  for an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . As  $n \geq 3$  we have that  $[2, \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor] \neq \emptyset$ . Then, there exists  $i \in [2, \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor]$  such that  $\{i, n-i+1\} \cap \text{Inv}(\alpha) = \emptyset$ , for all  $\alpha \in C$ . As  $1 \leq i-1 \leq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$ , we may consider the transformation  $\alpha_{i-1} \in A$ .

Let  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in C \setminus \{1\}$  be such that  $\alpha_{i-1} = \xi_1 \cdots \xi_k$  and  $\{\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}\} \neq \{\tau\}$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, k-1$ . Notice that  $\text{Inv}(\alpha_{i-1}) = \{i\}$ , whence  $\alpha_{i-1} \notin C$  and so  $k \geq 2$ . Moreover, as  $\alpha_{i-1} \in \text{End}P_n$ , by Lemma 2.9, we have

$\xi_1 \in \text{End}P_n$  and  $\text{Inv}(\xi_1) \subseteq \text{Inv}(\xi_1 \cdots \xi_k) = \text{Inv}(\alpha_{i-1}) = \{i\}$ . Then  $\text{Inv}(\xi_1) = \emptyset$ , since  $\xi_1 \in C$ , and so  $\xi_1 = \tau$  (since  $\xi_1 \in \text{End}P_n$  and  $\xi_1 \neq 1$ ).

Applying Lemma 2.9 again, we obtain  $\text{Inv}(\tau\xi_2) = \text{Inv}(\xi_1\xi_2) \subseteq \text{Inv}(\xi_1 \cdots \xi_k) = \text{Inv}(\alpha_{i-1}) = \{i\}$  and  $\tau\xi_2 \in \text{End}P_n$ . Hence,  $\text{Inv}(\tau\xi_2) = \emptyset$  or  $\text{Inv}(\tau\xi_2) = \{i\}$ .

Suppose that  $\text{Inv}(\tau\xi_2) = \emptyset$ . Then  $\tau\xi_2 = 1$  or  $\tau\xi_2 = \tau$ , and so  $\xi_2 = \tau$  or  $\xi_2 = 1$ , which is not possible since  $\{\xi_1, \xi_2\} \neq \{\tau\}$  and  $\xi_2 \neq 1$ . Thus, we must have  $\text{Inv}(\tau\xi_2) = \{i\}$  and so, by Lemma 2.11, it follows that  $\text{Inv}(\xi_2) = \{n - i + 1\}$ , which is a contradiction, since  $\xi_2 \in C$ .

Therefore,  $C$  must contain at least  $\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$  distinct transformations  $\alpha$  with  $\text{inv}(\alpha) = 1$ , as required.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.14.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 6$ ,  $j \in [1, \lfloor \frac{n-3}{3} \rfloor]$  and  $i \in [1, \lfloor \frac{n-3j-1}{2} \rfloor]$ . Then*

$$2 \leq i + 1 \leq n - 2, \quad 4 \leq i + 2j + 1 \leq n - 2 \quad \text{and} \quad 5 \leq i + 3j + 1 \leq n - 1.$$

*Proof.* We have  $2 \leq i + 1 \leq \frac{n-3j-1}{2} + 1 \leq \frac{n-3-1}{2} + 1 = \frac{n}{2} - 1 < n - 1$ . On the other hand,  $4 \leq i + 2j + 1 \leq i + j + j + 1 \leq \frac{n-3j-1}{2} + \frac{n-3}{3} + j + 1 = \frac{5n-3j-9}{6} + 1 \leq \frac{5n-3-9}{6} + 1 = \frac{5n}{6} - 1 < n - 1$ . Finally,  $5 \leq i + 3j + 1 \leq \frac{n-3j-1}{2} + 3j + 1 = \frac{n+3j+1}{2} \leq \frac{n+3 \cdot \frac{n-3}{3} + 1}{2} = n - 1$ , as required.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.15.** *For each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ , there holds the statement: If  $C$  is a generating set of  $\text{End}P_n$  or of  $\text{wEnd}P_n$  then  $\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{3} \rfloor} \lfloor \frac{n-3j-1}{2} \rfloor \leq |\{\alpha \in C \mid \text{inv}(\alpha) = 2\}|$ .*

*Proof.* If  $n \in [2, 5]$  then  $0 = |\{\alpha \in C \mid \text{inv}(\alpha) = 2\}|$ . Consider then  $n \geq 6$ . Let  $j \in [1, \lfloor \frac{n-3}{3} \rfloor]$  and  $i \in [1, \lfloor \frac{n-3j-1}{2} \rfloor]$ . In order to obtain a contradiction, let us assume that  $\text{Inv}(\alpha) \neq \{i + j + 1, i + 2j + 1\}$  and  $\text{Inv}(\alpha) \neq \{n - (i + j + 1) + 1, n - (i + 2j + 1) + 1\}$ , for all  $\alpha \in C$ .

Let us consider the transformation  $\beta_{j,i} \in \text{End}P_n$ . Observe that  $\text{Inv}(\beta_{j,i}) = \{i + j + 1, i + 2j + 1\}$ , whence  $\beta_{j,i} \notin C$ . Let  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in C \setminus \{1\}$  be such that  $\beta_{j,i} = \xi_1 \cdots \xi_k$  and  $\{\xi_\ell, \xi_{\ell+1}\} \neq \{\tau\}$ , for  $\ell = 1, \dots, k - 1$ . Notice that  $k \geq 2$ , since  $\beta_{j,i} \notin C$ . As  $\beta_{j,i} \in \text{End}P_n$ , by Lemma 2.9, we have  $\xi_1 \in \text{End}P_n$  and  $\text{Inv}(\xi_1) \subseteq \text{Inv}(\xi_1 \cdots \xi_k) = \text{Inv}(\beta_{j,i}) = \{i + j + 1, i + 2j + 1\}$ . Since  $\xi_1 \in C$ , then  $\text{inv}(\xi_1) = 0$  or  $\text{inv}(\xi_1) = 1$ .

If  $\text{inv}(\xi_1) = 1$  then  $\text{Inv}(\xi_1) \in \{\{i + j + 1\}, \{i + 2j + 1\}\}$ .

On the other hand, suppose that  $\text{inv}(\xi_1) = 0$ . As  $\xi_1 \in \text{End}P_n$  (and  $\xi_1 \neq 1$ ), then we must have  $\xi_1 = \tau$ . By Lemma 2.9, we get  $\text{Inv}(\tau\xi_2) = \text{Inv}(\xi_1\xi_2) \subseteq \text{Inv}(\xi_1 \cdots \xi_k) = \text{Inv}(\beta_{j,i}) = \{i + j + 1, i + 2j + 1\}$  and  $\tau\xi_2 \in \text{End}P_n$ . It follows that  $\xi_2 \in \text{End}P_n$  and, by Lemma 2.11, that  $\text{Inv}(\xi_2) \subseteq \{n - (i + j + 1) + 1, n - (i + 2j + 1) + 1\}$ . As  $\xi_2 \in C$ , we obtain  $\text{inv}(\xi_2) = 0$  or  $\text{inv}(\xi_2) = 1$ . If  $\text{inv}(\xi_2) = 0$  then  $\xi_2 = \tau$  (since  $\xi_2 \neq 1$  and  $\xi_2 \in \text{End}P_n$ ) and so  $\{\xi_1, \xi_2\} = \{\tau\}$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $\text{Inv}(\xi_2) \in \{\{n - (i + j + 1) + 1\}, \{n - (i + 2j + 1) + 1\}\}$ . Also, notice that, in this case,  $k \geq 3$  (since  $k = 2$  would imply  $\xi_2 = \tau\beta_{j,i}$  and so  $\text{inv}(\xi_2) = 2$ , which is a contradiction).

Therefore, we have four cases to consider.

**CASE 1.**  $\text{Inv}(\xi_1) = \{i + j + 1\}$ . Then, as  $\xi_1 \in \text{End}P_n$ , we must have  $(i + 2j + 1)\xi_1 = (i + 1)\xi_1$ . On the other hand, since  $i + 2j + 1 \in \text{Inv}(\beta_{j,i}) = \text{Inv}(\xi_1(\xi_2 \cdots \xi_k))$  and  $i + 2j + 1 \notin \text{Inv}(\xi_1)$ , by Lemma 2.10, we obtain  $(i + 2j + 1)\xi_1 \in \text{Inv}(\xi_2 \cdots \xi_k)$ . Thus  $(i + 1)\xi_1 \in \text{Inv}(\xi_2 \cdots \xi_k)$ . Now, as  $2 \leq i + 1 \leq n - 2$  (by Lemma 2.14), it follows by Lemma 2.9 that  $i + 1 \in \text{Inv}(\xi_1(\xi_2 \cdots \xi_k)) = \text{Inv}(\beta_{j,i})$ , which is a contradiction.

**CASE 2.**  $\text{Inv}(\xi_1) = \{i + 2j + 1\}$ . Notice that  $5 \leq i + 3j + 1 \leq n - 1$ , by Lemma 2.14. As  $\xi_1 \in \text{End}P_n$ , in this case, we have  $(i + 3j + 1)\xi_1 = (i + j + 1)\xi_1$ . Since  $i + j + 1 \in \text{Inv}(\beta_{j,i}) = \text{Inv}(\xi_1(\xi_2 \cdots \xi_k))$  and  $i + j + 1 \notin \text{Inv}(\xi_1)$ , by Lemma 2.10, we obtain  $(i + j + 1)\xi_1 \in \text{Inv}(\xi_2 \cdots \xi_k)$ , i.e.  $(i + 3j + 1)\xi_1 \in \text{Inv}(\xi_2 \cdots \xi_k)$ . Hence, by Lemma 2.9, we get  $i + 3j + 1 \in \text{Inv}(\xi_1(\xi_2 \cdots \xi_k)) = \text{Inv}(\beta_{j,i})$ , which is a contradiction.

Before considering the next case, we observe that  $\text{Inv}(\tau\beta_{j,i}) = \{n - (i + j + 1) + 1, n - (i + 2j + 1) + 1\}$ , by Lemma 2.11.

**CASE 3.**  $\xi_1 = \tau$  and  $\text{Inv}(\xi_2) = \{n - (i + j + 1) + 1\}$ . Since  $\xi_2 \in \text{End}P_n$ , we deduce that  $(n - (i + 1) + 1)\xi_2 = (n - (i + 2j + 1) + 1)\xi_2$ . Moreover, as  $n - (i + 2j + 1) + 1 \in \text{Inv}(\tau\beta_{j,i})$ ,  $n - (i + 2j + 1) + 1 \notin \text{Inv}(\xi_2)$  and  $\tau\beta_{j,i} = \xi_2\xi_3 \cdots \xi_k$  (notice that, in this case,  $k \geq 3$ ), by Lemma 2.10, we have  $(n - (i + 2j + 1) + 1)\xi_2 \in \text{Inv}(\xi_3 \cdots \xi_k)$ . Thus,

$(n - (i + 1) + 1)\xi_2 \in \text{Inv}(\xi_3 \cdots \xi_k)$ . From  $2 \leq i + 1 \leq n - 2$  (by Lemma 2.14), we obtain  $3 \leq n - (i + 1) + 1 \leq n - 1$  and so, by Lemma 2.9, it follows that  $n - (i + 1) + 1 \in \text{Inv}(\xi_2(\xi_3 \cdots \xi_k)) = \text{Inv}(\tau\beta_{j,i})$ , which is a contradiction.

CASE 4.  $\xi_1 = \tau$  and  $\text{Inv}(\xi_2) = \{n - (i + 2j + 1) + 1\}$ . Once again since  $\xi_2 \in \text{End}P_n$ , we conclude that  $(n - (i + j + 1) + 1)\xi_2 = (n - (i + 3j + 1) + 1)\xi_2$ . On the other hand, as  $n - (i + j + 1) + 1 \in \text{Inv}(\tau\beta_{j,i})$ ,  $n - (i + j + 1) + 1 \notin \text{Inv}(\xi_2)$  and  $\tau\beta_{j,i} = \xi_2\xi_3 \cdots \xi_k$  ( $k \geq 3$ , also in this case), by Lemma 2.10, we have  $(n - (i + j + 1) + 1)\xi_2 \in \text{Inv}(\xi_3 \cdots \xi_k)$  and so  $(n - (i + 3j + 1) + 1)\xi_2 \in \text{Inv}(\xi_3 \cdots \xi_k)$ . By Lemma 2.14, we have  $5 \leq i + 3j + 1 \leq n - 1$ , whence  $2 \leq n - (i + 3j + 1) + 1 \leq n - 4$ . Hence, by Lemma 2.9, we obtain  $n - (i + 3j + 1) + 1 \in \text{Inv}(\xi_2(\xi_3 \cdots \xi_k)) = \text{Inv}(\tau\beta_{j,i})$ , which is a contradiction.

Since we obtained a contradiction in all possible cases, it follows that  $\text{Inv}(\alpha) = \{i + j + 1, i + 2j + 1\}$  or  $\text{Inv}(\alpha) = \{n - (i + j + 1) + 1, n - (i + 2j + 1) + 1\}$ , for some  $\alpha \in C$ . Therefore  $C$  has at least  $\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{3} \rfloor} \lfloor \frac{n-3j-1}{2} \rfloor$  distinct transformations  $\alpha$  with  $\text{inv}(\alpha) = 2$ , as required.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.16.**  $\text{rank}(\text{End}P_n) = 1 + \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{3} \rfloor} \lfloor \frac{n-3j-1}{2} \rfloor$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ .

*Proof.* The assertion is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.7 and Lemmas 2.12, 2.13 and 2.15.  $\square$

To calculate the rank of  $\text{wEnd}P_n$ , we still need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.17.** For each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ , there holds the statement: If  $C$  is a generating set of  $\text{wEnd}P_n$  then  $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \leq |\{\alpha \in C \cap (\text{wEnd}P_n \setminus \text{End}P_n) \mid \text{inv}(\alpha) = 0\}|$ .

*Proof.* Let  $i \in [1, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor]$  (as  $n \neq 1$  we have  $[1, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor] \neq \emptyset$ ). Let  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k \in C \setminus \{1\}$  be such that  $\gamma_i = \xi_1 \cdots \xi_k$  and  $\{\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}\} \neq \{\tau\}$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, k - 1$ . Then

$$\text{Ker}(\xi_1) \subseteq \text{Ker}(\gamma_i) = \text{id}_n \cup \{(i, i + 1), (i + 1, i)\},$$

whence  $\xi_1$  is a permutation of  $[1, n]$  or  $\text{Ker}(\xi_1) = \text{Ker}(\gamma_i)$  and so, as  $\xi_1 \neq 1$ ,  $\xi_1 = \tau$  or  $\text{Ker}(\xi_1) = \text{Ker}(\gamma_i)$ .

Suppose that  $\xi_1 = \tau$ . Then  $k \geq 2$  and  $\tau\gamma_i = \xi_2 \cdots \xi_k$ . Hence

$$\text{Ker}(\xi_2) \subseteq \text{Ker}(\tau\gamma_i) = \text{id}_n \cup \{(n - i, n - i + 1), (n - i + 1, n - i)\}.$$

Since  $\{\xi_1, \xi_2\} \neq \{\tau\}$ , then  $\xi_2 \neq \tau$  and so

$$\text{Ker}(\xi_2) = \text{Ker}(\tau\gamma_i) = \text{id}_n \cup \{(n - i, n - i + 1), (n - i + 1, n - i)\}.$$

Therefore,  $C$  possesses at least  $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$  (distinct) transformations  $\alpha$  such that

$$\text{Ker}(\alpha) = \text{id}_n \cup \{(i, i + 1), (i + 1, i)\},$$

for some  $i \in [1, n - 1]$ . Clearly,  $\alpha \in \text{wEnd}P_n \setminus \text{End}P_n$  and  $\text{inv}(\alpha) = 0$ , for all transformations  $\alpha$  with this type of kernel. This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

Recall that, for a semigroup (or a monoid)  $S$  and a set  $X \subseteq S$ , the *relative rank* of  $S$  modulo  $X$ , denoted by  $\text{rank}(S : X)$ , is the minimum cardinality of a set  $Y \subseteq S$  such that  $S$  is generated by  $X \cup Y$ .

**Theorem 2.18.** For each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ ,  $\text{rank}(\text{wEnd}P_n) = n + \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-3}{3} \rfloor} \lfloor \frac{n-3j-1}{2} \rfloor$  and  $\text{rank}(\text{wEnd}P_n : \text{End}P_n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ .

*Proof.* The statement is the result of Proposition 2.8 together with Lemmas 2.12, 2.13, 2.15 and 2.17.  $\square$

## Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for the careful reading and helpful suggestions.

This work was produced, in part, during the visit of the first and third authors to CMA, FCT NOVA, Lisbon, in March 2017. The first author was supported by CMA through a visiting researcher fellowship.



## References

- [1] F. Al-Kharousi, R. Kehinde and A. Umar, On the semigroup of partial isometries of a finite chain, *Communications in Algebra*, 44 (2016), 639–647.
- [2] J. Araújo, W. Bentz, J.D. Mitchell and C. Schneider, The rank of the semigroup of transformations stabilising a partition of a finite set, *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 159 (2015), 339–353.
- [3] J. Araújo and C. Schneider, The rank of the endomorphism monoid of a uniform partition, *Semigroup Forum*, 78 (2009), 498–510.
- [4] S. Arworn, An algorithm for the numbers of endomorphisms on paths, *Discrete Math.* 309 (2009), 94–103.
- [5] S. Arworn, U. Knauer and S. Leeratanavalee, Locally Strong Endomorphisms of Paths, *Discrete Mathematics*, 308 (2008), 2525–2532.
- [6] S. Cicalò, V.H. Fernandes and C. Schneider, Partial transformation monoids preserving a uniform partition, *Semigroup Forum*, 90 (2015), 532–544.
- [7] S. Fan, On End-regular bipartite graphs, *Combinatorics and Graph Theory, Proceedings of SSICC's92*, World Scientific, Singapore, (1993), 117–130.
- [8] S. Fan, The regularity of the endomorphism monoid of a split graph, *Acta Math. Sin.*, 40 (1997), 419–422.
- [9] S. Fan, Retractions of split graphs and End-orthodox split graphs, *Discrete Mathematics*, 257 (2002), 161–164.
- [10] V.H. Fernandes. Presentations for some monoids of partial transformations on a finite chain: a survey, In *Semigroups, algorithms, automata and languages (Coimbra, 2001)*, pages 363–378. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2002.
- [11] V.H. Fernandes, P. Honyam, T.M. Quinteiro and B. Singha, On semigroups of endomorphisms of a chain with restricted range, *Semigroup Forum*, 89 (2014), 77–104.
- [12] V.H. Fernandes, P. Honyam, T.M. Quinteiro and B. Singha, On semigroups of orientation-preserving transformations with restricted range, *Communications in Algebra*, 44 (2016), 253–264.
- [13] V.H. Fernandes, J. Koppitz and T. Musunthia, The rank of the semigroup of all order-preserving transformations on a finite fence, *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society* (DOI: 10.1007/s40840-017-0598-1) (In Press).
- [14] V.H. Fernandes and T.M. Quinteiro, On the ranks of certain monoids of transformations that preserve a uniform partition, *Communications in Algebra*, 42 (2014), 615–636.
- [15] V.H. Fernandes and J. Sanwong, On the rank of semigroups of transformations on a finite set with restricted range, *Algebra Colloquium*, 21 (2014), 497–510.
- [16] H. Hou and R. Gu, Split graphs whose completely regular endomorphisms form a monoid, *Ars Combinatoria*, 127 (2016), 79–88.
- [17] H. Hou, R. Gu and Y. Shang, The join of split graphs whose regular endomorphisms form a monoid, *Communications in Algebra*, 42 (2014), 795–802.
- [18] H. Hou, R. Gu and Y. Shang, The join of split graphs whose quasi-strong endomorphisms form a monoid, *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 91 (2015), 1–10.

- [19] H. Hou, Y. Luo and Z. Cheng, The endomorphism monoid of  $\bar{P}_n$ , *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 29 (2008), 1173–1185.
- [20] H. Hou, Y. Luo and S. Fan, End-regular and End-orthodox joins of split graphs, *Ars Combinatoria*, 105 (2012), 305–318.
- [21] H. Hou, Y. Song and R. Gu, The join of split graphs whose completely regular endomorphisms form a monoid, *De Gruyter Open*, 15 (2017), 833–839.
- [22] J.M. Howie, *Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [23] P. Huisheng, On the rank of the semigroup  $T_\rho(X)$ , *Semigroup Forum*, 70 (2005), 107–117.
- [24] U. Knauer, *Algebraic graph theory: morphisms, monoids, and matrices*, De Gruyter, Berlin, 2011.
- [25] U. Knauer and A. Wanichsombat, Completely Regular Endomorphisms of Split Graphs, *Ars Comb.*, 115 (2014), 357–366.
- [26] W. Li, Split Graphs with Completely Regular Endomorphism Monoids, *Journal of mathematical research and exposition*, 26 (2006), 253–263.
- [27] W. Li and J. Chen, Endomorphism - Regularity of Split Graphs, *Europ. J. Combinatorics*, 22 (2001), 207–216.
- [28] D. Lu and T. Wu, Endomorphism monoids of generalized split graphs, *Ars Combinatoria*, 11 (2013), 357–373.
- [29] L. Marki, Problem raised at the problem session of the Colloquium on Semigroups in Szeged, August 1987, *Semigroup Forum*, 37 (1988), 367–373.
- [30] M. A. Michels and U. Knauer, The congruence classes of paths and cycles, *Discrete Mathematics*, 309 (2009), 5352–5359.
- [31] N. Pipattanaajinda, U. Knauer, B. Gyurov and S. Panma, The endomorphism monoids of  $(n - 3)$ -regular graphs of order  $n$ , *Algebra and Discrete Mathematics*, 22–2 (2016), 284–300.
- [32] E. Wilkeit, Graphs with a regular endomorphism monoid, *Arch. Math.*, 66 (1996), 344–352.
- [33] P. Zhao, On the ranks of certain semigroups of orientation preserving transformations, *Communications in Algebra*, 39 (2011), 4195–4205.
- [34] P. Zhao and V.H. Fernandes, The ranks of ideals in various transformation monoids, *Communications in Algebra*, 43 (2015), 674–692.

ILINKA DIMITROVA, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, South-West University "Neofit Rilski", 2700 Blagoevgrad, Bulgaria; email: [ilinka\\_dimitrova@swu.bg](mailto:ilinka_dimitrova@swu.bg).

VÍTOR H. FERNANDES, CMA, Departamento de Matemática, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade NOVA de Lisboa, Monte da Caparica, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal; e-mail: [vhf@fct.unl.pt](mailto:vhf@fct.unl.pt).

JÖRG KOPPITZ, Institute of Mathematics and Informatics Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria; e-mail address: [koppitz@math.bas.bg](mailto:koppitz@math.bas.bg).

TERESA M. QUINTEIRO, Instituto Superior de Engenharia de Lisboa, 1950-062 Lisboa, Portugal. Also: Centro de Matemática e Aplicações, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Monte da Caparica, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal; e-mail: [tmelo@adm.isel.pt](mailto:tmelo@adm.isel.pt).